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<td>Mineral Oil and Gas Refineries</td>
<td>REF</td>
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<tr>
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<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Treatment of Metals and Plastics</td>
<td>STM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement and Lime Manufacturing Industries</td>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>GLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>CER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>LVOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals</strong></td>
<td>OFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of Polymers</td>
<td>POL</td>
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<tr>
<td>Chlor – Alkali Manufacturing Industry</td>
<td>CAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>LVIC-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>SIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>CWW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Treatments Industries</td>
<td>WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Incineration</td>
<td>WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of Tailings and Waste-Rock in Mining Activities</td>
<td>MTWR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulp and Paper Industry</td>
<td>PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles Industry</td>
<td>TXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanning of Hides and Skins</td>
<td>TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaughterhouses and Animals By-products Industries</td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food, Drink and Milk Industries</td>
<td>FDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Rearing of Poultry and Pigs</td>
<td>ILF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Treatment Using Organic Solvents</td>
<td>STS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Cooling Systems</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from Storage</td>
<td>ESB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>Reference Document . . .</th>
</tr>
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Electronic versions of draft and finalised documents are publically available and can be downloaded from [http://eippcb.jrc.es](http://eippcb.jrc.es).
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The BAT (Best Available Techniques) Reference Document (BREF) entitled “Best Available Techniques for the Manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals” (OFC) reflects an information exchange carried out under Article 16(2) of Council Directive 96/61/EC (IPPC Directive). This executive summary describes the main findings, a summary of the principal BAT conclusions and the associated consumption and emission levels. It should be read in conjunction with the preface, which explains this document’s objectives; how it is intended to be used and legal terms. It can be read and understood as a standalone document but, as a summary, it does not present all the complexities of this full document. It is therefore not intended as a substitute for this full document as a tool in BAT decision making.

This document focuses on the batch manufacture of organic chemicals in multipurpose plants and addresses the manufacture of a wide range of organic chemicals although not all of them are explicitly named in ANNEX 1 of the Directive. The list is not conclusive but includes, e.g. dyes and pigments, plant health products and biocides, pharmaceutical products (chemical and biological processes), organic explosives, organic intermediates, specialised surfactants, flavours, fragrances, pheromones, plasticisers, vitamins, optical brighteners and flame-retardants. No specific threshold was established in drawing a borderline to large volume production. Therefore it is implied that an OFC production site may also include dedicated production lines for “larger” volume products with batch, semi-batch or continuous operation.

I. The sector and environmental issues

Organic fine chemical manufacturers produce a range of chemical substances, which are typically of a high added-value and produced in low volumes, mainly by batch processes in multipurpose plants. They are sold to companies, mostly other chemical companies, serving an immense range of end-user markets, on either a specification of purity or on their ability to deliver a particular effect. OFC manufacturers range in size from very small (<10 staff) to very large multinationals (>20000 staff), with typical manufacturing sites having between 150 and 250 staff.

The chemistry of fine organic intermediates and products shows an enormous diversity. But in reality, the number of operations/processes used remains reasonably small. These include charging/discharging of reactants and solvents, inertisation, reactions, crystallisations, phase separations, filtrations, distillation, product washing. In many cases cooling, heating, or the application of vacuum or pressure is necessary. The unavoidable waste streams are treated in recovery/abatement systems or disposed of as waste.

The key environmental issues of the OFC sector are emissions of volatile organic compounds, waste waters with potential for high loads of non-degradable organic compounds, relatively large quantities of spent solvents and non-recyclable waste in high ratio. Given the diversity of the sector, the wide range of chemicals produced and the enormous variety of possibly emitted substances, this document does not provide a comprehensive overview of the releases from the OFC sector. No data on consumption of raw materials, etc. were available. However, emission data are presented from a broad range of example plants in the OFC sector.

II. Techniques to consider in the determination of BAT

The techniques to consider in the determination of BAT are grouped under the headings “Prevention and minimisation of environmental impact” (much related to the process design) and the “Management and treatment of waste streams”. The former includes strategies for the selection of the synthesis route, examples of alternative processes, equipment selection and plant design. The management of waste streams includes techniques for the assessment of waste stream properties and the understanding and monitoring of emissions. Finally, a wide range of recovery/abatement techniques for the treatment of waste gases, the pretreatment of waste water streams and the biological treatment of the total waste water are described.
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III. Best available techniques

The summary presented below does not include background statements and cross referencing which is found in the full text. Additionally, the full text contains BAT on environmental management. Where general BAT associated emission levels are given both in terms of concentration and mass flow, that which represents the greater amount in specific cases is intended as the BAT reference.

Prevention and minimisation

Integration of environmental considerations into process development

BAT is to provide an auditable trail for the integration of environmental, health and safety considerations into process development. BAT is to carry out a structured safety assessment for normal operation and to take into account effects due to deviations of the chemical process and deviations in the operation of the plant. BAT is to establish and implement procedures and technical measures to limit risks from the handling and storage of hazardous substances and to provide sufficient and adequate training for operators who handle hazardous substances. BAT is to design new plants in such a way that emissions are minimised. BAT is to design, build, operate and maintain facilities, where substances (usually liquids) which represent a potential risk of contamination of ground and groundwater are handled, in such a way that spill potential is minimised. Facilities have to be sealed, stable and sufficiently resistant against possible mechanical, thermal or chemical stress. BAT is to enable leakages to be quickly and reliably recognised. BAT is to provide sufficient retention volumes to safely retain spills and leaking substances, fire fighting water and contaminated surface water in order to enable treatment or disposal.

Enclosure of sources and airtightness of equipment

BAT is to contain and enclose sources and to close any openings in order to minimise uncontrolled emissions. Drying should be carried out by using closed circuits, including condensers for solvent recovery. BAT is to use recirculation of process vapours where purity requirements allow this. To minimise the volume flow, BAT is to close any unnecessary openings in order to prevent air being sucked to the gas collection system via the process equipment. BAT is to ensure the airtightness of process equipment, especially of vessels. BAT is to apply shock inertisation instead of continuous inertisation. Still, continuous inertisation has to be accepted due to safety requirements, e.g. where processes generate O₂ or where processes require further loading of material after inertisation.

Layout of distillation condensers

BAT is to minimise the exhaust gas volume flows from distillations by optimising the layout of the condenser.

Liquid addition to vessels, minimisation of peaks

BAT is to carry out liquid addition to vessels as bottom feed or with dip-leg, unless reaction chemistry and/or safety considerations make it impractical. In such cases, the addition of liquid as top feed with a pipe directed to the wall reduces splashing and hence, the organic load in the displaced gas. If both solids and an organic liquid are added to a vessel, BAT is to use solids as a blanket in circumstances where the density difference promotes the reduction of the organic load in the displaced gas, unless reaction chemistry and/or safety considerations make it impractical. BAT is to minimise the accumulation of peak loads and flows and related emission concentration peaks by, e.g. optimisation of the production matrix and application of smoothing filters.

Alternative techniques for product work-up

BAT is to avoid mother liquors with high salt content or to enable the work-up of mother liquors by the application of alternative separation techniques, e.g. membrane processes, solvent-based processes, reactive extraction, or to omit intermediate isolation. BAT is to apply countercurrent product washing where the production scale justifies the introduction of the technique.
Vacuum, cooling and cleaning

BAT is to apply water-free vacuum generation by using, e.g. dry running pumps, liquid ring pumps using solvents as the ring medium or closed cycle liquid ring pumps. However, where the applicability of these techniques is restricted, the use of steam injectors or water ring pumps is justified. For batch processes, BAT is to establish clear procedures for the determination of the desired end point of the reaction. BAT is to apply indirect cooling. However, indirect cooling is not applicable for processes which require the addition of water or ice to enable safe temperature control, temperature jumps or temperature shock. Direct cooling can also be required to control “run away” situations or where there are concerns about blocking heat-exchangers. BAT is to apply a pre-rinsing step prior to rinsing/cleaning of equipment to minimise organic loads in wash-waters. Where different materials are frequently transported in pipes, the use of pigging technology represents another option to reduce product losses within cleaning procedures.

Management and treatment of waste streams

Mass balances and analysis of waste streams

BAT is to establish mass balances for VOCs (including CHCs), TOC or COD, AOX or EOX (Extractable Organic Halogen) and heavy metals on a yearly basis. BAT is to carry out a detailed waste stream analysis in order to identify the origin of the waste stream and a basic data set to enable management and suitable treatment of exhaust gases, waste water streams and solid residues. BAT is to assess at least the parameters given in Table I for waste water streams, unless the parameter can be seen as irrelevant from a scientific point of view.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Where it is expected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per batch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batches per year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume per day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume per year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD or TOC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioeliminability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological inhibition, including nitrification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SO_4^{2-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual toxicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Parameters for the assessment of waste water streams

Monitoring of emissions to air

Emission profiles should be recorded instead of levels derived from short sampling periods. Emission data should be related to the operations responsible. For emissions to air, BAT is to monitor the emission profile which reflects the operational mode of the production process. In the case of a non-oxidative abatement/recovery system, BAT is to apply a continuous monitoring system (e.g. Flame Ionisation Detector, FID), where exhaust gases from various processes are treated in a central recovery/abatement system. BAT is to individually monitor substances with ecotoxicological potential if such substances are released.
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Individual volume flows
BAT is to assess the individual exhaust gas volume flows from process equipment to recovery/abatement systems.

Re-use of solvents
BAT is to re-use solvents as far as purity requirements allow. This is carried out by using the solvent from previous batches of a production campaign for future batches, collecting spent solvents for on-site or off-site purification and re-use, or collecting spent solvents for on-site or off-site utilisation of the calorific value.

Selection of VOC treatment techniques
One or a combination of techniques can be applied as a recovery/abatement system for a whole site, an individual production building, or an individual process. This depends on the particular situation and affects the number of point sources. BAT is to select VOC recovery and abatement techniques according to the flow scheme in Figure I.

Non-oxidative VOC recovery or abatement: achievable emission levels
Where non-oxidative VOC recovery or abatement techniques are applied, BAT is to reduce emissions to the levels given in Table II.

Thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation: achievable emission levels
Where thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation are applied, BAT is to reduce VOC emissions to the levels given in Table III.

Recovery/abatement of NO\textsubscript{X}
For thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation, BAT is to achieve the NO\textsubscript{X} emission levels given in Table IV and, where necessary, to apply a DeNO\textsubscript{X} system (e.g. SCR or SNCR) or two stage combustion to achieve such levels. For exhaust gases from chemical production processes, BAT is to achieve the NO\textsubscript{X} emission levels given in Table IV and, where necessary to apply treatment techniques such as scrubbing or scrubber cascades with scrubber media such as H\textsubscript{2}O and/or H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} to achieve such levels. Where NO\textsubscript{X} from chemical processes is absorbed from strong NO\textsubscript{X} streams (about 1000 ppm and higher) a 55 \% HNO\textsubscript{3} can be obtained for on-site or off-site re-use. Often, exhaust gases containing NO\textsubscript{X} from chemical processes also contain VOCs and can be treated in a thermal oxidiser/incinerator, e.g. equipped with a DeNO\textsubscript{X} unit or built as a two stage combustion (where already available on-site).

Recovery/abatement of HCl, Cl\textsubscript{2}, HBr, NH\textsubscript{3}, SO\textsubscript{x} and cyanides
HCl can be efficiently recovered from exhaust gases with high HCl concentrations, if the production volume justifies the investment costs for the required equipment. Where HCl recovery is not preceded by VOC removal, potential organic contaminants (AOX) have to be considered in the recovered HCl. BAT is to achieve the emission levels given in Table VI and, where necessary, to apply one or more scrubbers using suitable scrubbing media.

Removal of particulates
Particulates are removed from various exhaust gases. The choice of recovery/abatement systems depends strongly on the particulate properties. BAT is to achieve particulate emission levels of 0.05 – 5 mg/m\textsuperscript{3} or 0.001 – 0.1 kg/hour and, where necessary, to apply techniques such as bag filters, fabric filters, cyclones, scrubbing, or wet electrostatic precipitation (WESP) in order to achieve such levels.
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VOCs in exhaust gases

Connect exhaust gas stream to one or more condensers for recovery, using temperatures suitable for the VOCs

One or more criteria for thermal or catalytic oxidation fulfilled? (Table V)

No

Levels from Table II achievable?

No

Levels from Table II achievable?

Yes

Assess the application of one or a combination of non-oxidative treatment techniques

Assess the optimisation by:
- increasing the existing treatment capacity
- increasing treatment efficiency
- adding techniques with higher efficiency

Apply one or a combination of non-oxidative treatment techniques

Levels from Table II achievable?

No

Apply thermal or catalytic oxidation and achieve levels from Table III or apply another technique or combination of techniques achieving at least an equivalent emission level

Yes

Apply the optimised configuration

End

Yes

End

End

Figure I: BAT for the selection of VOC recovery/abatement techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Average emission level from point sources*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total organic C</td>
<td>0.1 kg C/hour or 20 mg C/m³**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The averaging time relates to the emission profile, the levels relate to dry gas and Nm³
** The concentration level relates to volume flows without dilution by, e.g. volume flows from room or building ventilation

Table II: BAT associated VOC emission levels for non-oxidative recovery/abatement techniques
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation</th>
<th>Average mass flow kg C/hour</th>
<th>Average concentration mg C/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total organic C</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 or</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The averaging time relates to the emission profile, levels relate to dry gas and Nm³

Table III: BAT associated emission levels for total organic C for thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Average kg/hour</th>
<th>Average mg/m³</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical production processes, e.g. nitration, recovery of spent acids</td>
<td>0.03 – 1.7</td>
<td>7 – 220**</td>
<td>The lower end of the range relates to low inputs to the scrubbing system and scrubbing with H₂O. With high input levels, the lower end of the range is not achievable even with H₂O₂ as the scrubbing medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation/incineration, catalytic oxidation</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.3</td>
<td>13 – 50***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation/incineration, catalytic oxidation, input of nitrogenous organic compounds</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 – 150***</td>
<td>Lower range with SCR, upper range with SNCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NOX expressed as NO₂, the averaging time relates to the emission profile
** Levels relate to dry gas and Nm³
*** Levels relate to dry gas and Nm³

Table IV: BAT associated NOₓ emission levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a The exhaust gas contains very toxic, carcinogenic or cmr category 1 or 2 substances, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b autothermal operation is possible in normal operation, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c overall reduction of primary energy consumption is possible in the installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e.g. secondary heat option)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V: Selection criteria for catalytic and thermal oxidation/incineration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Mass flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>0.2 – 7.5 mg/m³</td>
<td>0.001 – 0.08 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td>0.1 – 1 mg/m³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>&lt;1 mg/m³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>0.1 – 10 mg/m³</td>
<td>0.001 – 0.1 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃ from SCR or SNCR</td>
<td>&lt;2 mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;0.02 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1 – 15 mg/m³</td>
<td>0.001 – 0.1 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanides as HCN</td>
<td>1 mg/m³</td>
<td>3 g/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table VI: BAT associated emission levels for HCl, Cl₂, HBr, NH₃, SO₂ and cyanides
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Typical waste water streams for segregation and selective pretreatment
BAT is to segregate and pretreat or dispose of mother liquors from halogenations and sulphochlorinations. BAT is to pretreat waste water streams containing biologically active substances at levels which could pose a risk either to a subsequent waste water treatment or to the receiving environment after discharge. BAT is to segregate and collect separately spent acids, e.g. from sulphonations or nitrations for on-site or off-site recovery or to apply BAT about pretreatment of refractory organic loadings.

Pretreatment of waste water streams with refractory organic loadings
BAT is to segregate and pretreat waste water streams containing relevant refractory organic loadings according to this classification: Refractory organic loading is not relevant if the waste water stream shows a bioeliminability of greater than about 80 - 90 %. In cases with lower bioeliminability, the refractory organic loading is not relevant if it is lower than the range of about 7.5 - 40 kg TOC per batch or per day. For the segregated waste water streams, BAT is to achieve overall COD elimination rates for the combination of pretreatment and biological treatment of >95 %.

Recovery of solvents from waste water streams
BAT is to recover solvents from waste water streams for on-site or off-site re-use, where the costs for biological treatment and purchase of fresh solvents are higher than the costs for recovery and purification. This is carried out by using techniques such as stripping, distillation/rectification, extraction or combinations of such techniques. BAT is to recover solvents from waste water streams in order to use the calorific value if the energy balance shows that overall natural fuel can be substituted.

Removal of halogenated compounds from waste water streams
BAT is to remove purgeable CHCs from waste water streams, e.g. by stripping, rectification or extraction and to achieve levels given in Table VII. BAT is to pretreat waste water streams with significant AOX loads and to achieve the AOX levels given in Table VII in the inlet to the on-site biological Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system.

Removal of heavy metals from waste water streams
BAT is to pretreat waste water streams containing significant levels of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds from processes where they are used deliberately and to achieve the heavy metal concentrations given in Table VII in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system. If equivalent removal levels can be demonstrated in comparison with the combination of pretreatment and biological waste water treatment, heavy metals can be eliminated from the total effluent using only the biological waste water treatment process, provided that the biological treatment is carried out on-site and the treatment sludge is incinerated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Yearly average</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>0.5 - 8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range relates to cases where halogenated compounds are processed in numerous processes and the corresponding waste water streams are pretreated and/or where the AOX is very bioeliminable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purgeable CHCs</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>Alternatively achieve a sum concentration of &lt;1 mg/l in the outlet from pretreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper ranges result from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table VII: BAT associated levels in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system
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Free cyanides
BAT is to recondition waste water streams containing free cyanides in order to substitute raw materials where technically possible. BAT is to pretreat waste water streams containing significant loads of cyanides and to achieve a cyanide level of 1 mg/l or lower in the treated waste water stream or to enable safe degradation in a biological WWTP.

Biological waste water treatment
BAT is to treat effluents containing a relevant organic load, such as waste water streams from production processes, rinsing and cleaning water, in a biological WWTP. BAT is to ensure that the elimination in a joint waste water treatment is overall not poorer than in the case of on-site treatment. For biological waste water treatment, COD elimination rates of 93 – 97 % are typically achievable as a yearly average. It is important that a COD elimination rate cannot be understood as a standalone parameter, but is influenced by the production spectrum (e.g. production of dyes/pigments, optical brighteners, aromatic intermediates which create refractory loadings in most of the waste water streams on a site), the degree of solvent removal and the degree of pretreatment of refractory organic loadings. Depending on the individual situation, retrofitting of the biological WWTP is required in order to adjust, e.g. treatment capacity or buffer volume or the application of a nitrification/denitrification or a chemical/mechanical stage. BAT is to take full advantage of the biological degradation potential of the total effluent and to achieve BOD elimination rates above 99 % and yearly average BOD emission levels of 1 - 18 mg/l. The levels relate to the effluent after biological treatment without dilution, e.g. by mixing with cooling water. BAT is to achieve the emission levels given in Table VIII.

Monitoring of the total effluent
BAT is to regularly monitor the total effluent to and from the biological WWTP. BAT is to carry out regular biomonitoring of the total effluent after the biological WWTP where substances with ecotoxicological potential are handled or produced with or without intention. Where residual toxicity is identified as a concern (e.g. where fluctuations of the performance of the biological WWTP can be related to critical production campaigns), BAT is to apply online toxicity monitoring in combination with online TOC measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>12 - 250</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from the production of mainly compounds containing phosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.5</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>The upper range results from production of mainly organic compounds containing nitrogen or from, e.g. fermentation processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic N</td>
<td>2 - 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from numerous AOX relevant productions and pretreatment of waste water streams with significant AOX loads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>0.1 - 1.7</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>The upper range results from numerous AOX relevant productions and pretreatment of waste water streams with significant AOX loads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.007 - 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.004 - 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>– 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>10 - 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>The upper range results from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDf</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity is also expressed as aquatic toxicity (EC50 levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDd</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity is also expressed as aquatic toxicity (EC50 levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDa</td>
<td>1 - 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity is also expressed as aquatic toxicity (EC50 levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDb</td>
<td>3 - 16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity is also expressed as aquatic toxicity (EC50 levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDb (SI)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity is also expressed as aquatic toxicity (EC50 levels)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table VIII: BAT for emissions from the biological WWTP
IV. Concluding remarks

The information exchange on Best Available Techniques for the Manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals was carried out from 2003 to 2005. The information exchange process was successful and a high degree of consensus was reached during and following the final meeting of the Technical Working Group. No split views were recorded. However, it has to be noted that increasing confidentiality concerns represented a considerable obstacle throughout the work.

The EC is launching and supporting, through its RTD programmes, a series of projects dealing with clean technologies, emerging effluent treatment and recycling technologies and management strategies. Potentially these projects could provide a useful contribution to future BREF reviews. Readers are therefore invited to inform the EIPPCB of any research results which are relevant to the scope of this document (see also the Preface of this document).
PREFACE

1. Status of this document


This document forms part of a series presenting the results of an exchange of information between EU Member States and industries concerned on best available technique (BAT), associated monitoring, and developments in them. It is published by the European Commission pursuant to Article 16(2) of the Directive, and must therefore be taken into account in accordance with Annex IV of the Directive when determining “best available techniques”.

2. Relevant legal obligations of the IPPC Directive and the definition of BAT

In order to help the reader understand the legal context in which this document has been drafted, some of the most relevant provisions of the IPPC Directive, including the definition of the term “best available techniques”, are described in this preface. This description is inevitably incomplete and is given for information only. It has no legal value and does not in any way alter or prejudice the actual provisions of the Directive.

The purpose of the Directive is to achieve integrated prevention and control of pollution arising from the activities listed in its Annex I, leading to a high level of protection of the environment as a whole. The legal basis of the Directive relates to environmental protection. Its implementation should also take account of other Community objectives such as the competitiveness of the Community’s industry thereby contributing to sustainable development.

More specifically, it provides for a permitting system for certain categories of industrial installations requiring both operators and regulators to take an integrated, overall look at the polluting and consuming potential of the installation. The overall aim of such an integrated approach must be to improve the management and control of industrial processes so as to ensure a high level of protection for the environment as a whole. Central to this approach is the general principle given in Article 3 that operators should take all appropriate preventative measures against pollution, in particular through the application of best available techniques enabling them to improve their environmental performance.

The term “best available techniques” is defined in Article 2(11) of the Directive as “the most effective and advanced stage in the development of activities and their methods of operation which indicate the practical suitability of particular techniques for providing in principle the basis for emission limit values designed to prevent and, where that is not practicable, generally to reduce emissions and the impact on the environment as a whole.” Article 2(11) goes on to clarify further this definition as follows:

“techniques” includes both the technology used and the way in which the installation is designed, built, maintained, operated and decommissioned;

“available” techniques are those developed on a scale which allows implementation in the relevant industrial sector, under economically and technically viable conditions, taking into consideration the costs and advantages, whether or not the techniques are used or produced inside the Member State in question, as long as they are reasonably accessible to the operator;

“best” means most effective in achieving a high general level of protection of the environment as a whole.
Furthermore, Annex IV of the Directive contains a list of “considerations to be taken into account generally or in specific cases when determining best available techniques... bearing in mind the likely costs and benefits of a measure and the principles of precaution and prevention”. These considerations include the information published by the Commission pursuant to Article 16(2).

Competent authorities responsible for issuing permits are required to take account of the general principles set out in Article 3 when determining the conditions of the permit. These conditions must include emission limit values, supplemented or replaced where appropriate by equivalent parameters or technical measures. According to Article 9(4) of the Directive, these emission limit values, equivalent parameters and technical measures must, without prejudice to compliance with environmental quality standards, be based on the best available techniques, without prescribing the use of any technique or specific technology, but taking into account the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. In all circumstances, the conditions of the permit must include provisions on the minimisation of long-distance or transboundary pollution and must ensure a high level of protection for the environment as a whole.

Member States have the obligation, according to Article 11 of the Directive, to ensure that competent authorities follow or are informed of developments in best available techniques.

3. Objective of this Document

Article 16(2) of the Directive requires the Commission to organise “an exchange of information between Member States and the industries concerned on best available techniques, associated monitoring and developments in them”, and to publish the results of the exchange.

The purpose of the information exchange is given in recital 25 of the Directive, which states that “the development and exchange of information at Community level about best available techniques will help to redress the technological imbalances in the Community, will promote the worldwide dissemination of limit values and techniques used in the Community and will help the Member States in the efficient implementation of this Directive.”

The Commission (Environment DG) established an information exchange forum (IEF) to assist the work under Article 16(2) and a number of technical working groups have been established under the umbrella of the IEF. Both IEF and the technical working groups include representation from Member States and industry as required in Article 16(2).

The aim of this series of documents is to reflect accurately the exchange of information which has taken place as required by Article 16(2) and to provide reference information for the permitting authority to take into account when determining permit conditions. By providing relevant information concerning best available techniques, these documents should act as valuable tools to drive environmental performance.

4. Information Sources

This document represents a summary of information collected from a number of sources, including in particular the expertise of the groups established to assist the Commission in its work, and verified by the Commission services. All contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

5. How to understand and use this document

The information provided in this document is intended to be used as an input to the determination of BAT in specific cases. When determining BAT and setting BAT-based permit conditions, account should always be taken of the overall goal to achieve a high level of protection for the environment as a whole.
The rest of this section describes the type of information that is provided in each section of the document.

Chapters 1 and 2 provide general information on the industrial sector concerned and on the industrial processes used within the sector. Chapter 3 provides data and information concerning current emission and consumption levels reflecting the situation in existing installations at the time of writing.

Chapter 4 describes in more detail the emission reduction and other techniques that are considered to be most relevant for determining BAT and BAT-based permit conditions. This information includes the consumption and emission levels considered achievable by using the technique, some idea of the costs and the cross-media issues associated with the technique, and the extent to which the technique is applicable to the range of installations requiring IPPC permits, for example new, existing, large or small installations. Techniques that are generally seen as obsolete are not included.

Chapter 5 presents the techniques and the emission and consumption levels that are considered to be compatible with BAT in a general sense. The purpose is thus to provide general indications regarding the emission and consumption levels that can be considered as an appropriate reference point to assist in the determination of BAT-based permit conditions or for the establishment of general binding rules under Article 9(8). It should be stressed, however, that this document does not propose emission limit values. The determination of appropriate permit conditions will involve taking account of local, site-specific factors such as the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. In the case of existing installations, the economic and technical viability of upgrading them also needs to be taken into account. Even the single objective of ensuring a high level of protection for the environment as a whole will often involve making trade-off judgements between different types of environmental impact, and these judgements will often be influenced by local considerations.

Although an attempt is made to address some of these issues, it is not possible for them to be considered fully in this document. The techniques and levels presented in Chapter 5 will therefore not necessarily be appropriate for all installations. On the other hand, the obligation to ensure a high level of environmental protection including the minimisation of long-distance or transboundary pollution implies that permit conditions cannot be set on the basis of purely local considerations. It is therefore of the utmost importance that the information contained in this document is fully taken into account by permitting authorities.

Reference plants

Apart from references to literature, this document refers frequently to reference plants. Due to widely spread confidentiality concerns, all reference plants are named with an alias (example: *199D,O,X*) where the number can be used to identify the reference plant throughout this document and the following letters indicate the production spectrum as follows:

A  API
B  Biocides and/or plant health products
D  Dyes and/or pigments
E  Explosives
F  Flavours and/or fragrances
I  Intermediates
L  Large integrated multiproduct site
O  Optical brighteners
V  Vitamins
X  Other OFC

A list of all reference plants is given in Table 9.1.
6. Future review and update

Since the best available techniques change over time, this document will be reviewed and updated as appropriate. All comments and suggestions should be made to the European IPPC Bureau at the Institute for Prospective Technological Studies at the following address:

Edificio Expo, c/ Inca Garcilaso, s/n, E-41092 Sevilla, Spain
Telephone: +34 95 4488 284
Fax: +34 95 4488 426
e-mail: jrc-ipts-eippecb@ec.europa.eu
Internet: http://eippecb.jrc.es
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SCOPE

The BREF on Organic Fine Chemicals (OFC) focuses on the batch manufacture of organic chemicals in multipurpose plants. Specifically this document targets the following sections from ANNEX 1 of the IPPC Directive:

4.1j Dyes and Pigments
4.4 Plant health products and biocides
4.5 Pharmaceutical products (chemical and biological processes)

and additionally

4.6 Explosives

as far as the manufacture of organic compounds is concerned.

Following the same theme of batch manufacture in multipurpose plants the following categories of chemicals are addressed in this document although not explicitly named in ANNEX 1:

- organic intermediates
- specialised surfactants
- flavours, fragrances, pheromones
- plasticisers
- vitamins (belonging to pharmaceuticals)
- optical brighteners (belonging to dyes and pigments)
- flame-retardants.

This list is not conclusive and no specific threshold was established in drawing a borderline to large volume production. Therefore it is implied that an OFC production site may also include dedicated production lines for “larger” volume products with batch, semi-batch or continuous operation.

The scope covers an enormous variety of produced substances. Therefore the document does not describe the production of specific, individual products but deals with environmentally relevant unit processes and unit operations, as well as with the usual infrastructure found at a typical site. The document cannot and is not intended to replace the chemical textbooks on “green chemistry” and indeed it gives only general guidance for the early stage of process design – and deals mainly with process modifications and especially with the management of unavoidable waste streams.

The interface to the BREF on CWW [31, European Commission, 2003]

The BREF on “Common waste gas and waste water treatment/management systems in the chemical sector” describes techniques which are commonly applicable in the whole spectrum of the chemical industry. As a result, only generic conclusions were derived, which de facto could not take into account the specific characteristics of the manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals.

Using the results of the BREF on CWW as a source of information, the BREF on OFC provides a further assessment of such techniques in the OFC context. The main aspect is the effect of the operational mode (batch manufacture, production campaigns, frequent product change) on the selection and the applicability of treatment techniques, as well as the implicit challenges of managing a multipurpose site. Furthermore the performance is assessed and conclusions are drawn based on OFC specific information and data.
Chapter 1

1 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 The sector

[18, CEFIC, 2003]

The chemical industry substantially contributes to the EU economy, with sales of over EUR 519000 million and a trade surplus of EUR 65000 million, making it Europe’s number one exporter. The chemical industry and all its sectors, especially the Organic Fine Chemicals (OFC) sector, is global in scope, competing on the world market with its products.

The chemical industry is Europe’s third largest industry, employing 1.7 million people directly and with an additional 3 million jobs directly supporting the chemical industry. The OFC sector is estimated to employ over 0.6 million people with a turnover of EUR 125000 million. Typical employers include large multinationals with organic fine chemical business units, but over 90% of all sector companies are either middle-sized or SMEs.

![Figure 1.1: Sectoral breakdown of EU chemical industry sales (2003)](image)

Other speciality and fine chemicals are produced in smaller volumes than base chemicals. Speciality chemicals cover the auxiliaries for industry, dyes & pigments, oleo-chemicals, crop protection, and paints & inks. Fine chemicals represent pharma-intermediates, agro-intermediates, and chemical intermediates. Pharmaceutical covers both basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations.
Organic fine chemical manufacturers produce a wide range of chemical substances, which are typically of a high added-value and produced in low volumes, mainly by batch processes in multipurpose plants. They are sold to companies, mostly other chemical companies, serving an immense range of end-user markets, on either a specification of purity or on their ability to deliver a particular effect. Typical major end-user markets are pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, dyestuffs, flavours and fragrances, speciality polymers, electronics, food additives, and catalysts. Typical of the OFC sector is the manufacture of products or intermediates for internal use, in addition to external sales. The global market has grown at around 4% per year and is currently valued at around EUR 265000 million (USD 300000 million). Demand patterns affecting typical European OFC manufacturers are:

- continued globalisation of major customers, e.g. pharmaceutical companies, consequently reducing the number of new chemical substances requiring contract manufacture
- continued shift of manufacturing activities to the Far East
- continued rationalisation of large multinationals, leading to more outsourcing of existing and new low volume substances
- increasing costs of regulation, affecting the cost base especially of smaller manufacturers.

![Figure 1.2: Number of enterprises and sales by employment size](image)

OFC manufacturers range in size from very small (<10 staff) to very large multinationals (>20000 staff), with typical manufacturing sites having between 150 and 250 staff.
It is a feature of the sector to complement manufacturing with special customer services such as synthesis, contract manufacture, research and screening and the supply of research and laboratory chemicals. Key attributes of OFC manufacturers are:

- strong management, supported by flexible technical and process skills
- strong application and customer services [99, D2 comments, 2005]
- adoption of international management systems, e.g. ISO 9001, ISO 14001, EMAS and a “Responsible Care Programme”
- ability to perform a range of complex chemistries at scales from kilos to tonnes
- unique technology platforms and willingness to adopt new, proven technologies
- well supported multi-functional assets capable of operating to cGMP when required
- regulatory and analytical infrastructure
- fast-track development and manufacture
- strategic commitment to custom synthesis manufacture
- flexibility and responsiveness
- strong ability of innovation and links with universities and research organisations
- significant efforts to replace hazardous substances [99, D2 comments, 2005].
1.2 Environmental issues

The key environmental issues of the OFC sector are:

- emission of volatile organic compounds
- waste waters with potential for high loads of non-degradable organic compounds
- relatively large quantities of spent solvents
- non-recyclable waste in high ratio.

The enormous variety of possibly handled (and emitted) substances also includes highly harmful compounds which may be toxic, suspected of being carcinogenic or being carcinogenic.

The following values may give an impression of the dimensions:

- if a new company with a solvent input of 10000 tonnes per year (which is not unusual) meets the limits of the VOC Directive, overall emissions of 500 tonnes VOC yearly are possible
- if the same company does not operate its own recycling/incineration facilities, the remaining portion of about 9500 tonnes spent solvents have to be disposed of
- for the same company, it would be not unusual to emit 50 tonnes COD yearly, representing organic compounds which were not degraded in the company’s waste water treatment plant
- from a larger plant with a more “difficult” production spectrum, an annual emission of 1000 tonnes COD is possible.

Prevention, minimisation and recovery/abatement of waste streams

The reaction itself and the operations carried out to purify or separate the product create a variety of waste streams (exhaust gases, mother liquors, wash-waters, spent solvents, spent catalysts, by-products) which have to be identified. If a particular waste stream cannot be avoided by process modification, it is a crucial challenge in the multipurpose plant to assign this waste stream to recovery or abatement facilities or to dispose of it as waste (Figure 1.3).

![Diagram of waste stream management](image-url)

Figure 1.3: Management of waste streams
1.3 Some products

1.3.1 Organic dyes and pigments


1.3.1.1 Overview

Dyes and pigments can be classified according to their chemical structure or their mode of application. The most important commercial products are the azo, anthraquinone, sulphur, indigoid, triphenylmethane and phthalocyanine dyes. Figure 1.4 shows the major chromophores and Table 1.1 shows the classification of dyes by use or method of application.

![Dye Structures](image-url)

(a) Phthalocyanine dye  (b) Triarylmethane dye  
(c) Indigoids  (d) Azo dye  
(e) Anthraquinone dye

Figure 1.4: Major chromophores of commercially important dyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dyeing method</th>
<th>Preferred substrate/typical application</th>
<th>Principal chemical class</th>
<th>Solubility in water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reactive dyes</td>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>Azo, metallised azo, phthalocyanine, anthraquinone</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disperse dyes</td>
<td>Polyester, electronic photography</td>
<td>Non-ionic</td>
<td>Insoluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct dyes</td>
<td>Cotton, regenerated cellulose</td>
<td>Anionic, poly-azo</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vat dyes</td>
<td>Cellulose fibres</td>
<td>Anthraquinone, indigoids</td>
<td>Insoluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur dyes</td>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>Sulphur dyes</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cationic or basic</td>
<td>Paper, polyacrylo nitril, polyesters</td>
<td>Triarylmethane</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid dyes</td>
<td>Nylon, wool, silk, leather, paper, ink-jets</td>
<td></td>
<td>Soluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent dyes</td>
<td>Plastics, gasoline, oils, waxes</td>
<td>Azo, anthraquinone</td>
<td>Insoluble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: Classification of dyes by use or method of application
Apart from one or two notable exceptions, all dye types used today were discovered in the 1880s. The introduction of the synthetic fibres such as nylon, polyester and polyacrylonitrile during the period 1930 – 1950, produced the next significant challenge. The discovery of reactive dyes in 1954 and their commercial launch heralded a major breakthrough in the dyeing of cotton. Intensive research into reactive dyes followed over the next two decades and is still continuing today.

One important theme in research today is the replacement of tinctorially weak chromogens, such as anthraquinone, with tinctorially stronger chromogens, such as (heterocyclic) azo dyes. Considerable activity is also being dedicated to high tech applications, especially in the electronics and non-impact printing industries.

1.3.1.2 Pigments

Pigments are defined as colouring agents that are practically insoluble in the application medium, whereas dyes are colouring agents that are soluble in the application medium.

In colouring, the crystalline pigment is applied in the solid state, not in the dissolved form, to the medium being coloured. Both the chemical and the physical properties of the pigments (e.g. particulate size, particulate size distribution, special types of surface and specific surface area, crystal modification, and crystal form) are important for their industrial application.

Many organic pigments and dyes have the same basic chemical structure. The insolubility required in pigments can be obtained by excluding solubilising groups, by forming insoluble salts (lake formation) of carboxylic or sulphonic acids, by metal complex formation in compounds without solubilising groups, and particularly by incorporating groups that reduce solubility (e.g. amide groups).

Figure 1.5 shows the largest areas of use of organic pigments.

![Figure 1.5: Main uses of organic pigments](image)

The remaining organic pigments (“Other”) are used in textile printing and a number of smaller sectors, including contactless printing processes, office articles and accessories (e.g. coloured pencils, crayons, chalks), and the colouring of wood, cosmetics, and paper.
1.3.1.3 Economics

The scale and growth of the dyes industry is linked to that of the textile industry. World textile production has grown steadily to an estimated 35 million tonnes in 1990. The two most important textile fibres are cotton and polyester. Consequently, dye manufacturers tend to concentrate their efforts on producing dyes for these two fibres. The estimated world production of dyes and pigments in 1990 was 1 million tonnes. The rapid growth in the high tech uses of dyes, particularly in ink-jet printing, is beginning to make an impact. Although the volumes in this area remain small in comparison to dyes for traditional applications, the value will be significant because of the much higher price.

![Pie chart showing share of the world textile dye market](image1.jpg)

**Figure 1.6: Share of the world textile dye market attributable to major manufacturers**

![Pie chart showing share of the world organic pigments market](image2.jpg)

**Figure 1.7: Share of the world organic pigments market attributable to main geographic regions**

The Western European share of world production has declined from 95 % in the early 1900s to about 40 %, taking into account that a large part of US manufacture and that of other countries is based on Western European subsidiaries. This decline is coupled with an increase of production in commodity dyestuffs in lower cost countries such as India, Taiwan and China. The world output of organic dyes is estimated to be 750000 tonnes per year [6, Ullmann, 2001].

The major European dye manufacturers have undergone major reorganisations, mergers and acquisitions to focus on “core” activities (Table 1.2).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Current Company</th>
<th>Original companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Dystar</td>
<td>Bayer, Hoechst, BASF, textile dyes from Zeneca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Clariant</td>
<td>Sandoz, Hoechst Speciality Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciba Speciality Chemicals</td>
<td>Ciba-Geigy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Avecia</td>
<td>ICI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yorkshire</td>
<td>Crompton and Knowles (US)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Restructuring of the major Western European dye manufacturers  
[20, Bamfield, 2001]

1.3.2 Active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs)


1.3.2.1 Overview

Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) are based on organic molecules which have been synthesised and modified to provide medicinal products and comprise the largest segment of available drugs. Biotechnology is part of the pharmaceutical industry today, but drugs based on organic chemistry remain the largest part of R&D and comprise the largest percentage of new drugs launched yearly. Figure 1.8 gives some examples, but in reality the variety in the world is enormous.

![Examples of APIs](image)

(a) Benzodiazepams  (b) Penicillins  (c) Steroids  (d) Indole alkaloids  (e) Barbiturates  (f) Sulphonamides  (g) Pyrazolones

Figure 1.8: Examples of APIs

1.3.2.2 Legal requirements and process modifications

Where API manufacture on a site requires the observance of the rules of current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) or approval by the European Medicine Evaluation Agency (EMEA), the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or other applicable medicine approval authorities, process modifications can be only carried out fulfilling the required variation procedure. This represents a serious obstacle for the redesign of existing processes. This is even more the case if the API is supplied to a number of different marketing application holders (which is the case for about 75% of the total volume of API production).
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1.3.2.3 Economics

The pharmaceutical industry is a major industrial asset to the European economy, strongly research-based and one of the best performing high technology sectors. Europe produces more than 40 % of the world’s pharmaceutical output by value, making it still the world’s leading manufacturing location ahead of the US (over 30 %) and Japan (20 %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUR millions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D expenditure</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>7900</td>
<td>17000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical market value at manufacturer’s prices</td>
<td>27600</td>
<td>42100</td>
<td>87000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical market value at retail prices</td>
<td>43200</td>
<td>67900</td>
<td>131000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>437600</td>
<td>505000</td>
<td>540000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Economic data for the European pharmaceutical industries
[21, EFPIA, 2003]

Although Europe still leads the world in pharmaceutical manufacturing, the US has taken the lead in innovation in terms of R&D investments and the introduction of new pharmaceuticals, e.g. patenting biomedicines.

Like many other industries, the pharmaceutical industry is undergoing change. In addition to constantly assimilating new technologies into its research and adjusting to changing market and regulatory environments, a number of pharmaceutical company mergers are taking place.

The pharmaceutical industry is highly fragmented. The largest companies have less than 5 % of the worldwide market share for pharmaceuticals. Perhaps as a result, mergers and acquisitions have become more frequent. Some examples are the merger of the two British companies Glaxo and Wellcome; the merger of the life sciences operations of Hoechst, Marion Merril Dow, Rousell, and Rorer in a series of transactions to form Aventis; Sanofi merged with Synthelabo; Novartis which was formed by a merger of the Swiss companies Ciba Geigy and Sandoz; and the merger of Astra and Zeneca to form AstraZeneca.

1.3.3 Vitamins

[2, Onken, 1996, 6, Ullmann, 2001]

Vitamins are essential, organic compounds which are either not synthesised in the human and animal organism or which are formed only in insufficient amounts. Pro-vitamins can be converted to the vitamin in the body. A typical representative of the pro-vitamins is β-carotene, which is split into two molecules of vitamin A in the organism.

Vitamins are classified not chemically but by their activity. The historical distinction between fat- and water-soluble vitamins has been retained to this day, since the solution properties are important not only for the occurrence, but also for the behaviour of vitamins in the organism (resorption, transport, excretory pathways, and storage).

Fourteen compounds or groups of compounds have been classified as vitamins (Table 1.4).

The worldwide market value for vitamins as a bulk product is estimated to be EUR 25600 million (DEM 50000 million) per year [6, Ullmann, 2001].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound/group</th>
<th>Chemical family</th>
<th>Single substance</th>
<th>Production in tonnes per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>Retinols</td>
<td>Vitamin A&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other carotenoids</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
<td>Calciferols</td>
<td>Vitamin D&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>Tocopherols, tocotrienols</td>
<td>α-tocopherol</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin K</td>
<td>Phylloquinone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Thiamin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Nicotinacid amide</td>
<td></td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Pyridoxal group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Cobalamins</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>L-ascorbic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantothenic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.4: Compounds and groups classified as vitamins

![Pie chart showing use of vitamins by sectors](image)

Figure 1.9: Use of vitamins by sectors
[6, Ullmann, 2001]

1.3.4 Biocides and plant health products

1.3.4.1 Overview


Biocides and plant health products are substances or mixtures of substances intended for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pest. A more common term for these products is “pesticides”, which is taken to include herbicides and other groups as detailed below.

Pests are living organisms that occur where they are not wanted or where they cause damage to crops or humans or other animals. Table 1.5 gives an overview of the types of biocides and plant health products according to the type of pest they control, and Figure 1.10 gives some examples of biocides and plant health products derived by chemical synthesis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide group</th>
<th>Pest group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insecticides</td>
<td>Pest insects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbicides</td>
<td>Weeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungicides</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acaricides</td>
<td>Mites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematocides</td>
<td>Plant-parasitic nematodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulluscicides</td>
<td>Gastropods (snails)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodenticides</td>
<td>Rodent (e.g. mice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiocides</td>
<td>Bacteria, viruses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1.5: Pesticide groups according to the type of pest they control

![Chemical structures](image)

(a) Bromoxynil  
(b) Nitrofen  
(c) Atrazin  
(d) Glyphosate  
(e) Diuron  
(f) Sevin  
(g) Phyrethroids  
(h) Methoxychlor  
(i) 2,3,6 trichlorobenzoic acid

Figure 1.10: Examples of biocides and plant health products

### 1.3.4.2 Process modifications in manufacturing crop protection agents

[56, Jungblut, 2004]

Depending on the country requirements, usually the manufacturing route, description of the starting materials and a specification have to be explained. A modification, which may result in a change to the technical specification, can require some additional studies. For example, changes in the purity of an active ingredient may modify its toxicological or ecotoxicological profile or its ability to be formulated into a plant protection product. The crop protection manufacturing process is subject to specific regulations for any changes to the once approved process via the submission of a “5-batch analysis”. This has to show that the proposed change does not affect the minimum purity of the active ingredient or the maximum levels of any other impurities or lead to the presence of any new impurities.
1.3.4.3 Economics of crop protection


Not all biocides and plant health products are used for crop protection but only the following information has been submitted.

Over the past ten years, the European crop protection market has been affected by highly volatile economic and political conditions. Despite the pressure that the agricultural sector has been subjected to, the market for crop protection products in the EU continues to be the second largest in the world after North America. Figure 1.12 and Figure 1.13 give an overview of the market composition and development in Europe.

![Figure 1.11: European crop protection market in 2001 showing percentages](image)

![Figure 1.12: Western European market (EU and EFTA) by product sector, 2001](image)

Over the past ten years, the relatively poor performance of the global market (see Figure 1.13) has resulted in considerable consolidation, with a number of companies leaving the market. From a European perspective, the most significant moves have been the merger of Zeneca and Novartis to form Syngenta, the acquisition of Cyanamid by BASF, and the purchase of Aventis by Bayer (so bringing the former operations of Rhone-Poulenc, Hoechst, Schering, Boots and Fisons into one company). Six companies now dominate the global market, with three of these (Syngenta, BASF and Bayer) based in Europe. The other three US companies (Monsanto, Dow and Dupont) all have significant subsidiaries in the EU.
Figure 1.13: Real growth in the Western European crop protection market, 1990 – 2001

1.3.5 Fragrances and flavours

[6, Ullmann, 2001]

Fragrance and flavour substances are comparatively strong smelling organic compounds with characteristic, usually pleasant odours. They are used in perfumes and perfumed products, as well as for the flavouring of foods and beverages. Whether a particular product is called a fragrance or a flavour substance depends on its use. Natural products are obtained directly from plant or animal sources by physical procedures. Nature identical compounds are produced synthetically, but are chemically identical to their natural counterparts. Artificial flavour substances are compounds that have not yet been identified in plant or animal products for human consumption. Nature identical aroma substances are, with very few exceptions, the only synthetic compounds used in flavours besides natural products. Figure 1.14 gives some examples.

Figure 1.14: Examples of some fragrance and flavour substances

(a) β-ionone  (b) Coumarin
(d) Geraniol  (e) Vanillin  (c) Nerolidol
1.3.6 Optical brighteners

[2, Onken, 1996, 6, Ullmann, 2001]

Optical brighteners or, more accurately, fluorescent whitening agents, are colourless to weakly coloured organic compounds that, in solution or applied to a substrate, absorb ultraviolet light (e.g. from daylight at c. 300 – 430 nm) and re-emit most of the absorbed energy as blue fluorescent light between c. 400 and 500 nm. In daylight, optical brighteners can thus compensate for the aesthetically undesirable yellowish cast found in white industrial substrates, such as textiles, papers, or plastics. Figure 1.15 gives some examples.

(a) Diphenyl pyrazolines (b) Coumarins (c) Stilbenes

Figure 1.15: Examples of some optical brighteners
1.3.7 Flame-retardants

[6, Ullmann, 2001, 24, EFRA, 2003]

Flame retarded materials are modified or treated to be more resistant to ignition than non-flame retarded materials, or to have slower rates of flame spread in a major fire that is initiated by some other source; however, the flame retarded article will still ultimately burn.

Organic flame-retardants are often brominated compounds. The HBr from their decomposition is very effective in deactivating free radicals in the vapour phase. The formation of new radicals is also reduced as less heat is generated, and the entire combustion process is slowed.

Chlorinated compounds function in the same manner. In practice, often twice as much compound containing chlorine is required as compound containing bromine. The difference approaches the atomic mass ratio for the two halogens, i.e. 79.90: 35.45 = 2.25. Figure 1.16 gives some examples.

![Diagram of flame-retardants](image)

(a) Pentabromo chloro cyclohexane  
(b) Tetrabromo phthalic anhydride  
(c) Hexabromo benzene

Figure 1.16: Examples of some flame-retardants

In 2001, the market value of brominated flame-retardant compounds was EUR 864 million (USD 774 million), related to a worldwide consumption of 774000 tonnes. Figure 1.17 and Figure 1.18 show the world market share and the market composition, respectively.

![Market share of brominated flame-retardants by region](image)

Figure 1.17: World market for brominated flame-retardant compounds by region
1.3.8 Plasticisers

[6, Ullmann, 2001]

A plasticiser is a substance incorporated into a material to increase its flexibility, workability, or distensibility. A plasticiser may reduce the melt viscosity, lower the temperature of the second-order transition, or lower the elastic modulus of the product. Plasticisers are inert, organic substances with low vapour pressures, predominantly esters, and react physically with high polymers to form a homogeneous physical unit, whether it be by means of swelling or dissolving or any other. At present some 300 plasticisers are manufactured, of which at least 100 are of commercial importance. Figure 1.19 shows some of the most widely used plasticisers.

In 1996, production of plasticisers in Western Europe amounted to $1253 \times 10^3$ tonnes per year and in the United States to $636 \times 10^3$ tonnes per year [99, D2 comments, 2005]. In terms of plasticiser types, the majority of this tonnage (>85%) is standard phthalate (esters of phthalic anhydride with C8 – C10 alcohols). The reasons for this are the relatively low price and readily available feedstocks. The remainder of the market is taken up by phthalate esters of other alcohols, speciality phthalates, adipates, trimellitates, and other esters.
Organic chemical explosives are classified as “secondary explosives” [6, Ullmann, 2001] and represent active ingredients extensively used in energetic products such as dynamites and smokeless powders. Figure 1.20 gives some examples.

Physical explosives (usually considered only as “blasting agents”) are produced by the mixing of non-explosive materials (or materials not intended to be explosive) e.g. diesel oil, ammonium nitrate, and sodium perchlorate.

Explosives are dangerous goods (class 1 ADR) and consequently, due to safety concerns Member States have established special regulations covering their transport, storage and manufacturing. Nearly every change in manufacturing processes and operations requires authorisation by national security authorities.

Secondary explosives are used for industrial and military purposes. Production is carried out by private companies in many countries, e.g. UK, Germany, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, Portugal. In Spain, production was shared between a national company and private ones until 2004. Nowadays, all Spanish companies are privately operated and owned. In France, military secondary explosives are manufactured by a national company.

Production figures and prices are usually not available, but as an example, the yearly production of civil explosives (both inorganic and organic, including mixtures or blasting agents) in Spain and Portugal amounts to about 95000 tonnes. Only about 9000 tonnes of these are organic explosives produced by chemical methods.
Chapter 2

2 APPLIED PROCESSES AND TECHNIQUES

This chapter describes a range of processes and techniques applied in the OFC sector, often by giving examples. The selection is based on the information provided and is restricted to examples where the provided information enables the discussion of environmental issues.

2.1 Conception: unit processes and operations

[16, Winnacker and Kuechler, 1982], [55, CEFIC, 2003]

The chemistry of fine organic intermediates and products shows an enormous diversity. This results in the problem that at first sight – with regard to environmental issues – no OFC installation seems to be comparable to another. But in reality the number of processes and operations used remains reasonably small and only a limited number of environmental issues have to be discussed.

The primary chemicals used for the manufacture of products such as dyes, pharmaceuticals or biocides are called intermediates and are prepared on an industrial scale from basic organic (usually aromatic) raw materials by various chemical procedures (unit processes). The choice of physical procedures which are applied is limited in a similar way (unit operations). The main unit processes and operations are listed in Table 2.1, which, however, is not conclusive. Often, the route taken to go from the basic organic raw material to the target product is via several unit processes (see Figure 2.1) and possibly includes several unit operations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit processes</th>
<th>Unit operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acylation</td>
<td>Charging reactants and solvents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>Inerting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylation</td>
<td>Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxylation</td>
<td>Discharging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxymethylation</td>
<td>Crystallisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td>Filtration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazotisation and modification of the diazo group</td>
<td>Product washing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esterification</td>
<td>Drying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogenation</td>
<td>Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrination</td>
<td>Electro dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rearrangements</td>
<td>Phase separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Adsorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitution</td>
<td>Distillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphitation</td>
<td>Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphonation</td>
<td>Apparatus cleaning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Main unit processes and unit operations used in industrial fine organic chemistry
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2.1.1 Intermediates


The number of intermediates actually or potentially available is very large and the technology of their manufacture is an important part of industrial organic chemistry.

Starting raw materials are aromatic hydrocarbons, such as benzene, toluene, naphthalene, anthracene, pyrene, phenol, pyridine and carbazol, as well as a wide range of aliphatic compounds like, e.g. alcohols, carbonic acids, heterocyclic compounds.

Aromatic hydrocarbons undergo four electrophilic substitution reactions – the Friedel-Crafts reaction, halogenation, nitration and sulphonation – as well as oxidation and reduction. The reactions lead to substituted hydrocarbons (primary intermediates).

Most manufacturing processes for primary intermediates are run continuously and with dedicated equipment, because of the large production volume. Subsequent modification steps are usually carried out in batches. Table 2.2 gives some examples. “One pot” synthesis indicates that several reaction steps are carried out without work-up of the intermediates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Unit process</th>
<th>Mode of operation</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary intermediate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>Nitration</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>Chlorination</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-toluene sulphonic acid</td>
<td>Sulphonation</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-nitroaniline</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-chloro-3-nitrobenzene sulphonic acid</td>
<td>Sulphonation</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>Dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4-trichloro-6-nitrophenol</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>Batch, semi-batch</td>
<td>Pesticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromamine acid</td>
<td>Sulphonation</td>
<td>Batch, “one pot”</td>
<td>Dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphonation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bromination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazolone</td>
<td>Diazotisation</td>
<td>Batch, “one pot”</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dyes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Examples for primary intermediates and intermediates
2.1.2 Isomers and by-products

The stepwise introduction of substituents and their subsequent modification (see Figure 2.1), in combination with the unavoidable drastic reaction conditions (e.g. reactivity of halogens, oxidative effects of concentrated sulphuric/nitric acids, higher reaction temperatures for deactivated aromatics), can lead to an increasing number of side reactions and unwanted by-products, e.g:

- position isomers
- higher and lower substituted compounds
- modification of substituents
- oxidation products
- by-products derived from the by-products if work-up is omitted (“one pot synthesis”).

![Figure 2.1: Illustrative example of a synthesis using several unit processes](image)

Often recovery and re-use of isomers or by-products is technically possible (e.g. as starting material in other plants or sectors). But in many cases a recovery appears difficult due to economical, ecological or legal requirements. If a recovery is not possible, unwanted isomers/by-products have to be separated from the product and contribute to waste or waste water streams.

The nitration of toluene given in Table 2.3 can be used as an illustrative example. In this case, the isomers are separated and purified by distillation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting material</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Isomers</th>
<th>By-products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>Nitratin with HNO₃</td>
<td>o-Nitrotoluene (59.5 %)</td>
<td>Nitrophenols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m-Nitrotoluene (4 %)</td>
<td>Nitrocresols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p-Nitrotoluene (36 %)</td>
<td>Nitrohydroxy benzoic acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PhenylNitromethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetranitromethane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Example for the formation of isomers and by-products
2.2 Multipurpose plants

Multipurpose plants enable the operator to manufacture different products with a certain flexibility according to market requirements. Figure 2.2 gives an impression of the arrangement in a typical plant, which uses gravity flow for efficient material transport. The main components in the multipurpose plant are:

- raw material storage (warehouse, tank farm)
- reactors and vessels
- finished and intermediate storage
- utilities (e.g. cooling, vacuum, steam, cleaning)
- process control systems
- feed tanks (usually upper floors)
- purification and separation equipment
- recovery and abatement facilities
- blow-off systems and catch tanks.

Production campaigns with batch wise, semi-batch or continuous operation of reactors and facilities for product work-up alternate with shutdown, cleaning and start-up situations.

Figure 2.2: Typical layout for a multipurpose plant

The example schedule given in Figure 2.3 shows the utilisation of 17 vessels in a production building on a multipurpose plant with 22 different products/intermediates (shown as different colours). This picture could vary drastically over the year due to a possibly changed market situation. The use of the capacity varies from 60 to 95%. Lower values would be critical from an economic point of view. Higher values would represent a real challenge from an operational point of view.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel</th>
<th>September 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of service

Figure 2.3: Example for the utilisation of the vessels in a production building
2.3 Equipment and unit operations

2.3.1 Reactors

[6, Ullmann, 2001]

The main equipment in multipurpose plants is the **stirred tank reactor**, which fulfils the flexibility requirements arising from the varied physical states of the materials being used (e.g. dry powders, wet solids, pastes, liquids, emulsions, gases).

The vessels are required to withstand a range of process conditions (e.g. temperature, pressure, corrosion) and are thus usually made of stainless steel, rubber- or glass-lined steel, enamel coated, or other special materials. The mechanical design of the agitator baffles and cooling systems is constrained by the need to attach and maintain the rubber or glass lining.

Other characteristics:

- used for both batch and continuous mode, as well as in cascades
- sized up to 60 m³ (fermentation reactors up to about 1000 m³)
- usually dished bottom (reactions may be carried out under pressure)
- equipped with one or more stirrers to ensure the requested mixing degree, heat-exchange performance, etc.
- jackets or half pipe coils are often fitted around the vessel to provide heat transfer
- wall baffles are installed inside to prevent the gross rotation (“swirl”) of the contents with the stirrer.

![Stirred tank reactor](image)

**Figure 2.4**: Stirred tank reactor (conventional temperature control, left) and loop reactor (right)

Other used reactor types are, e.g:

- loop reactors (closed loop or continuous loop)
- bubble columns (closed loop or continuous loop)
- pipe reactors
- tubular reactors.
2.3.1.1 Liquid addition to reactors

[18, CEFIC, 2003]

Liquids are added to reaction vessels for a number of reasons, e.g.

- raw material charge as part of a recipe for a batch reaction
- raw material for a semi-batch reaction (i.e. reacts as it is charged)
- adjustment of reactant concentrations
- refluxing to control the reaction temperature
- quenching to stop a reaction
- cleaning.

Many factors, such as material properties, operational mode, reactor temperature and pressure, reactor contents as well as related management/procedures and training are taken into account for designing or reviewing this operation.

The common methods of charging include:

- pumping from storage tanks, process vessels, road cars, IBCs, drums
- gravity flow
- pressurised gas transfer (compressed air, nitrogen, etc.)
- vacuum transfer
- manual charging [99, D2 comments, 2005].

For information on material transfer with pigging systems see Section 4.2.8.

Vessels must be protected from over/under pressure by construction design, safety relief systems and/or appropriate control systems.

The environmental issue is the displacement of gas/vapours from the reactor into a vent system during filling (if gas balancing is not possible). The vent system discharges via a recovery/abatement system if treatment is required or directly to the air.

2.3.2 Equipment and operations for product work-up

[18, CEFIC, 2003, 46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]

2.3.2.1 Drying

Many different dryer types are available and are in use. They include among others: fluidised bed dryers, vacuum dryers, spray dryers, band/belt dryers.

Common environmental issues are the:

- removal of gases or vapour of the solvent together with
- finer solid material.

Therefore, dryers are usually connected to a dust collection system (cyclones, filters, scrubbers) and/or VOC recovery/abatement systems (scrubbers, adsorption, condensers).
2.3.2.2 Liquid-solid separation

Liquid-solid separation is used, e.g. for the separation of a precipitated product, catalyst, solid impurities. The spectrum of the available and used equipment is wide and includes decanters, decanter centrifuges, sieves, sand filters, rotary drum filters, band filters, plate filters, Nutsche filters, membrane systems, centrifuges.

The environmental issues are linked to the properties of the liquid. The main aspects are:

- VOCs from vents
- organic or aqueous mother liquors or washes.

These are usually treated by applying recovery or abatement techniques.

2.3.2.3 Distillation

Distillation is carried out to separate or purify volatile components from less volatile components. A distillation unit always consists of a means of heating the feed, the column or the vapour line (with many alternatives of packing to achieve specific results) and a heat-exchanger to condense the vapours.

The main environmental issues are:

- energy efficiency (efficient design, insulation, heating, cooling)
- emissions to air from the condenser vent in case of atmospheric distillations
- waste streams (which can be re-used or recovered, or disposed of)
- wastes from cleaning.

2.3.2.4 Liquid-liquid extraction

Liquid-liquid extraction or solvent extraction is a separation process which is based on the different distribution of the components to be separated between two liquid phases.

Liquid-liquid extraction is primarily applied where direct separation methods such as distillation and crystallisation cannot be used or are too costly. Liquid-liquid extraction is also used when the components to be separated are heat sensitive (e.g. antibiotics) or relatively non-volatile.

Extraction apparatus can be classified into countercurrent columns, centrifugal extractors, and mixer-settlers. In a simple case, even a stirred tank may be applicable. All industrial equipment designs use the principle of dispersing one of the two liquids into the other in order to enlarge the contact area for mass transfer.

The main environmental issues are linked to the properties of the liquids:

- VOCs from vents
- organic or aqueous mother liquors.

These are usually treated by applying recovery or abatement techniques.
2.3.3 Cooling

[57, UBA, 2004]

Cooling can be carried out directly or indirectly (Table 2.4). For information on indirect cooling see also Section 4.2.9. Direct cooling is also used as a reaction stopper in emergency situations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Environmental issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water injection</td>
<td>Direct cooling is carried out by injection of water, usually to cool down vapour phases</td>
<td>Waste water streams loaded with vapour contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition of ice or water</td>
<td>Addition of ice or water is carried out to adjust temperature of processes (e.g. to enable temperature jumps or shocks)</td>
<td>Increased volume of waste water streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indirect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface heat-exchange</td>
<td>Indirect cooling is provided by surface heat-exchangers, where the cooling medium (e.g. water, brines) is pumped in a separate circuit</td>
<td>Cooling waters and spent brines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4: Direct and indirect cooling

2.3.4 Cleaning

[46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]

Due to the frequent product changes, well established cleaning procedures are required to avoid cross-contamination, e.g. for the production of intermediates and APIs.

The cleaning of equipment, such as reactors, centrifuges and sieves is carried out using water, sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid, acetone, specific solvents and steam, depending on the equipment or substances to be cleaned. The cleaning process is finished with water to rinse or with an organic solvent (water free rinsing) where the drying of the equipment is important.

The cleaning process can be carried out in different ways:

**With hoses:** Cleaning is carried out with pressurised water from a hose to reduce water consumption.

**Cleaning-in-place units (CIP):** Different systems of cleaning have been established to limit emissions and to improve efficiency, such as the CIP system, Cleaning-in-place allows equipment to be cleaned directly inside with water scatterers under pressure and allows cleaning liquids to be recovered (where the operator is not concerned about cross-contamination). CIP also enables the operator to carry out the cleaning process without the need to take the equipment apart or for workers to enter the vessels.

The main **environmental issues** are:

- waste water streams loaded with residual substances from processes or cleaning
- VOC releases to air from the use of solvents
- and solvents containing residual substances from processes or cleaning.
2.3.5 Energy supply

[43, Chimia, 2000]

Two main sources of energy are consumed on the typical site:

- steam
- electricity.

Generally, only steam is produced on-site and electricity is supplied by an external source. Cogeneration by self-production of electricity and steam is advantageous on large sites.

Energy is normally provided by boilers equipped with turbines fitted with burners for natural gas and fuel oil, with gas being the main fuel (about 95%). Spent solvents are often used as a fuel together with gas.

Figure 2.5 shows an example for a setup with two boilers sharing an exhaust gas recuperator. Here the smaller boiler (boiler 1 which produces 80 tonnes steam/hour) is mainly used in summer and the larger boiler (boiler 2 which produces 160 tonnes steam/hour) mainly in winter, when the steam demand is higher. The recuperator cools down the exhaust gas from 130 °C to about 45 °C and warms the water from 20 °C to about 60 °C. About 3.8 MW heat is recovered.

Steam and electricity can also be provided by on-site combined cycle power plants, thermal oxidisers or incinerators.

![Diagram of energy supply setup with two boilers](image-url)
2.3.6 Vacuum systems

[9, Christ, 1999]

Many processes in organic chemistry are operated under vacuum. A number of criteria influence the selection of a vacuum pump, such as the required pressure difference, volume flows, temperature, etc. The choice of the pump type is relevant also from the environmental point of view. Table 2.5 gives an overview to some pump types and the environmental issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pump type</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Main environmental issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid ring vacuum pump</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water ring pumps cause relatively large amounts of waste waters streams. If VOCs are present, these contaminate the waste water stream. Especially halogenated hydrocarbons can be a problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>Contamination with the pumped substance, typically connected to a recovery system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry vacuum pump</td>
<td>No medium, no lubrication</td>
<td>No contamination of any medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry vacuum pump</td>
<td>No medium, with lubrication</td>
<td>The lubrication oil has to be collected and disposed of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.5: Some pump types and their main environmental issues

For information on vacuum generation see also Sections 4.2.5 and 4.2.6.
2.3.7 Recovery/abatement of exhaust gases

[15, Köppke, 2000]; [31, European Commission, 2003], *019A, I*

Figure 2.6 gives an overview of the recovery/abatement techniques applied to exhaust gases. Oxidisers include thermal and catalytic oxidation. The choice of a particular technique or a particular combination of techniques depends on the contaminants present and especially on the VOC concentration, flow and the variations in concentration and flow. Additionally, various types of filters can be used to recover particulates.

Events of planned or emergency shutdowns may require back-up systems or modifications of schedules and hours of operation.

Primary and secondary condensers (non-cryogenic) are directly attached to the reactors. If VOC rich exhaust gases are treated by thermal oxidation, other streams can be used as the oxygen source, e.g. VOC lean or odorous streams.

**Thermal oxidisers and incinerators**

In this document, the term “thermal oxidation” is used where applied to the gas phase, however, where co-treatment of gas, liquids and/or solids is carried out, then “incineration” is used.

The gas collection system consists of the source enclosure, vents and pipes. The gas flowrate is minimised by encasing the source as far as feasible. Explosion risks are controlled by the installation of flammability detectors inside the collection system and by keeping the gas mixture securely below the lower explosion limit (“LEL”, usually below 25 % LEL), above the higher explosion limit or by inertisation.
2.3.8 Recovery/abatements applied to waste water streams

Figure 2.7 gives an overview of the applied recovery/abatement techniques applied to waste water streams. The total effluent is normally treated in a biological WWTP, on-site or together with other waste water in off-site plants (in most cases municipal).

Particular waste water streams which are not suitable for biological treatment are segregated and separately pretreated or disposed of as waste (e.g. incineration).

In order to ensure a uniform input level for the biological WWTP as much as possible, a sufficient buffer volume is provided. Providing equalisation can often efficiently reduce toxicity to a level where it will not adversely impact the operations of a biological WWTP.

Figure 2.7: Typically applied recovery/abatement techniques for waste water streams on OFC sites
2.3.9 Groundwater protection and fire fighting water

[46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003], *019A,I*

The aim is to avoid the disruption of production operations and to avoid the discharge of substances hazardous to water. The strategy for groundwater protection is based on three major aspects:

(1) stable and leak-proof facilities
(2) adequate retention volumes for spillages and fire-fighting water
(3) adequate monitoring and control equipment including alarms as well as qualified personnel.

Typical measures for catching spills, wash-waters from cleaning, or possibly contaminated rainwater from production or materials transfer areas are:

- concrete or asphalt base with sealed liners or impermeable paints
- bunded areas or basements for production areas
- monitoring of storm-water for organic content, pH and conductivity before discharge
- retention ponds for fire fighting water and contaminated storm-water.
2.3.10 Solvent recovery

Solvent recovery is carried out on-site or off-site. Figure 2.8 shows the typically applied processing units.

Factors that influence whether a solvent is recovered are:

- purity requirements for internal re-use in the process (e.g. cGMP requirements)
- purity requirements for commercial re-use
- complexity of the purification process to reach the required purity, e.g. if mixtures form azeotropes
- gap between the boiling points in the case of solvent mixtures
- purchase costs for the fresh solvent compared to the work-up costs
- amount of waste streams created
- safety requirements
- other, e.g. process variation procedures under cGMP.

The waste streams can be re-used or recovered, but many need to be considered for a disposal route.

Figure 2.8: Typically applied processing units for solvent recovery on OFC sites
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2.4 Site management and monitoring

2.4.1 Emission inventories and monitoring


Emission inventory

Because of the production characteristics (batches, campaigns) the emission inventory is the key for understanding, operating and improving an OFC plant (not only) from an environmental point of view.

A typical OFC site has implemented a system for the identification of generated waste streams and documentation of their further fate: output from processes, recovery, abatement and emissions. The obtained databases are used as tools for improvement strategies, communication with authorities (e.g. applications) and to fulfil report requirements. Table 2.6 gives an overview of the main parts of the management systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site inventory</td>
<td>Plans, maps, brief descriptions Schematic location of facilities, sources for waste streams, collection systems, sampling points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production matrix</td>
<td>Records of batches/campaigns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste stream analysis</td>
<td>Waste streams from other sources Identification and characterisation of other waste streams, e.g. from recovery systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission sources and emission data</td>
<td>Emission data related to the source and compared to the limits where fixed in permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass balances</td>
<td>Solvent/VOC balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highly hazardous substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental impact assessment</td>
<td>Characterisation of substances at the interface plant/environment Substances, mass flows, concentrations, characteristics (continuous/discontinuous) environmental impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Characterisation of the whole effluent at the interface plant/environment Toxicity levels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6: Typical instruments for establishing an emission inventory

Monitoring

The emission inventory is mainly based on monitoring data but also partly on calculations and estimations (e.g. diffuse emissions from a biological WWTP). Parameters and monitoring frequencies are usually fixed in permits.
2.4.2 Overview to sources and parameters/pollutants

2.4.2.1 Waste gas emissions

[31, European Commission, 2003]

The waste gas emissions can be divided into ducted emissions and non-ducted emissions (diffuse or fugitive). Table 2.7 gives an overview of the main sources and pollutants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From process equipment</td>
<td>Diffuse emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from reaction vessels and condensers</td>
<td>Fugitive emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from catalyst regeneration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from solvent regeneration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from storage and handling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from purge vents or preheating equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharges from safety relief devices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from general ventilation systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gases from diffuse or fugitive sources installed within an enclosure or building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur compounds</td>
<td>SO(_2), SO(_3), H(_2)S, CS(_2), COS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen compounds</td>
<td>NO(_x), N(_2)O, NH(_3), HCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogens and compounds</td>
<td>Cl(_2), Br(_2), HF, HCl, HBr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete combustion products</td>
<td>CO, C(_x)H(_y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compounds</td>
<td>VOC, halogenated VOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter</td>
<td>Dust, soot, alkali, heavy metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.7: Overview to sources and pollutants for waste gas emissions
2.4.2.2 Solvents and volatile organic compounds

[38, Moretti, 2001, 46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]

A volatile organic compound (VOC according to the VOC Directive) is any organic compound having at 293.15 K a vapour pressure of 0.01 kPa or more, or having a corresponding volatility under the particular conditions of use.

VOC emissions arise mainly from the use of solvents, but also from volatile raw materials, intermediates, products and by-products. The relation between the main VOC compound families and their relative share in the emissions to the air from the Organic Fine Chemicals sector is given in Figure 3.1 on page 75 [46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]. Other emitted VOCs include CFCs, ethers, free acids, amines, terpenes, mercaptans, thioethers, nitriles, peroxacil nitrates (PAN), nitroalkanes, nitroaromatics and heterocyclics containing N, O or S. Table 2.8 lists some organic solvents used in the OFC sector [60, SICOS, 2003].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>CH₄O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>C₇H₈</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>C₃H₆O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>C₂H₆O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-chlorotoluene</td>
<td>C₂H₃Cl</td>
<td>halogenated R40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>C₆H₆</td>
<td>R45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloromethane</td>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td>halogenated R40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2 dichloroethane</td>
<td>C₂H₄Cl₂</td>
<td>R45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloromethane (methylene chloride)</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>halogenated R40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>C₃H₇NO</td>
<td>R61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.8: Some solvents used in the OFC sector

The VOC Directive

The Council Directive 1999/13/EC on the limitations of emissions of volatile organic substances due to the use of organic solvents in certain activities and installations contains regulations for pharmaceutical companies consuming more than 50 tonnes of solvents per year (Table 2.9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>ELV in waste gases</th>
<th>Fugitive emission values of solvent input</th>
<th>Total ELV of solvent input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing of pharmaceutical products</td>
<td>20(1) mg C/m³</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) If techniques are used which allow the re-use of recovered solvents, the ELV is 150 mg C/m³
(2) The fugitive ELV does not include solvents sold as part of products in a sealed container

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>ELV in waste gases</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assign or need to carry the risk phrases R45, R46, R49, R60, R61</td>
<td>2 mg/m³</td>
<td>10 g/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned the risk phrase R40</td>
<td>20 mg/m³</td>
<td>100 g/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.9: Limit values for the manufacture of pharmaceutical products in the VOC Directive
2.4.2.3 Waste water emissions

[31, European Commission, 2003]

Table 2.10 gives an overview of the sources of waste water streams, possible contaminants and the relevant parameters.

Mother liquors and initial wash-waters contribute up to 90% of the contaminant loads, although their share of the total volume is only about 10 to 30%. Toxicity/inhibition and bioeliminability are key parameters for functionality and the performance of biological treatment plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main sources</th>
<th>Mother liquors from processing products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wash-water from purification of products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vapour condensates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quench water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste water streams from the treatment of exhaust gases or flue-gas treatment (scrubbers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste water streams from rinsing and cleaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contaminated water from vacuum generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>Conditioning of utility water, bleed from boiler feed-water systems, blowdown from cooling cycles, back-washing of filters, laboratory or pilot scale plants, sanitation waste water, rainwater from contaminated surfaces, landfill leachates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminants</td>
<td>Non-reacted starting material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Production residues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auxiliaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unwanted by-products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant parameters</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organic load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganic load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes for high loads</td>
<td>COD/TOC, BOD, AOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low bioeliminability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.10: Overview of the sources of waste water streams, contaminants and relevant parameters
2.4.2.4 Biodegradability and elimination of organic compounds


Rules of thumb

Biological degradation or the degree of elimination of a particular compound in a biological WWTP is difficult to predict and theoretical/mathematical methods are still burdened with uncertainties. However, some rules of thumb can be established:

- aliphatic compounds are usually easily degradable
- aliphatic compounds with branched chains or rings with hetero atoms (e.g. cyclic ethers) or with halogen atoms show low biodegradabilities
- simple aromatic compounds are usually easily degradable
- aromatic compounds with functional groups such as –SO$_3$H, –NO$_2$ or –X have low biodegradabilities
- Functional groups such as –NO$_2$, –NH$_2$, –COOH and especially –SO$_3$H decrease the degree of elimination (higher water solubility).

Figure 2.9 and Figure 2.10 illustrate these rules for aromatic compounds [30, ESIS, 2003].

Degradability testing and interpretation of results

Table 2.11 gives an overview of the most common degradation tests in use.

Readily biodegradability tests are screening tests under aerobic conditions, in which a high concentration of the test substance (2 to 100 mg/l) is used and the biodegradation rate is measured by parameters such as COD, BOD and CO$_2$. A positive result is interpreted as rapid degradation in most environments.

Inherent biodegradability tests are used to assess whether a chemical has any potential for biodegradation under aerobic conditions. The test procedures allow prolonged exposure of the test substance to micro-organisms and a low test substance to biomass ratio, sometimes including an adaptation of the biomass, leading to a significantly more extensive degradation of the chemical. A negative result in tests for inherent biodegradability may lead to a preliminary conclusion of environmental persistency or inhibiting effects.

The test result (see examples given in Figure 2.9 and Figure 2.10 from the ESIS database and e.g. Table 4.27, Table 4.29, Table 4.34 for process waters) is usually expressed as a percentage removal and has to be interpreted on the basis of test conditions (effects such as adsorption or stripping have to be taken into account) and duration, especially if the results are used as decision criteria for the management of an industrial sewage treatment plant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test type</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Interpretation when positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Readily biodegradability</td>
<td>OECD 301 A “Die-Away”</td>
<td>“readily biodegradable”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 301 B CO$_2$ Evolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 301 C Modified MITI (I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 301 D Closed Bottle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 301 E Modified OECD Screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 301 F Manometric Respirometry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inherent biodegradability</td>
<td>OECD 302 A Modified SCAS</td>
<td>“eliminable under specific sewage treatment conditions”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 302 B Zahn-Wellens/EMPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 302 C Modified MITI (II)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OECD 302 D Draft Concawe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.11: Selected test methods for the degradation of organic chemicals
Figure 2.9: Examples of aromatic compounds with a biodegradability of more than 80 %
The percentage represents the biodegradability, the number and letter below it relates to the test method used (see Table 2.11)

Figure 2.10: Examples of aromatic compounds with a biodegradability of less than 80 %
The percentage represents the biodegradability, the number and letter below it relates to the test method used (see Table 2.11)
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2.5 Unit processes and connected operations

2.5.1 N-acylation


See also Section 4.3.2.1 for environmental issues and treatment of waste streams from N-acetylations.

N-acylation is a widely spread reaction for the protection of anilinic amino groups before chlorinations, nitrations or sulphonations are carried out. Arylides (amides of acetoacetic acid) are important intermediates, e.g. for organic pigments.

Chemical reaction

The most important N-acylation agents are:

- acetic acid
- acetic anhydride, other carboxylic anhydrides
- diketene
- acetoacetic ester
- acetic chloride, other acyl halides
- N-carboxy anhydrides.

They work according to the substitution:

\[
R' - NH_2 + X - CO - R \rightarrow R' - NH - CO - R + HX
\]

where HX is released. HX may be, e.g. H_2O, CH_3COOH, C_2H_5OH, HCl.

(Reaction with diketene is an addition).

Operations

Figure 2.11 shows a typical sequence of operations for N-acylations and the typical waste streams. Amine and an equimolar amount of an acylation agent are typically dissolved in H_2O or diluted acetic acid (for acetoacetic ester, xylene is often used) and heated. Reaction water or acetic acid or ethanol and solvent are distilled off and the product is obtained directly or following crystallisation (occasionally by salting out) and filtration.
2.5.2 Alkylation with alkyl halides

[6, Ullmann, 2001, 15, Köppke, 2000]

See also Section 4.3.2.2 for environmental issues and treatment of waste streams from alkylation with alkyl halides.

Alkylations with alkyl halides are important reaction steps in industrial scale chemistry, predominantly for the synthesis of pharmaceuticals or agricultural chemicals. Some often used alkyl halides are methyl chloride, methyl iodide, ethyl chloride, isopropyl chloride, tert-butyl chloride, and benzyl chloride.

Chemical reaction

The following equation shows an example of methylation with methyl chloride:

(1) \[ R – NH_2 + 2 \text{CH}_3\text{Cl} + 2 \text{NaOH} \rightarrow R – \text{N(CH}_3)_2 + 2 \text{NaCl} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

(2) \[ R – \text{N(CH}_3)_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{Cl} \rightarrow R – \text{N(CH}_3)_3 + \text{Cl}^- \]

Side reactions: The effect of possible side reactions must be considered individually, but generally the use of lower alkyl halides leads to the formation of a variety of lower molecular compounds, e.g:

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{Cl} + \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{NaCl} \]

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 – \text{O} – \text{CH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Operations

Because alkylation reactions are diverse in nature, there is no universal method of carrying them out. The production of each compound needs to be considered individually, taking into account the chemical, engineering, and economic factors.
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2.5.3 Condensation


See also Section 4.3.2.3 for environmental issues and treatment of waste streams from condensation.

Condensation represents a widely applied reaction type in industrial organic chemistry. Some examples are the manufacture of aromatic azo and poly azo compounds (intermediates for dyes and pigments) or ring closures to give heterocyclic compounds (e.g. pyrazolones, indoles, triazoles, pyrimidines, thiazoles).

**Chemical reaction**

A common feature of condensation reactions is the release of a molecule such as H₂O or NH₃ while the reactants are coupled, e.g:

\[
R – COOH + R’ – NH₂ \rightarrow R – CO – NH – R’ + H₂O
\]

The removal of H₂O is the key to shifting the equilibrium of the reaction to favour the target product.

**Operations**

Because condensation reactions are diverse in nature, there is no universal method of carrying them out. The production of each compound needs to be considered individually, taking into account the chemical, engineering, and economic factors.
2.5.4 Diazotisation and azo coupling


See also Section 4.3.2.4 for environmental issues and treatment of waste streams from diazotisation and azo coupling.

Diazotisation and coupling processes are important for the manufacture of APIs and represent the essence of azo dye manufacture. Azo dyes are the predominant colourant family, accounting for over 50% of all commercial organic dyes. Diazotisation can also be followed by processes such as hydrazine formation, Sandmeyer reactions and azo double bond reduction.

Diazotisation is the reaction of primary arylamines with nitrites, preferably with sodium nitrite, in a usually aqueous mineral acid solution at around 0 °C, whereby the amine is converted into the corresponding diazonium compound.

Weakly basic arylamines require a higher acid concentration (NO₂⁻ surplus), since diazoamino compounds Ar–N=N–HN–Ar may otherwise form. A further reason for using concentrated acids (e.g. concentrated sulphuric acid) is the fact that diazonium compounds of weakly basic arylamines are readily hydrolysable in dilute acids.

Diazotisation is the reaction of primary arylamines with nitrites, preferably with sodium nitrite, in a usually aqueous mineral acid solution at around 0 °C, whereby the amine is converted into the corresponding diazonium compound.

Weakly basic arylamines require a higher acid concentration (NO₂⁻ surplus), since diazoamino compounds Ar–N=N–HN–Ar may otherwise form. A further reason for using concentrated acids (e.g. concentrated sulphuric acid) is the fact that diazonium compounds of weakly basic arylamines are readily hydrolysable in dilute acids.

Chemical reaction

Diazotisation is the reaction of primary arylamines with nitrites, preferably with sodium nitrite, in a usually aqueous mineral acid solution at around 0 °C, whereby the amine is converted into the corresponding diazonium compound.

Weakly basic arylamines require a higher acid concentration (NO₂⁻ surplus), since diazoamino compounds Ar–N=N–HN–Ar may otherwise form. A further reason for using concentrated acids (e.g. concentrated sulphuric acid) is the fact that diazonium compounds of weakly basic arylamines are readily hydrolysable in dilute acids.

The azo coupling reaction is an electrophilic substitution reaction of the diazonium compound with a coupling component R'H. In order to maintain an optimal reaction sequence, the pH must be kept constant by adding alkalis or buffers.

Coupling components: phenols, naphthols and amines

Side reactions: formation of diazo amino compounds
decomposition of diazo salts to phenolic compounds
formation of isomers
processing of isomers contained in the starting material.

Operations

Figure 2.13 shows a typical sequence of operations for diazotisation and azo coupling in dyestuff manufacturing.

Sodium nitrite is added in excess to a solution or suspension of the arylamine (diazot component) in a diazotisation tank. The reaction is cooled to 0 °C by adding ice or by cooling with brine. In a separate tank, the coupling component is dissolved in water and alkali. Both solutions are clarified by filtering and added to the coupling vessel. The addition sequence depends on the particular case, and the precise reaction conditions (pH, temperature) are established by the addition of alkali or ice.
Clarifying may be necessary on completion of the reaction (by filtration over SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$ or charcoal) to remove unreacted amine and salty, resin-like or oily by-products, followed by precipitation of the product (usually by salting out or pH change), filtration, washing, dissolving and, e.g. spray drying to yield the standardised dyestuff. Alternatively, the reaction mixture is immediately passed through a pressure permeation (see also Section 4.2.26), followed by, e.g. belt, spin flash, spray or oven drying.

**Figure 2.13: Typical sequence of operations for diazotisation and azo coupling**
Possible input materials (on the left) and the associated waste streams (grey background)
2.5.5 Esterification

[6, Ullmann, 2001]

Organic esters are of considerable economic importance. Because of their highly lipophilic and hydrophobic nature and low polarity, esters are widely used as solvents, extractants, and diluents. Ethyl acetate is the most common technical solvent. Large quantities of esters, especially phthalates, adipates, and fatty acid esters, are used as plasticisers. Esters with a pleasant odour are used in fragrances, flavours, cosmetics, and soaps. Esters can be converted into various derivatives and are useful intermediates in the synthesis, e.g. of vitamins or pharmaceuticals.

Chemical reaction

A great variety of production methods for carboxylic acid esters are known, but the simplest and most common method of esterification is the reaction of an alcohol with a carboxylic acid with the elimination of water:

\[
\text{R}_1\text{COOH} + \text{R}_2\text{OH} \xrightarrow{\text{H}^+} \text{R}_1\text{COOR}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

Figure 2.14: Common esterification

Esterification is the reverse of hydrolysis and leads to an equilibrium reaction, which is the reason that quantitative esterification is possible only by continuous removal of one of the products, i.e. ester or water. In the case of transesterification, an alcohol is released instead of water.

Suitable catalysts are sulphuric acid, hydrogen chloride, arylsulphonic acids such as p-toluenesulphonic acid, and chlorosulphuric acid. Phosphoric acid, polyphosphoric acids, and mixtures of acids are also recommended. If the acids are adsorbed on a solid support, esterification can be carried out as a continuous process.

Removal of water usually involves the addition of entrainers, which form azeotropes with relatively low boiling points and high water contents (usually toluene, xylene, cyclohexane, seldom also benzene or CCl₄).

Operations

The reaction is generally carried out by refluxing the reaction mixture until all the water has been split off. The water or the ester is removed from the equilibrium by distillation. Water is usually removed by distillation of the azeotrope with the alcohol or an entrainer. After condensation, the azeotrope separates into an aqueous phase and an organic phase, and the entrainer or alcohol is recycled into the reaction mixture. In particular cases, a co-solvent such as benzene or toluene is added to the condensate to achieve separation of the organic phase.

Many esters are produced continuously in pipes, distillation columns or plate columns. Ion-exchange resins are especially suitable as catalysts in continuous processes. The reactants pass through or over the solid catalyst, and no separation or neutralisation of the catalyst is necessary.
Figure 2.15: Typical sequence of operations for esterification
Possible input materials (on the left) and the associated waste streams (grey background)

Environmental issues

Table 2.12 gives some example data for waste water streams from esterifications. Figure 2.16 shows the applied abatement techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water stream</th>
<th>Bioeliminability of organic esters</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOD₃ mg/l</td>
<td>DOC elimination after 7 days (Static test) in %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl acetate</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl acetate</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl acetate</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methoxyethyl acetate</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butoxyethyl acetate</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethyl acetate</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetoacetate</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylacetoacetate</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyl glycolate</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl crotonate</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylacetyl succinate</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylacetyl succinate</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl maleate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monomethyl maleate</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl maleate</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutyl maleate</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di(2-ethylhexyl) maleate</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-3-amino benzoate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-4-hydroxy benzoate</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-4-hydroxyphenyl acetate</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.12: Example data for waste water streams from esterification
Figure 2.16: Applied abatement techniques for the waste streams from esterification
2.5.6 Halogenation

See also Section 4.3.2.5 for environmental issues and treatment of waste streams from halogenations.

Halogenation is one of the most important and versatile processes in chemistry. The industrial application is dominated by chlorinations, due to the different reactivity and the higher price for bromine, iodine and fluorine.

Side chain chlorinated alkyl aromatics, particularly those based on toluene and xylene, as well as nucleus halogenated aromatics, have an exceptional place in organic fine chemistry, because of their role as chemical intermediates in the manufacture of chemical products of almost all kinds, including dyes, plastics, pharmaceuticals, flavours and fragrances, pesticides, catalysts and inhibitors.

Bromination is a key process in anthraquinone chemistry and the manufacture of organic flame-retardants.

**Heavily halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons**

Especially as a result of the environmental persistence of the heavily chlorinated benzenes, toluenes and biphenyls, in recent years drastic measures have been applied to this range of chemicals, such as prohibitions, and restrictions on their production and use, and legislation regulating waste disposal. Possible side reactions of the chlorination process can result in the formation of polychlorinated biphenyls or hexachlorobenzene. The combustion of aromatics containing chlorine can lead to the formation of polychlorodibenzo dioxins/-furans (PCDD/PCDF).

**Chemical reaction**

These chemicals are of major relevance on an industrial scale in substitutions of the aromatic nucleus and in the substitution of aliphates. In both cases, hydrogen is replaced by halogen (X) and the related hydrogen halide is created:

\[
R - H + X_2 \rightarrow R - X + HX
\]

\[
Ar - H + X_2 \rightarrow Ar - X + HX
\]

Both reactions are exothermic but the aliphate substitution follows a radical chain mechanism, initialised by ultraviolet light (irradiation with mercury vapour lamps), while the halogenation of the aromatic nucleus is based on an electrophilic mechanism supported by Friedel-Crafts catalysts (i.e. Lewis acids such as FeCl₃, AlCl₃ ...).

Generally, a mixture of isomers and/or compounds with a different degree of halogenation is obtained and side reactions following alternative mechanisms cannot be completely suppressed. The product mix depends on the aromatic/halogen ratio, the reaction conditions and the choice of the catalyst.

A wide range of organic and aqueous solvents are currently in use, and especially tetrachloromethane, tetrachloroethane, dichlorobenzene and trichlorobenzene are recommended for halogenations [6, Ullmann, 2001].
Bromine is more efficiently used in aromatic substitution reactions if it is generated *in situ* from hydrogen bromide using chlorine:

\[
\text{ArH} + \text{HBr} + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{ArBr} + 2 \text{HCl}
\]

Another approach is the use of an alcohol as the solvent to co-produce an economically useful alkyl bromide, by the reaction of by-product HBr with the alcohol. Methanol is the solvent of choice since the resulting methyl bromide can be widely marketed as a fumigant.

**Side chain chlorination of toluenes**

Side chain chlorination is applied in particular to toluenes, to give the analogue benzyl chlorides, benzyl dichlorides and benzyl trichlorides. The reaction follows the radical chain mechanism and leads in every chlorination step to the formation of hydrogen chloride. The process yields a mixture of all three products, with the product mix depending mainly on the toluene/chlorine ratio.

![Side chain chlorination of toluene derivates](image)

Possible side reactions can yield polychlorobiphenyls or hexachlorobenzene as shown in the following equations:

\[
2 \text{Cl}_n\text{C}_6\text{H}_{5-n} - \text{CCl}_3 + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_n\text{C}_6\text{H}_{5-n} - \text{C}_6\text{H}_{5-n}\text{Cl}_n + 2 \text{CCl}_4
\]

\[
\text{Cl}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3 - \text{CCl}_3 + 4 \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{Cl}_6 + \text{CCl}_4 + 3 \text{HCl}
\]

A common following step is the partial hydrolysis of the obtained products to the analogue benzaldehydes or benzoyl chlorides by alkaline or acidic agents.
Operations

Figure 2.18 shows a typical sequence of operations for the halogenation to distillable products. Figure 2.19 shows a typical sequence of operations for the halogenation precipitation of the product.

In a typical batch reaction, the halogen is added to the stirred aromatic or a stirred aromatic solution. The reactor material depends on the reactants and the chosen reaction mechanism. The exothermic reaction is controlled by the rate of halogen addition, which is dependent on the refrigeration capacity of the reactor cooling system. The choice of temperature profile is based on the reactivity of the aromatic. On completion of the reaction, degassing is carried out with nitrogen. The product is distilled or precipitated (e.g. by cooling or water addition) and the resulting slurry is filtered, washed and dried.

Most side chain chlorinations are carried out continuously or discontinuously in bubble column reactors of enamel or glass, e.g. of the loop type. The reactor is filled with the starting material, heated to at least 80 °C and chlorine is introduced until the desired degree of chlorination is reached. The reaction is stopped by the introduction of N₂. Products of different degrees of chlorination are separated by distillation to be directly marketed, hydrolysed to give the related benzaldehydes or benzoic acids/benzoyl chlorides, or are used for further chlorination.
2.5.7 Nitration

For environmental issues and treatment of waste streams, see Section 4.3.2.6.

Liquid phase nitration is a dominant step in the manufacture of common high explosives and important for the production of a wide range of aromatic intermediates for dyes, agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals or other fine chemicals. A typical nitration reaction is highly exothermic, therefore, for a safe mode of reaction, a dosage controlled process with precautions securing no accumulation of reactants is necessary. Typical nitraomatic production is based on high yield processes, with more than 80 % of the total cost being the cost of the raw materials. Integral requirements of all efficient nitration processes are sulphuric acid regeneration and isomer control and separation. Nitration of the important naphthalene mono- and disulphonic acids is usually performed with the formed sulphonated mass. Among the typical raw materials are halogenated aromatics, which can contribute to the AOX load of waste water streams.

Chemical reaction

Nitration is the irreversible introduction of one or more nitro groups into an aromatic system by electrophilic substitution of a hydrogen atom. O-nitration to give nitrates and N-nitration to give nitramines are far less important for aromatic compounds but relevant for the manufacture of explosives.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} & \quad \text{H} \\
\text{HNO}_3 / \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 & \quad - \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{R} & \quad \text{NO}_2
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 2.20: Nitration of an aromatic compound

Nitration is normally carried out in a liquid phase reaction with a mixture of nitric and sulphuric acids (mixed acid) and occasionally with nitric acid. A typical mixed acid, for example for mononitration, consists of 20 % nitric acid, 60 % sulphuric acid and 20 % water (this is referred to as 20/60/20 mixed acid). The strength of the mixed acid and the temperature can be varied to maximise the formation of the required isomer. Stronger mixed acid and higher temperature lead to oxidative side reactions. An important side reaction leads to phenolic by-products.

Operations

Figure 2.21 shows a typical sequence of operations for the nitration of aromatic compounds, possible input materials and associated waste streams. The reaction is carried out in cast iron, stainless steel or enamel-lined mild steel reactors. Temperatures vary normally between 25 and 100 °C. The substrate is dissolved in the sulphuric acid phase and the mixed acid is subsequently added. On completion of the reaction, the batch is transferred into water to give a two phase mixture of diluted acid and an organic product phase.

After phase separation, liquid products are purified by distillation. The remaining acid phase can be extracted with the feed material in order to recover organic compounds. Solid products are crystallised (where necessary, by the addition of cold water). The crude nitroaromatic is washed with water and diluted NaOH to remove the acids and phenolic by-products. Depending on the quality requirements, a recrystallisation from water or organic solvent may be necessary. Isomer separation is carried out within the crystallisation, washing or distillation steps.
Figure 2.21: Typical sequence of operations for a nitration
Possible input materials (on the left) and the associated waste streams (grey background)
2.5.8 Manufacture of nitrated alcohols

[46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003], *026E*

Figure 2.22 shows a typical setup for the manufacture of nitrated alcohols, e.g. nitroglycol or nitrocellulose.

![Diagram of nitrated alcohol manufacture process]

**Figure 2.22: Typical setup for the manufacture of nitrated alcohols**

**Waste explosives**

Waste explosives are obtained in the decanters and in the cleaning of installations. Some waste explosives may also be produced during the malfunction of production equipment. Other explosive waste comes from obsolete products, i.e. those not useful for customers. All kinds of waste explosives are packaged in suitable containers and then carefully destroyed by open air combustion or by open detonation in authorised installations. Combustion of waste explosives is carried out in treatment zones with secondary containment, in order to collect the ashes and allow disposal of them by a waste treatment contractor.
2.5.9 Oxidation with inorganic agents


Chemical oxidation with inorganic agents is still industrially important, due to the fact that reactions with molecular oxygen are usually considerably less selective and generally require much higher investment, thereby only becoming economically worthwhile for capacities above about 10000 tonnes per year, depending on the product.

Overview

Table 2.13 gives an overview of the main aspects important in the selection of a suitable oxidation agent, the related by-products and some other characteristics. In the case of CrO₃ and MnO₂, the agent by-products are often regenerated [99, D2 comments, 2005].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Target molecules</th>
<th>Agent by-product</th>
<th>Typical solvents/other aspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CrO₃</td>
<td>Benzoic acids, benzaldehydes</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>Acetic acid, acetic anhydrides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMnO₄</td>
<td>Benzoic acids, benzaldehydes</td>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td>Benzaldehydes</td>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>Aqueous H₂SO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃</td>
<td>Benzoic acids</td>
<td>NOX</td>
<td>In situ regeneration of NO to HNO₃ with oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
<td>Stilbenes</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td>Sulphones, sulphochlorides, chloranil</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.13: Overview of oxidations with inorganic agents

Environmental issues

Table 2.14 gives some example data for the waste streams from oxidations. The main environmental issues are:

- exhaust gases, possibly containing VOCs, NOₓ or HCl
- solid agent by-product, containing heavy metals
- mother liquors, possibly containing high loads of organic by-products and heavy metals, and high AOX loads when Cl₂ or NaOCl are used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation of 3-picoline with chromic acid [43, Chimia, 2000]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic solid residues</td>
<td>1.7 to 2.0 tonnes Cr₂O₃ per tonne product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation of 3-picoline with KMnO₄ [43, Chimia, 2000]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic solid residues</td>
<td>4.0 tonnes MnO₂ per tonne product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture of 4,4’-dinitrostilbene – 2,2’-disulphonic acid [44, Hörsch, 2003]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquors</td>
<td>COD: 28400 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOX: 230 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOD₂₀/COD: 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.14: Example data for the waste streams from oxidations
2.5.10 Phosgenation

[45, Senet, 1997]

About 300000 tonnes of phosgene is used each year in the manufacture of agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals, dyestuffs and polymerisation initiators. Phosgene is usually used as a building block to introduce a carbonyl function or as an agent, e.g. for chlorination or dehydrogenations.

Chemical reaction

Phosgenation follows a nucleophilic or Friedel-Crafts related mechanism, depending on the conditions:

\[ R - H + COCl_2 \rightarrow R - COCl + HCl \]

Its use as a chlorination or dehydrogenation agent additionally involves the formation of stoichiometric amounts of CO2.

Operations

Because phosgenation reactions are diverse in nature, there is no universal method of carrying them out. The production of each compound is thus considered individually, assessing the chemical, engineering, and economic factors.

Safety issues

The main safety aspect of the phosgenation reaction is related to the high toxicity of phosgene. Table 2.15 compares phosgene to some other toxic gases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Odour identification</th>
<th>L(CT) 0 – 30 min exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosgene</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.15: Comparison of some toxic gases

Due to these high toxicity properties, the handling and storage of phosgene on an industrial scale on a site must be strictly treated as a major hazard and such sites may fall – depending on the amount of phosgene handled – under the regime of the Council Directive 96/82/EC (last modification by Directive 2003/105/EC) on the control of major accident hazards involving dangerous substances. Therefore, custom synthesis in specialised companies is a common practice in this field.

For measures to limit the risks arising from the handling of phosgene, see Section 4.2.30.
2.5.11 Reduction of aromatic nitro compounds


For environmental issues and treatment of waste streams, see Section 4.3.2.7.

One of the most industrially important reduction processes in industrial use is the conversion of an aromatic nitro or dinitro compound into an arylamine or arylene diamine. Aromatic amines are widely used as dye intermediates, especially for azo dyes, pigments, and optical brighteners; as intermediates for photographic chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and agricultural chemicals; in polymers via isocyanates for polyurethanes; and as antioxidants. Among reduction methods, there are three of major relevance in organic fine chemistry:

- catalytic hydrogenation, which is extremely important industrially because of its universal applicability; most processes can be carried out successfully by catalytic hydrogenation
- Béchamp and Brinmeyr reduction with iron, which is the classical method
- alkali sulphide reduction, which is the selective method in specific cases, such as in the manufacture of nitroamines from dinitro compounds, the reduction of nitrophenols, the reduction of nitroanthraquinones and the manufacture of aminoazo compounds from the corresponding nitroazo derivative.

All three methods are also applied to halogenated nitro compounds, and can thus contribute to AOX loads in waste water streams.

2.5.11.1 Catalytic reduction with hydrogen

Chemical reaction

The catalytic reduction of the nitro compounds is very exothermic. To reduce these hazards, the concentration of nitro compound, the amount and partial pressure of the hydrogen, the temperature, and the activity of the catalyst, are controlled.

![Figure 2.23: Catalytic reduction of aromatic nitro compounds](image)

Most aromatic nitro compounds are hydrogenated in the liquid phase. In this case, the pressure and temperature can be changed independently. The temperature is limited by the hydrogenation reaction of the aromatic ring which occurs above 170 – 200 °C.

Normally, the reduction is carried out at 100 – 170 °C. Sensitive compounds are hydrogenated at lower temperatures (20 – 70 °C) or at lower pressures (1 – 50 bar). 1 – 50 bar are used normally.
Process hazards
The catalytic reduction of nitro compounds is very exothermic. Unless this heat is dissipated properly, decomposition and even explosions can result, especially if the thermal decomposition of the nitro compound occurs or if condensation reactions are initiated as may be the case with chloro-nitro compounds. The industrial hydrogenation of aromatic polynitro compounds in the liquid phase without solvents especially requires precautions. To reduce these hazards, the concentration of the nitro compound, the amount and partial pressure of the hydrogen, the temperature, and the activity of the catalyst are controlled. The nitro compound is continuously added in small quantities, thus keeping its concentration below 2 %. De-ionised water is added to remove the heat of the reaction by continuous evaporation and to slow down the activity of the catalyst.

The preferred solvents are methanol and 2-propanol; and also dioxane, tetrahydrofuran, and N-methylpyrrolidone are used. In the hydrogenation with a water immiscible solvent, such as toluene, the water must be removed, as in solvent-free hydrogenation, in order to maintain the activity of the catalyst. If the amine has a good water solubility, water is used as the solvent. Water also can be used in cases where the nitro compound forms water-soluble salts with alkalis, such as with nitrocarbonic or sulphonic acids. In practice, only Raney nickel, Raney nickel-iron, Raney cobalt, and Raney copper are used as pure metal catalysts because of their relatively low cost. Precious metal catalysts, such as Pt and Pd, are generally used at concentrations of 0.5 – 5 wt-% on support material with large surfaces, such as charcoal, silica, aluminium oxide, or alkaline-earth carbonates.

Operations
The vast majority of aromatic amines have small annual volumes (<500 tonnes) and are produced by batch hydrogenation with catalyst slurries. The reaction is carried out in stirred, steel or stainless steel autoclaves or in loop reactors. Loop reactors show increased heat and mass transfers and improved reaction selectivity, shorter batch cycle times and higher product yields. In addition, catalyst usage is often lower. The addition sequence depends on the particular reactants. On completion the reaction mass is cooled and the catalyst is removed by filtration.

2.5.11.2 Reduction with iron

Chemical reaction
The reduction of nitroaromatics is carried out in the presence of small amounts of acid (HCl, H₂SO₄, HCOOH, CH₃COOH) as shown in the following equation:

$$4 \text{Ar} - \text{NO}_2 + 9 \text{Fe} + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4 \text{Ar} - \text{NH}_2 + 3 \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$$

The acid is used for the activation of the iron. Only 2 – 3 % of the hydrogen is derived from the acid but 97 – 98 % comes from the water.

Operations
Normally the nitroaromatic is added to the mixture of iron/water/acid (excess of iron about 15 - 50 %) often in the presence of an organic solvent (toluene, xylol, alcohols) and the mixture is heated to reflux. Depending on the reactivity of the aromatic, other addition sequences may be required. In some cases the acid is omitted (neutral iron reduction). The build-up of unreduced excess nitro compound must be avoided and the final mixture should be tested for its total absence. After basification with soda ash (anhydrous sodium carbonate) to precipitate soluble iron, the iron compounds are removed by filtration.
2.5.11.3 Alkali sulphide reduction

Chemical reaction

The alkali sulphide reduction is a mild and selective reaction according to the following equation, without strict stoichiometry:

\[ \text{Ar} – \text{NO}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ar} – \text{NH}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \]

Other reducing agents in use are \( \text{Na}_2\text{S} \) or \( \text{NaSH} \), which also form \( \text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \). Sulphur may be added to reduce the required amount of sulphide.

Operations

Dilute aqueous sulphide is added to the solution or emulsion of the nitro compound. Temperatures (in the range of 80 – 100 °C) and concentrations depend on the reactivity of the nitroaromatic. An excess of sulphide is avoided in the case of the selective reduction of polynitro compounds.

2.5.11.4 Product work-up

Figure 2.24 shows a typical sequence of operations for the reduction of aromatic nitro compounds, possible input materials and associated waste streams. The work-up depends on the properties of the amine obtained. Common methods are:

- separation as a liquid
- cooling and salting out
- steam distillation
- extraction with organic solvent, and
- pH adjustment if necessary.

Figure 2.24: Typical sequence of operations for the reduction of an aromatic nitro compound Possible input materials (on the left) and the associated waste streams (grey background)
2.5.12 Sulphonation


For environmental issues and treatment of waste streams, see Section 4.3.2.8.

The direct introduction of the sulphonic acid group to an aromatic system is one of the most important reactions in industrial organic chemistry. It gives high yields under relatively mild conditions and usually results in well defined derivatives. Arylsulphonic acids are used chiefly as intermediates in the manufacture of dyes, insecticides, pharmaceuticals, plasticisers, optical brighteners, etc. Among the typical raw materials are also halogenated compounds, thus contributing to the AOX load of waste water streams.

Chemical reaction

Sulphonation is usually carried out with concentrated sulphuric acid in excess of about 50 to 100 % or using oleum. Due to the fundamental rules of electrophilic aromatic substitution, the product is a mixture of the target molecule and isomers. The reaction is reversible, with the yield and isomer distribution depending on the reaction conditions (e.g. temperature, removal of reaction water by azeotrope distillation or addition of thionyl chloride).

\[
\begin{align*}
  & \text{R} \quad \text{H}  \\
  & \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \quad \text{SO}_3\text{H}  \\
  & \text{(Oleum)} \quad \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
  & \text{R} \quad \text{H}  \\
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 2.25: Sulphonation of an aromatic system

Increased temperature and reaction water removal also favour the formation of sulphones as by-products. Depending on the reactants (aromatic, \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\), oleum) and temperature, the oxidative effects of sulphuric acid or sulphur trioxide can lead to unwanted oxidation reactions.

Operations

Figure 2.26 shows a typical sequence of operations for sulphonation, possible input materials and associated waste streams. The reaction is carried out at temperatures of about 60 to 90 °C in cast steel or enamelled steel vessels. The sulphonating agent is fed into the vessel, the aromatic compound is then added, and the reaction is controlled by means of temperature profiles or metering.

On completion of the reaction, the batch is transferred into water, which causes unconverted aromatic compounds to be released. The dilute sulphonation mass is cooled, and the free acid is separated by filtration. For further purification, recrystallisation may be necessary.

If the free acid is too soluble and isolation is not possible in this way, other techniques are carried out, such as:

- salting out with sodium sulphate or sodium chloride
- temperature controlled crystallisation, or
- reactive extraction.
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Figure 2.26: Typical sequence of operations for a sulphonation
Possible input materials (on the left) and the associated waste streams (grey background)

In the reactive extraction process, the unconverted sulphuric acid is recovered by converting the arylsulphonic acid into its ammonium salt with a long-chain aliphatic amine. This salt is separated from the sulphuric acid as a liquid phase and then converted with sodium hydroxide solution into sodium sulphonate solution and the amine; the latter can be separated as a liquid phase and can be re-used. Sulphonates practically free from inorganic salts are obtained in this way.

Other isolation methods are based on the neutralisation of the excess sulphuric acid by adding calcium carbonate or sodium hydroxide. This leads to a large amount of gypsum (“liming” or “chalking”) or sodium sulphate, which is removed in the hot state. In liming, the dissolved calcium arylsulphonate is then treated with soda and the precipitated calcium carbonate is removed by filtration. The filtrate contains the sodium arylsulphonate.
2.5.13 Sulphonation with SO$_3$

[15, Köppke, 2000]

For environmental issues and the treatment of waste streams, see Section 4.3.2.9.

Sulphonation with SO$_3$ is applied in the manufacture of a smaller number of aromatic sulphonnic acids produced in higher volumes.

Chemical reaction

Sulphonation with SO$_3$ is illustrated in Figure 2.27. The reaction does not lead to the formation of reaction water and shows higher selectivity if carried out at lower temperatures.

![Figure 2.27: Sulphonation with SO$_3$](image)

Side reactions:

- formation of sulphones
- formation of isomers
- formation of oxidation by-products.

If carried out as a liquid phase reaction, halogenated compounds serve as solvents (e.g. methylene chloride or dichloroethane).

Operations

Figure 2.28 and Figure 2.29 show typical sequences of operations, possible input and related waste streams from the reaction in liquid phase and the gas-liquid reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquid phase reaction</th>
<th>Gas-liquid reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both, the organic feed and SO$_3$ are dissolved in organic solvents (e.g. methylene chloride or dichloroethane) and continuously added to the reactor. On completion, the reaction mixture is transferred into water and cooled. The organic phase is segregated and the product is precipitated from the aqueous phase by cooling and addition of sulphuric acid with subsequent filtration.</td>
<td>Depending on the local conditions, SO$_3$ gas is derived directly from a sulphuric acid facility or generated by combustion of sulphur. The reaction is usually carried out in falling film reactors. In many cases, it is not necessary to perform further work-up steps.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sulphonation with SO₃

**Figure 2.28: Sulphonation with SO₃ in liquid phase**

**Figure 2.29: Sulphonation with SO₃ in gas-liquid reaction**
2.5.14 Sulphochlorination with chlorosulphonic acid

[Sulphochlorination leads to organic sulphochlorides, which act as intermediates for a variety of fine chemicals, e.g. sulphonamides, sulphono hydrazides, sulphonic esters, sulphinic acids, sulphones, and thiophenols.]

Chemical reaction

Sulphochlorination takes place in a two step reaction as shown:

\[
R \text{HSO}_3\text{Cl} \rightarrow R \text{SO}_3\text{H} \rightarrow R \text{HSO}_3\text{Cl} + \text{SO}_2\text{Cl} - \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4
\]

Figure 2.30: Sulphochlorination with chlorosulphonic acid

Step (1) represents a sulphonation similar to that described in Section 2.5.13. The reversible second step is a chlorination and is usually carried out with excess chlorosulphonic acid. Alternatively, thionyl chloride may be used for the second step as shown in the following equation:

\[
(2b) \quad R - \text{SO}_3\text{H} + \text{SOCl}_2 \rightarrow R - \text{SO}_2\text{Cl} + \text{SO}_2 + \text{HCl}
\]

Side reactions:

- formation of sulphones (e.g. 35 % in the case of chloro benzene)
- formation of isomers
- formation of other halogenation products.

Operations

Figure 2.31 shows a typical sequence of operations in sulphochlorination.

The chlorosulphonic acid is introduced into a cast-steel or enamelled-steel vessel and 10 - 25 mol % of the aromatic compound is stirred in at 25 – 30 °C, whereupon sulphonation of the aromatic compound and HCl formation occur. The exothermic formation of sulphonyl chloride is initiated by heating the reactants to 50 – 80 °C.

In the case of aromatic compounds that easily take up two sulphochloride groups, e.g. anisole, monochlorosulphonation is carried out with only a little more than the calculated amount of chlorosulphonic acid at a low temperature (0 °C) and in the presence of a diluent such as dichloromethane.

The temperature has to be controlled accurately to ensure the uniform release of HCl gas. Restarting the agitator after an interruption of the electricity supply is hazardous and may cause the contents of the vessel to foam over.
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The product is isolated by draining the reaction mass onto water and by simultaneous cooling. The sulphonyl chloride either precipitates or separates as an organic liquid phase.

Figure 2.31: Typical sequence of operations for sulphochlorination
Possible input materials (on the left) and associated waste streams (grey background)
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2.5.15 Wittig reaction

[6, Ullmann, 2001, 9, Christ, 1999], *003F*

The Wittig reaction is widely used in the production of vitamins, carotenoids, pharmaceuticals and antibiotics. *003F* used Wittig reactions for the manufacture of fragrances but can no longer due to the difficult handling of the waste streams.

**Chemical reaction**

The Wittig reaction leads to the formation of a double bond in three steps:

1. \[ R' - CH_2 - Cl + P(C_6H_5)_3 \rightarrow R' - CH_2 - P(C_6H_5)_3Cl^- \]
2. \[ R' - CH_2 - P(C_6H_5)_3Cl^- \rightarrow R' - CH = P(C_6H_5)_3 \]
3. \[ R' - CH = P(C_6H_5)_3 + R'' - CHO \rightarrow R' - CH = CH - R'' + O = P(C_6H_5)_3 \]

The deprotonation step (2) requires bases, e.g. alkali metal carbonate or amines. The reaction is carried out in organic solvents such as alcohols or DMF or in aqueous solutions.

High yields are achieved under mild reaction conditions. However, equimolar amounts of triphenylphosphine (TPP) must be used, and the inactive triphenylphosphine oxide (TPPO) is formed.

**Operations**

Because Wittig reactions are diverse in nature, there is no universal method of carrying them out. The production of each compound is thus considered individually, assessing the chemical, engineering, and economic factors.
2.5.16 Processes involving heavy metals

Heavy metals are involved in chemical synthesis:

- if the feedstock or product contains heavy metals
- if the heavy metals are used as auxiliaries (e.g. catalysts, redox partners).

Table 2.16 gives an impression of the type of processes involving heavy metals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Solvents</th>
<th>Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1 or 1:2 complexes to form azo dyes</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Water Cr₂O₃ CrCl₃<em>6H₂O K₂Cr₂O₇</em>2H₂O/glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Dilute NaOH Cr formate NaKCr salicylate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Formic acid CoSO₄*7H₂O/NaNO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Formamide CuSO₄*5H₂O CuCl₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidations</th>
<th>Manganese</th>
<th>Chromium</th>
<th>Molybdenum</th>
<th>MnO₂ CrO₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reductions</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Metal, metal chlorides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenation</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td></td>
<td>Raney nickel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nickel</th>
<th>Copper</th>
<th>Cobalt</th>
<th>Manganese</th>
<th>Palladium</th>
<th>Platinum</th>
<th>Ruthenium</th>
<th>Bismuth</th>
<th>Bismuth</th>
<th>Zirconium</th>
<th>Metals, metal oxides, chlorides or acetates, carbonyls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2.16: Typical processes involving heavy metals

Environmental issues

Table 2.17 gives some example data of the waste streams from processes involving heavy metals.

Heavy metals are not degradable but are adsorbed to the sludge or passed through the WWTP. Heavy metal loadings in sewage sludge cause problems for disposal and, therefore, the increased costs of disposal or treatment have to be taken into account.

Catalysts containing precious metals are to be sent advantageously to recycling companies.
The usual measure applied to prevent dilution and sludge contamination/emission is the pretreatment of the concentrated waste water streams by means such as:

- ion-exchange
- precipitation/filtration
- reactive extraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalytic reduction with Raney Ni <em>018A,1</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor after filtration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Calculated concentration after dilution to a total effluent of 2000 m³ without pretreatment

Table 2.17: Example data for a waste stream from processes involving heavy metals
2.6 Fermentation


The term “fermentation” means process operations that utilise a chemical change induced by a living organism or enzyme, specifically, bacteria, or the micro-organisms occurring in yeast, moulds, or fungi to produce a specified product. Most industrial microbiological processes are enhancements or modifications of metabolic reactions that micro-organisms already carry out.

Some applications of fermentation are the production or modification of the β-lactam antibiotics, penicillins and cephalosporins, tetracyclines, and also alkaloids and amino acids.

The industrial production of antibiotics begins with screening for antibiotic producers. A new antibiotic producer may be genetically modified to increase yields to levels acceptable for commercial development. These “Genetically Modified Organisms” (GMOs) require specific measures under Directive 90/219/EEC and Directive 90/220/EEC and are excluded from the substance definition of the IPPC Directive. They (with exceptions) have to be inactivated before they are disposed of. In practice, the manufacturing process after the fermentation stage causes the destruction of the production organism so that it is incapable of survival in the environment. If this is not achieved, then a separate deactivation step may be necessary, for example by steam sterilisation or chemical inactivation.

Fermentation technology sometimes uses pathogenic micro-organisms.

2.6.1 Operations

Figure 2.32 shows the typical sequences of operations for fermentations, some possible input materials and their associated waste streams.

Raw materials and seed stage

In the large scale fermentation of antibiotics, there are a number of stages, termed “seed stages”, leading to the final production stage. The objective of the seed stages is simply to develop an ever larger and more vigorous population of micro-organisms, with no attempt being made at this stage to produce any antibiotic. Each seed stage is used to inoculate the next, with process times for the individual seed stages usually being less than the final production stage.

The early seed stages are carried out on a laboratory scale and involve the preparation of starter cultures, which are then used to inoculate larger fermenter vessels (from some m³ to 50 m³ or more) containing a sterile medium.

The raw materials used as the growth medium in the fermentation process are primarily liquids stored in bulk, for example corn steep liquor, rapeseed oil and starch hydrolysate. These types of raw materials are non-volatile and there are no special precautions required for the transfer and batching into fermenter vessels. Bulk storage tanks for these materials are usually provided with secondary containment and high level alarms to prevent overfilling. Other batched solid raw materials are dispensed from bags and are dosed into the fermenter medium at low levels. The batching area is provided with an air extraction system for the protection of the operators, with the extracted air passing to a dust scrubber before being released to the air. Alternatively, the batching area is designed with closed systems, which are dust free and therefore not hazardous to the operators. Equipment and growth medium are sterilised above 120 °C for 20 minutes.
Fermentation stage

The fermentation stage is carried out in a large stirred fermenter (from some m³ to 200 m³ or more) and is an aerated, batch-fed process. The batched medium is designed to support only a limited amount of further growth and is steam-sterilised within the fermenter. After sterilisation, it is inoculated with the final seed stage broth. Further sterilised nutrients are added continuously (“fed”) during the fermentation in such a way that the growth of the micro-organism is precisely controlled and the conditions made favourable for antibiotic production. The process lasts up to eight days.

Product work-up

The following work-up steps depend on the properties and location of the product. The products are obtained by separation of the biomass from the broth by:

- filtration (conventional or ultrafiltration) and extraction of the filtered broth with an organic solvent and pH adjustment (e.g. penicillin G) or extraction of the biomass with organic solvents (e.g. steroids)
- filtration (conventional or ultrafiltration) and product precipitation from the filtered broth by adjusting the pH and/or by the addition of auxiliaries (e.g. tetracyclines)
- pH adjustment and processing of the unfiltered broth over an ion-exchanger (alkaloids, amino acids)
- direct spray drying of the unfiltered broth (e.g. for feed industry purposes).

Intracellular products need an additional mechanical step of cell destruction before extraction.
Further steps can also be carried out in order to optimise the purity or concentration. The choice of methods are:

- evaporation
- ultrafiltration
- chromatography and/or ion-exchange
- reverse osmosis.

After purification, the product is obtained by conventional crystallisation and drying.

### 2.6.2 Environmental issues

Table 2.18 gives example data for waste streams from fermentations, for examples for waste waters streams from fermentations see Section 4.3.2.11. Figure 2.33 shows the applied abatement techniques. The main waste streams from fermentation processes are:

- biomass, possibly containing active pharmaceutical ingredients and possibly filtration auxiliaries
- filtered broth, possibly containing active pharmaceutical ingredients and precipitation auxiliaries
- exhaust gas from seed and fermentation stages, containing broth aerosol, possibly being malodorous
- VOC from solvent use
- large volumes of waste water streams.

If the biomass is classified as hazardous, it must be treated to reduce its activity to a level lower than 99.99%. Inactivation is carried out, for example, by treatment with heat, with chemicals or by application of vacuum evaporators at temperatures of 85 to 90 °C. Alternatively, the hazardous biomass is incinerated, in which case the combustion device must be operated at temperatures above 1100 °C and dwell times of at least two seconds in order to achieve acceptable destruction efficiency. If the biomass is classified as non-hazardous, deactivation is generally not required, unless demanded by national regulations.

The filtered broth is usually treated in a biological WWTP.

Exhaust gases from the seed and fermentation stages contain between 1.5 and 2.5 % v/v carbon dioxide and – where no filters are used – traces of broth in an aerosol form. Often an in-vessel detector is used to automatically close the exhaust valve or to control the addition of an antifoaming agent if there is a risk of the broth splashing or foaming the outlet. Each fermenter exhaust may be backed-up by a downstream cyclone. Where appropriate, thermal oxidation is applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas</td>
<td>0.5 to 1 m³ per m³ liquid phase and per minute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.18: Example data for the waste streams from fermentation
Stack gas scrubbing, with hypochlorite or by using carbon adsorption or biological filters may be necessary for fermenter emissions that are malodorous. In these techniques the use of chemical scrubbers will require the blowdown of unused chemicals, which will require treatment before disposal. These systems typically have high maintenance costs. Carbon adsorption is suitable for low contaminant loads only in order to ensure acceptable carbon life, and also the high humidity of the fermenter exhaust, particularly during the sterilisation cycle can interfere with the adsorption process on the carbon. Carbon adsorbers are mechanically simple and can achieve a consistently high performance for odour removal. Biofilters are simple with relatively low capital costs, but hot fermenter exhaust arising during the sterilisation cycle will require cooling to between 25 and 35 °C.

Equipment used for crystallisation, filtration, drying and blending is vented via a chilled water scrubber to the air, with the solvent removed from the scrubber liquor by distillation. Used solvents can be recovered and re-used.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.33: Applied abatement techniques for the waste streams from fermentation**
2.7 Associated activities

2.7.1 Formulation

Many products from the chemical synthesis like, e.g. dyes/pigments, biocides/plant health products or explosives are given in formulations, mixtures, or standardised suspensions.

Such manufacturing facilities can be technically linked to the synthesis unit and are possibly linked to the same rhythm of production campaigns/batch operations and may cause emissions, such as:

- VOCs from residual solvent
- particulates from handling
- wash-water from rinsing/cleaning
- waste water streams from additional separation operations.

Table 2.19 gives some examples of waste streams from formulation activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Properties Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas from propellant manufacture</td>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td><em>063E</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas from the formulation of a plant health product</td>
<td>Dust containing active ingredient</td>
<td><em>058B</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water streams from rinsing and CIP from standardisation of dyestuffs</td>
<td>0.1 % product loss</td>
<td><em>060D,1</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.19: Typical examples of waste streams from formulation activities
2.7.2 Extraction from natural materials

[62, D1 comments, 2004], *065A.I*

See also Section 4.1.5.1 “Extraction from natural products with liquid CO2” and Section 4.1.5.2 “Countercurrent band extraction”.

Extraction is an important process for obtaining raw materials from natural resources. In a typical setup, the target substance (e.g. tannic acids, alcaloids, quinine salts, food additives, APIs or intermediates for APIs, additives for cosmetics) is extracted from natural materials (e.g. leaves, bark, animal organs) with a solvent and prepared for further processing by distilling off the solvent.

Extraction yields from the extraction of plant materials can vary from 10 to 0.1 % or even lower, depending on process technique, plant material quality and targeted compounds. This means that the amount of waste streams compared to the amount of end-product is considerable. To reduce the amount of waste streams, it is important to maximise the extraction yield, e.g. by using countercurrent band extraction.

To maximise re-use of waste plant materials, e.g. by composting and subsequent use as a soil conditioner, it is important to research and use extractions with non-chlorinated biodegradable solvents. Re-use of extracted fermentation broth or plant waste materials in feed industry is often not possible due to residues of harmful plant material, or residual API.

Solvent is re-used by treating plant waste materials by indirect steam heating and/or steam injection, condensation of the solvent and subsequent purification of the solvent by distillation. Waste water originating from downstream purification, e.g. with liquid-liquid extraction and phase transfer, can cause dark coloured waste water with a high load of non-biodegradable COD, due to soluble macromolecular plant materials (lignins, tannins).

Table 2.20 gives some examples of waste streams from extraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste water from liquid-liquid extraction</td>
<td>High load of non-degradable COD (lignins, tannins)</td>
<td><em>065A,I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracted fermentation broth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste plant materials</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>065A.I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>006A.I</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.20: Typical examples for waste streams from extractions
3 CURRENT EMISSION AND CONSUMPTION LEVELS

The given data are based on the information provided and are derived from many different origins. A grouping according to the production spectrum was avoided by intention to prevent any assumptions in this stage.

Due to widely spread confidentiality concerns, all reference plants are named with an alias (example: *199D,O,X*) where the number can be used to identify the reference plant throughout this document and the letters indicate the production spectrum. A list of all reference plants is given in Table 9.1.

3.1 Emissions to air

Concentration values and mass flows given in this section are derived from reference plants or other references where the individual plant was not named. The key to the comparison of concentrations and mass flows from one source is the number of the reference plant (or, in some cases, the reference to literature).

Where reference plants are named as the source, the data are usually derived from monitoring reports including background information on sampling/averaging times and also information if the sampling is representative (production situation). For interpretation of the given values, please note:

- average times vary usually from 30 to 180 minutes, in some cases the sampling time is not available
- where peaks or big variations occur within the average time, or within different samples, ranges are given in the tables.

3.1.1 VOC emissions: overview

Figure 3.1 indicates the main VOC compound families and their relative share in atmospheric emissions from the Organic Fine Chemicals sector in Spain [46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003].

![Figure 3.1: Composition of VOC emissions from the OFC sector in Spain](image-url)
### 3.1.2 Concentration values and DeNO\textsubscript{X} efficiencies

Table 3.1 shows concentration data for the emissions to air given in contributions or reported for reference plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>HCl</th>
<th>HBr</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x}</th>
<th>NH\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>Particulates</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>Dioxins/furans</th>
<th>DeNO\textsubscript{x} efficiency</th>
<th>Point source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>mg C/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>ng/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Oxidiser, two scrubbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Scrubber (replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>Electrofilter, scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I A1</td>
<td>32 - 58</td>
<td>Two scrubbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I A2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2 - 4.1</td>
<td>Two scrubbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I A3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>Two scrubbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001A,I (1)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Scrubber (now replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001A,I(2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HCl, HCl, NaOH/NaHSO\textsubscript{3}, oxidiser, DeNO\textsubscript{x} (urea)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I(1)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Incinerator (1200 °C), DeNO\textsubscript{x} (NH\textsubscript{3}), scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I(2)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Incinerator (860 °C), DeNO\textsubscript{x} (NH\textsubscript{3}), scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I(3)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNO\textsubscript{x} (NH\textsubscript{3}), scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I(4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO\textsubscript{3}), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I(5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Two scrubbers, condenser (-14 °C), cryogenic condenser (-130 to -145 °C, 200 m\textsuperscript{3}/h) toluene, CH\textsubscript{3}Cl, benzene/methylamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Thermal oxidiser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Two scrubbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (2)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Scrubber, catalytic treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (3)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scrubber, three stages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (4/1)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (4/2)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (5)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Cyclone, scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I (1)</td>
<td>108 - 184</td>
<td>Activated carbon adsorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I (2)</td>
<td>1.6 - 18.5</td>
<td>Activated carbon adsorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I (1)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNO\textsubscript{x} (NH\textsubscript{3}), scrubber nitrogenous solvent loading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td>Br₂</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>NO₃</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Dioxins/furans</td>
<td>DeNOₓ</td>
<td>efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I (2)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020A,I</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I (3)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I VOC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I VOC2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I VOC3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I VOC4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1688</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>038F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>615</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (2a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049A,I (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049A,I (1a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13 – 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42 – 57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>056X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1 - 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part source**

- **mg/m³**
- **mg C/m³**
- **ng/m³**
- **%**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>HCl</th>
<th>HBr</th>
<th>Cl₂</th>
<th>Br₂</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
<th>NOₓ</th>
<th>NH₃</th>
<th>Particulates</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>Dioxins/furans</th>
<th>DeNOₓ</th>
<th>Point source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>057F(1)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>37 - 177</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH (replaced by TO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057F(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>228</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH (replaced by TO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057F(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH (replaced by TO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058B(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fabric filter (from formulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058B(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fabric filter (from formulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059B,I(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidiser without DeNOₓ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>062E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No abatement/recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>063E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>425</td>
<td>836</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrocellulose, recovery of HNO₃ in a scrubber cascade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>082A,I(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidiser, scrubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>098E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber cascade: H₂O and H₂O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101D,I,X(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Four central scrubbers, decentralised scrubbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101D,I,X(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclones, tube filters (from spray drying)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103A,I,X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Two scrubbers: NaOH and H₂SO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106A,I(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>3 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1071,X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal oxidiser, 1200 m³/h, SCR (NH₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal oxidiser 900 – 1000 °C, heat recovery, 45000 m³/h, scrubber with H₂O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Concentrations and DeNOₓ efficiencies for emissions to air for selected parameters
### 3.1.3 Mass flows

Table 3.2 shows mass flow data for the emissions to air, reported in contributions or for reference plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>CH</th>
<th>HBr</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>NH3</th>
<th>Particulates</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>Dioxins/ Furs</th>
<th>Point source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>007I A1</td>
<td>0.007I A1 0.021 – 0.2 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.003 – 0.008 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.35 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>Scrubber (now replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HCl, HCl, NaOH/NaHSO3, oxidiser, DeNOX (urea)</td>
<td>Incinerator (1200 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator (860 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I A2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003 – 0.008 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.35 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>Scrubber (now replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HCl, HCl, NaOH/NaHSO3, oxidiser, DeNOX (urea)</td>
<td>Incinerator (1200 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator (860 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I A3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.35 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.35 Two scrubbers</td>
<td>Scrubber (now replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HCl, HCl, NaOH/NaHSO3, oxidiser, DeNOX (urea)</td>
<td>Incinerator (1200 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator (860 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001A,I (1)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Scrubber (now replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HCl, HCl, NaOH/NaHSO3, oxidiser, DeNOX (urea)</td>
<td>Incinerator (1200 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator (860 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001A,I (2)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Scrubber (now replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HCl, HCl, NaOH/NaHSO3, oxidiser, DeNOX (urea)</td>
<td>Incinerator (1200 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator (860 °C), DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (1)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (2)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (4)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (5)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>Scrubber (NaOH/NaHSO3), acetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,X</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Thermal oxidiser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (1)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>Two scrubbers</td>
<td>Scrubber, catalytic treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (2)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>Scrubber, catalytic treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (3)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Scrubber, three stages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (4/1)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (4/2)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B (5)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>Cyclone, scrubber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I (1)</td>
<td>0.09 – 0.15</td>
<td>Activated carbon adsorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I (2)</td>
<td>0.001 – 0.03</td>
<td>Activated carbon adsorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I (1)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber, nitorgenous solvent loading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I (2)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>Incinerator, DeNOX (NH3), scrubber, dioxin test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020A,I</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>Oxidiser, DeNOX (urea) scrubber, high solvent loading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>Cl(_2)</td>
<td>Br(_2)</td>
<td>SO(_2)</td>
<td>NO(_X)</td>
<td>NH(_3)</td>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Dioxins/ furans</td>
<td>Point source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037A,I</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>038F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incinerator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber, ethanol, methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (2a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter (from storage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Three scrubbers: HNO(_3), H(_2)O, NaOH (from nitration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049A,I (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Three scrubbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049A,I (1a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter (from milling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidiser, electric filter, bag filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bag filter, from formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bag filter, from formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bag filter, from formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Catalytic oxidation, only toluene and methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>No recovery/abatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>Thermal oxidiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Catalytic oxidation (natural gas only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH or H(_2)SO(_4), cryogenic condenser, smoothing filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>056X</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001 – 0.040</td>
<td>Three scrubbers: H(_2)O, H(_2)SO(_4), NaOH, activated carbon adsorber 2 x 2875 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057F(1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001 – 0.945</td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH (replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057F(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>1.229</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH (replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057F(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scrubber: NaOH (replaced by thermal oxidiser)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058B(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Fabric filter (from formulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058B(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Fabric filter (from formulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059B,I(1)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>Oxidiser without DeNO(_X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>062E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>No abatement/recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Chapter 3

### Organic Fine Chemicals

#### Table 3.2: Mass flows values for the emissions from point sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>HCl</th>
<th>HBr</th>
<th>Cl₂</th>
<th>Br₂</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
<th>NOₓ</th>
<th>NH₃</th>
<th>Particulates</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>Dioxins/furans</th>
<th>Point source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg/hour</td>
<td>kg C/hour</td>
<td>µg/hour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>063E</td>
<td>0.7 – 1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>082A,I(1)</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.08</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>098E</td>
<td>0.87-1.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101D,I,X(1)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101D,I,X(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103A,I,X</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106A,I(2)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107I,X</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 – 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114A,I</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrocellulose, recovery of HNO₃ in a scrubber cascade

Thermal oxidiser, scrubber

Scrubber cascade: H₂O and H₂O₂

Four central scrubbers, decentralised scrubbers

Cyclones, tube filters (from spray drying)

Two scrubbers: NaOH and H₂SO₄

Thermal oxidiser, 980 °C, 4000 m³/h, 0.7 s dwell time, no DeNOₓ system, scrubber with H₂O, NaOH and Na₂S₂O₃, heat recovery (steam production)

Thermal oxidiser, 1200 m³/h, SCR (NH₃)

Thermal oxidiser 900 – 1000 °C, heat recovery, 45000 m³/h, scrubber with H₂O
3.2 Waste water

The levels given in the following data collection are usually based on daily average values and data sets of a few months up to one year. Elimination rates are obtained from input and output levels to and from the biological WWTP. Where data were not provided, table fields were left empty.

3.2.1 Reported COD and BOD$_5$ emissions and elimination efficiencies

Table 3.3 shows the reported COD and BOD$_5$ emissions and the related elimination efficiencies. Most data refer to the treatment of the total effluent biological WWTP, but in individual cases the overall COD elimination may be higher due to pretreatment steps. Such or similar situations are described under “Additional treatments, remarks”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>COD</th>
<th>COD elimin.</th>
<th>BOD$_5$</th>
<th>BOD$_5$ elimin.</th>
<th>Volume flow</th>
<th>Additional treatments, remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>m$^3$/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002A</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Subsequent treatment in municipal plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003F</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Direct discharge to river</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004D,O</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Nano-filtration for certain optical brighteners, wet oxidation plant, additional municipal plant in planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I</td>
<td>4740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350 (peak)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Treatment in municipal WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (2000)</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Treatment in municipal WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I (2003)</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Central activated carbon facility with on-site thermal regeneration for waste water streams containing chlorinated nitroaromatics. Overall COD elimination: 96 %, overall AOX elimination 99 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A,B,D (2000)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>Stripping of waste water streams from production of C1-CHC, solvent recovery from waste water streams by distillation, Hg removal from waste water streams, precipitation of heavy metals, distillation of waste water streams for solvent recovery, Ni catalyst recycling, since 2001 2-stage WWTP with basins and tower biology. The volume flow includes groundwater treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A,B,D (2002)</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X (2000)</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>Stripping of waste water streams from production of C1-CHC, solvent recovery from waste water streams by distillation, Hg removal from waste water streams, precipitation of heavy metals, distillation of waste water streams for solvent recovery, Ni catalyst recycling, since 2001 2-stage WWTP with basins and tower biology. The volume flow includes groundwater treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X (2003)</td>
<td>2892</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table: COD and BOD5 Elimination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>COD Before treatment</th>
<th>COD After treatment</th>
<th>COD elim.</th>
<th>COD Before treatment</th>
<th>COD After treatment</th>
<th>COD elim.</th>
<th>Volume flow</th>
<th>Additional treatments, remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m³/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X (2000)</td>
<td>4750</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Distillation of waste water streams, e.g. from production of light stabilisers, removal of tin-organic compounds from waste water streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X (2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X (2000)</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>Wet oxidation with H₂O₂ of waste water streams from fungicide production, oxidation of waste water streams containing NaS, concentration of waste water streams containing sulphuric acid, precipitation of Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X (2002)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>8260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A, V, X (2000)</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>5750</td>
<td>Stripping of waste water streams with high concentrations of purgeable AOX and solvents, removal of Ni and Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A, V, X (2003)</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>5180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V, I (2000)</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>Pretreatment of waste water streams from vitamin production in a low pressure wet oxidation plant with 96 % elimination for COD (AOX: 95 %). Concentration by evaporation and incineration of the residues. Removal and recycling of solvents (especially dioxane), extraction from waste water streams, pretreatment by hydrolysis, removal of Zn from exhaust gas (electro filter) before scrubbing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V, I (2003)</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D, I, O, B (2000)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>Municipal waste water 50 %, central high pressure wet oxidation for waste water streams containing refractory TOC loads (10 % of the volume, 50 % of the total TOC load) with overall TOC elimination of 89 %. Adsorption/extraction of waste water streams from the production of antimicrobica. Central nanofiltration for waste water stream from the production of dyes, optical brighteners and intermediates. Central extraction of waste water streams containing aromatic sulphonates. Stripping of NH₃, precipitation of Cu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D, I, O, B (2003)</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.3: COD and $\text{BOD}_5$ emissions, volume flows and elimination efficiencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>COD Before treatment</th>
<th>COD After treatment</th>
<th>COD Elim.</th>
<th>BOD$_5$ Before treatment</th>
<th>BOD$_5$ After treatment</th>
<th>BOD$_5$ Elim.</th>
<th>Volume Flow</th>
<th>Additional treatments, remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>016A,I (2001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Pretreatment of low degradable streams by adsorption: 97.2% TOC elimination in 2001, treatment including two biological steps, chemical precipitation and active carbon adsorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I (3)</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Values 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017A,I</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Average Jan – Sep 2003 Segregation and incineration of particular waste water streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018A,I</td>
<td>3039</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Segregation and incineration of particular waste water streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023A,I</td>
<td>5115</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Incineration of waste water streams with bioeliminability &lt;80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incineration of all waste waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026E</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Recycling of all spent acids, re-use of wash-water, very low volume flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043A,I</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>Stripping of CHCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044E</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Recycling of all spent acids, co-treatment of waste waters in municipal WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045E</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Recycling of all spent acids, evaporation of waste water streams in solar ponds (without energy consumption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>086A,I</td>
<td>5734</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>3071</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>Average Jan – Jun 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>089A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Segregation and disposal of mother liquors with bioeliminability &lt;90%, activated carbon adsorption after biological WWTP for AOX peaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090A,IX</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103A,IX</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Two days buffer before discharge to municipal sewer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.2.2 Reported emissions for inorganic parameters and related elimination efficiencies

Table 3.4 shows the reported emissions for inorganic parameters and the related elimination efficiencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>NH$_4$-N elimination</th>
<th>NH$_4$-N elimination</th>
<th>Total N elimination</th>
<th>Total N elimination</th>
<th>Inorganic N elimination</th>
<th>Inorg. N elimination</th>
<th>Total P elimination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006A,I</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A, I (2000)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A, B, D (2000)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A, B, D, I, X</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X (2000)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X (2003)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X (2000)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X (2002)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A, V, X (2000)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A, V, X (2003)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V, I (2000)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V, I (2003)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D, I, O, B (2000)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D, I, O, B</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026E[^1]</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>047B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>081A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>086A,I</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>089A,I</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090A,I</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>096A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>097X</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100A,I</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103A,I,X</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^1]: Volume flow of 20 m³/d

Table 3.4: Emission data for inorganic parameters and elimination efficiencies
### 3.2.3 Reported emission values for AOX and toxicities

Table 3.5 shows the reported AOX emissions and the related elimination efficiencies, and toxicities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>AOX</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>After treatment</th>
<th>LIDF</th>
<th>LIDD</th>
<th>LIDA</th>
<th>LIDL</th>
<th>LIDEU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007A,I</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A,B,D</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A,B,D</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X (2000)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X (2003)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X (2000)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X (2003)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A,V,X</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V,I</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V,I</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023A,I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040A,B,I</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1996)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>089A,I</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090A,I,X</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Emission values for AOX and toxicities

\[\text{EC}_{50,F} \quad \text{EC}_{50,D} \quad \text{EC}_{50,A} \quad \text{EC}_{50,L} \quad \text{EC}_{50,EU}\]

Expressed as vol-%

| 037A,I         | 100  | 100  | 100  | 0.8 – 45 |
| 038F           | 100  | 100  | 16 – 25 | 45     |
| 115A,I         | 100  |      | 45    |        |
| 016A,I(1)      |      |      | 38    |        |

\(^1\text{For the development of the levels in } *040A,B,I* \text{ over some years, see Section 4.3.8.18.}\)
3.3 Waste

[46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]

Table 3.6 shows the waste generated by 20 companies in Catalonia, Spain, producing organic fine chemicals in 2001. The 120000 metric tonnes had the following destinies:

- utilisation (80.9 %)
- incineration (9.4 %)
- controlled deposition (6.0 %)
- physico-chemical treatment (3.5 %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generated waste</th>
<th>Share in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non halogenated solvents</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non halogenated organic liquids</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sludge from effluent treatment</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt solutions</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogenated solvents</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special wastes</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Banal” waste</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6: Waste generated by 20 OFC companies in Catalonia, Spain
Chapter 4

4 TECHNIQUES TO CONSIDER IN THE DETERMINATION OF BAT

This chapter sets out techniques considered generally to have potential for achieving a high level of environmental protection in the industries within the scope of the document. Management systems, process-integrated techniques and end-of-pipe measures are included, but a certain amount of overlap exists between these three when seeking the optimum results.

Prevention, control, minimisation and re-cycling procedures are considered as well as the re-use of materials and energy.

Techniques may be presented singly or as combinations to achieve the objectives of IPPC. Annex IV of the Directive lists a number of general considerations to be taken into account when determining BAT and techniques within this chapter will address one or more of these considerations. As far as possible, a standard structure is used to outline each technique, to enable comparison of techniques and an objective assessment against the definition of BAT given in the Directive.

The content of this chapter is not an exhaustive list of techniques and others may exist or be developed which may be equally valid within the framework of BAT.

Generally a standard structure is used to outline each technique, as shown in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of information considered</th>
<th>Type of information included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Technical description of the technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved environmental benefits</td>
<td>Main environmental impact(s) to be addressed by the technique (process or abatement), including emission values achieved and efficiency performance. Environmental benefits of the technique in comparison with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational data</td>
<td>Performance data on emissions/wastes and consumption (raw materials, water and energy). Any other useful information on how to operate, maintain and control the technique, including safety aspects, operability constraints of the technique, output quality, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-media effects</td>
<td>Any side effects and disadvantages caused by implementation of the technique. Details on the environmental problems of the technique in comparison with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>Consideration of the factors involved in applying and retrofitting the technique (e.g. space availability, process specific). Where no information about restrictions is provided, the technique is described as “Generally applicable”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>Information on costs (investment and operation) and any possible savings (e.g. reduced raw material consumption, waste charges) also as related to the capacity of the technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving force for implementation</td>
<td>Reasons for implementation of the technique (e.g. other legislation, improvement in production quality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References to literature and example plants</td>
<td>Literature for more detailed information on the technique and reference to plants where the technique is reported to be used</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Information breakdown for each technique described in this chapter
4.1 Prevention of environmental impact

4.1.1 Green chemistry

Description

Concerning the production of chemicals, the principle of green chemistry is to promote the use of alternative synthetic routes and alternative reaction conditions to existing less-environmentally friendly processes; i.e. by:

- improving process designs to maximise the incorporation of all the input materials used into the final product
- usage of substances that possess little or no toxicity to human health and the environment. Substances should be chosen in order to minimise the potential for accidents, releases, explosions and fires
- avoiding the use of auxiliary substances (e.g. solvents, separation agents, etc.) wherever possible
- minimising energy requirements, in recognition of the associated environmental and economic impacts. Reactions at ambient temperatures and pressures should be preferred
- using renewable feedstock rather than depleting, wherever technically and economically practicable
- avoiding unnecessary derivatisation (e.g. blocking or protection groups) wherever possible
- applying catalytic reagents, which are typically superior to stoichiometric reagents.

Achieved environmental benefits

Minimisation of the environmental impact of a process, at an early design stage.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Mostly applicable to new processes, but often a compromise has to be found or one of the aspects has to be favoured more than another. As an example: phosgene can be a very efficient reactant in organic chemistry but on the other hand, it is quite toxic.

Where API manufacture on a site requires the observance of the rules of current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) or approval by the Federal Drug Administration (FDA), process modifications can be only carried out fulfilling the required variation procedure. This represents a serious obstacle for the redesign of existing processes. Similar restrictions exist, for example, for the manufacture of explosives.

Another option for the prevention of environmental impacts is the use of input materials of higher purity [99, D2 comments, 2005].
Economics

Prevention of problems is expected to be more cost effective than later process reviews or end-of-pipe techniques.

Driving force for implementation

Optimised process design, improved safety and working conditions.

References to literature and example plants

[10, Anastas, 1996, 46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]

See also, ACS Green Chemistry Institute (http://www.chemistry.org) and EPA Green Chemistry (http://www.epa.gov/greenchemistry/index.html)
4.1.2 Integration of EHS considerations into process development

Description

The likelihood of successful prevention and minimisation of the environmental impact of a process increases if Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) are considered early in the development chain. The assessment process is based on prevention, minimisation and making harmless and aims to design out environmental issues and to provide an auditable trail for environmental issues. Table 4.2 gives an overview to the tools of such an assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial assessment and prioritisation</th>
<th>Paper assessment of EHS issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listed materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Problem materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Problem technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Nomination of the target compound</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attempt to remove all major EHS issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design for environment inherent EHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Synthesis freeze</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimise</td>
<td>Focus on efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Process freeze</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make harmless</td>
<td>Manage residual EHS issues, define treatment processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Available disposal options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Technology transfer on-site</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer information from development</td>
<td>Ensure knowledge of EHS issues associated with the process is transferred to manufacture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Integration of environmental, health and safety aspects in process development

Achieved environmental benefits

Possibility to prevent, minimise and make harmless environmental issues.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.
Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Consumes time and manpower but minimises costs for managing residual environmental issues.

Driving force for implementation

Prevention of environmental, health and safety issues at an early stage.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *016A,I*
4.1.3 Example for a solvent selection guide

Description

Solvent selection is a key part of process development. Because of the volumes used, solvents can often result in the biggest environmental, health and safety impact of a process. There are a number of methodologies to aid in the search for environmentally benign solvent systems. Table 4.3 shows an example for a solvent selection guide. The table assigns a score from 1 to 10 for each solvent under the respective categories with 10 being of concern and 1 suggesting few issues. The table is further simplified by using colour coding with scores between 1 and 3 being green, 4 to 7 yellow and 8 to 10 red. Categories are further explained in Table 4.4.

Achieved environmental benefits

Prevention of big environmental issues at an early development stage.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

The applicability depends on the particular case. The solvent has to fulfil a certain task, which often restricts the choice.

More information about classification and selection of solvents can be found in [99, D2 comments, 2005]:

- http://ecb.jrc.it/existing-chemicals/

Economics

The possibility to prevent an environmental issue early in the process development can reduce costs for recovery/abatement.

Driving force for implementation

Prevention of environmental issues.

References to literature and example plants

*016A,I*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flammability</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>Impact on air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane sulphonic acid ¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid (glacial)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19-7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4-18-6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoamyl alcohol</td>
<td>123-51-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Pentanol</td>
<td>71-41-0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutanol</td>
<td>78-83-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butanol</td>
<td>71-36-3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMS/Ethanol</td>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>67-56-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-Butanol</td>
<td>75-65-0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methoxy ethanol ²</td>
<td>109-86-4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopar G</td>
<td>90622-57-4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isooctane</td>
<td>540-84-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent 30 (assumed benzene free)</td>
<td>64742-49-0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isohexane</td>
<td>107-83-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>1330-20-7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triethylamine</td>
<td>121-44-8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>110-86-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene chloride ²</td>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyl acetate</td>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAS No</td>
<td>Flammability</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropyl acetate</td>
<td>108-21-4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenyl ether</td>
<td>101-84-8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisole</td>
<td>106-66-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diglyme</td>
<td>111-96-6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2 Dimethoxyethane</td>
<td>110-71-4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl ether</td>
<td>60-29-7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorinated:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifluorotoluene</td>
<td>98-08-8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketones:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIBK</td>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone</td>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar aprotic:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO 4</td>
<td>67-66-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Methyl pyrrolidone</td>
<td>872-50-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfolane</td>
<td>126-33-0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl acetamide</td>
<td>127-19-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>68-12-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The “impact in water” score for methane sulphonic acid has been based on limited data.
2. 2-methoxy ethanol is on the Swedish Restricted Chemicals List. The comment is that “the goal is to phase out this substance”. Therefore, use in Sweden will need careful consideration.
3. In Sweden, methylene chloride is essentially banned for use in processes.
4. DMSO can decompose to form dimethyl sulphide. This is an extremely malodorous substance and requires high levels of abatement to prevent odour being an issue. Also under the UK regulations, organic sulphides have benchmark release levels of 2 mg/m³. Care should be taken to assess emission levels of dimethyl sulphide when using DMSO.

Table 4.3: Solvent selection guide from *016A,I
### Flammability
Assessed according to the UK National Fire Protection Association’s (NFPA) scoring system.

#### Static
A score of either 1 or 10, depending on whether the material can accumulate an electrostatic charge. (It is important to note that electrical resistivity will depend on the source, purity, potential contaminants and any other material dissolved in the solvent. Care is needed when applying such data. If there is any doubt, a sample should be tested.)

### Health
Rating is primarily based on the exposure potential. This is estimated by calculating the vapour hazard rating: saturated concentration at 20 °C divided by the Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL).

### Impact in air
The category is made up of five separate elements. These are the UK Long Term Environmental Assessment Level (EAL), VOC Directive impact, rate of photolysis, Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP) and odour potential.

#### VOC potential
Assesses the magnitude of likely emissions based on the vapour pressure of the solvent at 20 °C.

### Impact in water
Judged on the criteria of toxicity, biodegradability and the likelihood of bioaccumulation (as estimated from octanol/water partition coefficient).

If the process is unlikely to contain any streams suitable for ultimate release to water, this category could be ignored. However, the category is still relevant for accidental releases from the processes.

### Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential biotreatment plant load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimates the effect of a solvent on the operation of a biological treatment facility. It assesses impact due to load (both carbon and nitrogen loading), the effects of air stripping from the plant and, in the instances of processes where water is present, the increased effect of solvents due to their water solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the process is unlikely to contain any streams suitable for biotreatment, this category could be ignored.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assesses the potential ease of recovery of the solvent. The elements that are used to assess this are the number of other solvents in the guide with a boiling point within 10 °C, the boiling point, the risk of peroxide formation on distillation and the water solubility (affecting potential loss in aqueous streams).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incineration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key properties of solvents for incineration are heat of combustion, aqueous solubility, and halogen, nitrogen and sulphur content. Some of these problems can be minimised by blending with other waste solvents by the incinerator operator. However, this has not been taken into account in the scoring system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. The safety considerations in the guide are limited to operational hazards, i.e. fire and explosion hazards.
2. Many of the subcategories in this section assume that the solvents are used in an aqueous process. It is important to use judgement when applying the scores to solvent only processes.

| Table 4.4: Properties that were considered and scored in the solvent selection guide from *016A,1* |
4.1.4 Examples for alternative synthesis and reaction conditions

4.1.4.1 Sulphonation with SO$_3$ in gas-liquid reaction

Description

Sulphonation of fatty alcohohlates and ethoxylates can be carried out with SO$_3$ in a gas-liquid reaction. For a description of this please see Section 2.5.13.

Achieved environmental benefits

- no aqueous mother liquors
- no wash-waters from product washing
- waste waters only arise from the alkaline scrubbing of exhaust gas, and >95 % of the scrubbing liquid is recycled.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

The applicability depends on the particular case. The production of each compound is thus considered individually, assessing the chemical, engineering, and economic factors.

Economics

No data provided, but it can be presumed that economic advantages were the driving force for implementation.

Driving force for implementation

Process optimisation, economics.

References to literature and example plants

[51, UBA, 2004], *061X*
4.1.4.2 Dry acetylation of a naphthylamine sulphonic acid

Description

Instead of acetylation in an aqueous medium and salting out the product with ammonium sulphate, 2-naphthylamine-8-sulphonic acid can be acetylated in acetic anhydride without the generation of waste water streams. The generated acetic acid can be recovered easily and recycled into other processes.

Achieved environmental benefits

- waste water streams: - 100 %
- salt for salting out: - 100 %
- recovery of 270 kg acetic acid per 1000 kg product.

Cross-media effects

Shift from waste water to waste gas.

Operational data

Flat bottomed vessel with stirring equipment and steam heating is required.

Applicability

Generally not restricted to acetylations, but the applicability depends on the particular case. The production of each compound is thus considered individually, assessing the chemical, engineering, and economic factors.

Economics

No comparison of the conventional and the new process was possible due to the lack of data, but economic advantages are presumed to be the driving force.

Driving force for implementation

Process optimisation, economics.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999], *010A,B,D,I,X*
4.1.4.3 Recycling instead of treatment/disposal of TPPO

Description

TPPO is a residue created in Wittig processes in stoichiometric amounts (for an example see Table 4.5). TPPO is not easily degradable and phosphorus may be a critical parameter for a WWTP. The described treatment steps for the disposal of TPPO still lead to the formation of solid residues, and the created P$_2$O$_5$ may have poisoning effects on DeNO$_X$ catalysts and cause clogging of filters.

| Processing of a C$_{15}$ unit for retinoid synthesis [6, Ullmann, 2001] |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 300 kg starting material      | Solid residue   | TPPO    |
|                               | 380 kg          |         |

Table 4.5: Example for the creation of TPPO from a Wittig process

Instead of treatment and disposal (Figure 4.1), TPPO can be recycled by conversion to TPP according to the scheme given in Figure 4.2 and re-used for further processing.

Figure 4.1: Treatment steps for the disposal of TPPO

Achieved environmental benefits

Figure 4.3 compares the overall balances for Wittig reactions with and without the recycling of TPPO (note: other starting or output materials of the Wittig reaction itself do not appear in the balance). The emissions are reduced as follows:

- phosphorus compounds:  - 100 %
- chloride in waste water:  - 66 %
- CO$_2$:  - 95 %.

The obtained Al(OH)$_3$ can be used in the on-site WWTP as a flocculation agent.
Cross-media effects

Waste water streams from the second extraction of the TTPO conversion.

Operational data

- phosgene is generated and immediately fed to the reactor; phosgene and chlorine are handled in a gas tight chamber, and
- treatment steps are carried out in stirred tank reactors.

Applicability

Applicable if the amount of TPPO generated justifies investment for recycling. Additionally off-site recycling is possible.

On-site TPPO recycling is possibly not economically feasible in custom manufacturing synthesis where limited amounts of TPPO are used [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Economics

- no comparison of conventional treatment/disposal costs and the recycling process obtained, but economic advantages can be presumed to be the driving force
- higher costs if phosgene technology has to be established on site.

Driving force for implementation

Process optimisation, economics.

References to literature and example plants

*036L*, [9, Christ, 1999]
Figure 4.2: Steps in the conversion of TPPO to TPP

Figure 4.3: Overall balances for a Wittig reaction with and without recycling of TPPO
4.1.4.4 Enzymatic processes versus chemical processes

Description

Using enzymatic processes instead of chemical processes is advantageous from an environmental point of view. Less synthesis steps (no additional modification or protection of functional groups) and low solvent use are the major beneficial effects. Energy savings, fewer safety and disposal issues, and improved product quality also lead to cost benefits. The enzyme can be used in solution or fixed on a substrate, or as part of a polyfunctional enzymatic system, e.g. in living cells, free in a reaction medium or fixed on a substrate.

Achieved environmental benefits

An example from the manufacture of 6-aminopenicillanic acid is presented in Table 4.6:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical method</th>
<th>Enzymatic method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumption of reactants</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 t Penicillin G, potassium salt</td>
<td>1000 t Penicillin G, potassium salt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 t N,N-dimethyl aniline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 t phosphorus pentachloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 t dimethyl dichlorosilane</td>
<td>45 t ammonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 – 1 t biocatalyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumption of solvents (recoverable, partly for disposal)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000 m³ water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200 m³ dichloro methane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200 m³ butanol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6: Comparison of enzymatic and chemical processes
Consumption levels for the conversion of 1000 t Penicillin G

Cross-media effects

Higher water consumption.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

The technical feasibility has to be considered individually.

Other examples with environmental advantages are [46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]:

- Aspartame
- 7-amino cefalosporanic acid
- anticonvulsive LY300164.
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Where API manufacture on a site requires the observance of the rules of current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) or approval by the Federal Drug Administration (FDA), process modifications can be only carried out fulfilling the required variation procedure. This represents a serious obstacle for the redesign of existing processes.

Economics

Cost benefits.

Driving force for implementation

Cost benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999, 46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]
4.1.4.5 Catalytic reduction

Description

Most of the reduction processes carried out on an industrial scale can be performed by catalytic hydrogenation. This avoids the utilisation of stoichiometric amounts of other reduction agents which lead to the formation of large amounts of waste streams as, e.g. in the case of reduction with iron.

Achieved environmental benefits

Avoidance of waste stream formation, see also Table 4.32.

Cross-media effects

Hydrogenation may require the use of heavy metal compounds such as catalysts, which have to be recovered/recycled.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Applicable to most reduction processes on an industrial scale [6, Ullmann, 2001], but still in Organic Fine Chemistry other reducing agents may have decisive specific advantages [62, D1 comments, 2004]. Selective catalytic processes are generally superior to stoichiometric reactions [10, Anastas, 1996, 46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003].

Find an example for the step from reduction with Fe to catalytic reduction in: [9, Christ, 1999]

There are cases where the iron oxide resulting from reduction processes are used as pigments [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Economics

- higher investment costs for hydrogenation equipment in comparison to a conventional reactor
- reduced disposal costs for residues
- cost of recovery of the catalyst
- increased safety requirements [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Driving force for implementation

- selectivity of the reaction
- higher efficiency for products with larger production volume
- disposal costs for residues.

References to literature and example plants

4.1.4.6 Microstructured reactor systems

Description

Microreaction technology in chemical reaction engineering has experienced spectacular development in recent years, and is now also carried out on an industrial scale.

Microreactors are characterised by three-dimensional structures in the submillimetre range, with the following characteristics:

- mainly multi-channel reactors
- diameters from 10 to several 100 micrometers
- specific surface of 10000 to 50000 m²/m³
- high heat transfer performance
- short diffusion times, low influence of mass transfer on the rate of reaction
- isothermal conditions possible.

Figure 4.4 shows a five plate microreactor for the synthesis of a vitamin precursor in a stainless steel system with simultaneous mixing, reaction and heat transfer [6, Ullmann, 2001]. To obtain a product in a required amount, a certain number of microreactors have to be operated in parallel in arrays.

![Five plate microreactor for the synthesis of a vitamin precursor](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- less waste streams, through the higher efficiency (process intensification) possible
- inherent reactor safety
- higher yields and less by-products.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

- typical cell dimensions of 2 ml
- continuous flowrate of 1 – 10 ml/minute
- throughput of up to 140 g/hour.
Applicability

[6, Ullmann, 2001]: A number of reactions have been successfully tested in microreactors, including high temperature reactions, catalysed reactions, and photochemical reactions.

Examples of production [69, Wuthe, 2004]:

- production capacity of 80 tonnes/year for speciality chemicals
- production of an organic pigment (20 tonnes/year).

Other examples:

- *094I*: replacement of batch processes with exotic or difficult classical chemistry
- *095A,I*: contract development and manufacture of intermediates and APIs.

Economics

Table 4.7 gives a comparison of costs for a pilot production in a batch vessel and in the microreactor. Most important is the effect on the costs for bringing a product from laboratory to production scale, since the usual scale-up is replaced by a numbering up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50 litre batch vessel</th>
<th>Micro-reactor array</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>EUR 96632</td>
<td>EUR 430782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale-up effort</td>
<td>10 man days</td>
<td>0 man days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean yield</td>
<td>90 %</td>
<td>93 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific solvent consumption</td>
<td>10.0 l/kg</td>
<td>8.3 l/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required personnel per facility</td>
<td>2 men</td>
<td>1 man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production rate</td>
<td>427 kg/year</td>
<td>536 kg/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific production cost</td>
<td>EUR 7227/kg</td>
<td>EUR 2917/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost advantage of micro-reactor array</td>
<td></td>
<td>EUR 2308529/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on investment</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7: Comparison of costs for a pilot production in a batch vessel and in the micro-reactor

Driving force for implementation

Higher yields, process intensification.

References to literature and example plants

4.1.4.7 Reactions in ionic liquids

Description

Reactions can be carried out in ionic liquids, non miscible with organic solvents and showing no measurable vapour pressure. Therefore, the levels of VOCs are strongly reduced. The Biphasic Acid Scavenging utilising Ionic Liquids (BASIL) is now used on an industrial scale. Advantages are: no release of gaseous HCl, improved heat transfer, easy liquid-liquid separation, higher selectivity, can be used in existing plants, easy recycling of the IL (98 %) by simple treatment with caustic soda.

What are ionic liquids (IL)?

Ionic liquids are organic salts with melting points under 100 °C, often even lower than room temperature. Recently they have been used more and more as a substitute for the traditional organic solvents used in chemical reactions. The most common ones are imidazolium and pyridinium derivatives, but also phosphonium or tetraalkylammonium compounds can be used for this purpose. Lately, environmentally friendly halogen-free ionic liquids have been introduced.

Achieved environmental benefits

- reaction rate enhancement, higher selectivities as well as higher yields, both result in a smaller amount of waste streams
- replacement of VOCs.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Depends on the individual case.

Applicability

*036L* uses an ionic liquid in the manufacture of alkoxyphenylphosphines, the first commercial use of the versatile materials in an organic process. The manufacture is carried out on a multi-tonne scale in a batch reactor at elevated temperatures. During the process, the ionic liquid separates as a clear liquid phase from the pure product and is recycled [65, Freemantle, 2003].

Possible applications are [66, Riedel, 2004]:

- as a solvent for synthetic and catalytic purposes, for example Diels-Alder cycloaddition reactions, Friedel-Craft acylation and alkylation, hydrogenation and oxidation reactions and Heck reactions
- as a biphasic system in combination with an organic solvent or water in extraction and separation technologies
- as catalyst immobilisation without the need of special functionalisation for the easy recycling of homogeneous catalysts
- as electrolytes in electrochemistry.
Economics

No information provided

Driving force for implementation

No information provided

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004], [65, Freemantle, 2003], *036L*
4.1.4.8 Cryogenic reactions

Description
Reactions can be carried out in cryogenic batch reactors that work at very low temperatures: from -50 to -100 °C. The low temperature is generated by indirect cooling of the batch reactor with a suitable liquid, that in turn is cooled with liquid nitrogen.

Achieved environmental benefits
Under extreme cold, the reaction yield of some isomeric or stereoisomeric reactions can be considerably increased from 50 to more than 90 %. This gives a considerable saving in expensive intermediates and in reduction of waste streams, which has its effect on all previous reaction steps. This also prevents further waste streams and efforts from other workup steps that otherwise would be required to separate the impurities from the API or the intermediate.

Cross-media effects
Higher energy demand through the use of liquid nitrogen.

Operational data
Temperatures from -50 to -100 °C are required.

Applicability
Depends on the individual synthesis task.

Economics
No information provided.

Driving force for implementation
Selectivity and high reaction yield.

References to literature and example plants
[62, D1 comments, 2004], *065A,I*, 083A,I*, *084A,I*
4.1.4.9 Reactions in supercritical CO$_2$

Description

Reactions can be carried out in supercritical CO$_2$ (supercritical point at 73.8 bar/31 °C) using a supercritical reactor system, as illustrated in Figure 4.5 for hydrogenations. Supercritical CO$_2$ replaces the solvent and shows similar properties to n-hexane. The reaction is no longer mass transfer controlled and hydrogen shows infinite solubility. Reaction conditions such as pressure, temperature, residence time and hydrogen concentration can be manipulated independently. On completion, the CO$_2$ is evaporated by reducing the pressure.

![Figure 4.5: A supercritical reactor system](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- low/no VOCs
- less waste streams
- higher selectivity
- higher yields.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.
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Operational data

Above 73.8 bar/31 °C.

Applicability

Some reaction types under development besides hydrogenation include:

- alkylation
- acid catalysed reactions/etherifications
- hydroformylation.

Economics

Reactions in supercritical CO₂ represent cost-intensive processes, but the costs are justified by selectivity, reduction of energy use, saving of costs for product workup and solvent disposal.

Driving force for implementation

Selectivity through an inherently “tunable” system.

References to literature and example plants

*021B,1*
4.1.4.10 Substitution of butyllithium

Description

Butyllithium is used in organic synthesis as a strong base for the deprotonation of hydrocarbons or metallation in aromatic chemistry. The reaction can create large amounts of highly volatile butane (boiling point: -0.5 °C) which can usually only be controlled by means of thermal oxidation.

Butyllithium can be replaced with other alkyl lithium compounds with lower volatilities, e.g. hexyllithium generating hexane with a boiling point of 68.7 °C.

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction of VOC emissions
- in particular cases, avoidance of advanced end-of-pipe techniques.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Possible generation of less volatile by-products, e.g. dodecane (as a result of a radical dimerisation process) which may require further cleaning operations and, therefore, additional resource consumptions.

Applicability

In one example, the reactivity of hexyllithium resembles that of butyllithium and it can thus principally be used for the same applications [6, Ullmann, 2001].

Economics

- possibly higher purchase costs for substitute alkyl lithium
- limited availability of substitute alkyl lithium on the market
- reduced costs as less abatement techniques are needed.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of VOC emissions, economics.

References to literature and example plants

*025A,I*
4.1.5 Extraction from natural products

4.1.5.1 Extraction from natural products with liquid CO$_2$

See also Section 4.1.4.9.

Description

Supercritical CO$_2$ (supercritical point at 73.8 bar/31 °C) can replace the solvent in an extraction process, showing properties similar to hexane. Extracts of high quality and purity can be obtained without the typical problem of removal of the extraction solvent, since CO$_2$ is easily recycled by the application of suitable pressures.

Achieved environmental benefits

- no VOC emissions, no VOC recovery/abatement necessary
- high efficiency
- less effort for purification of the extract.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Pressures above 73.8 bar are required.

Applicability

Applicable where the solvent properties of supercritical CO$_2$ enable efficient extraction of a given extract. Preferred method for isolating heat sensitive material.

An example from [46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]: extraction of low volatile fragrances from spices or other dry raw material.

Economics

Capital intensive process. Detailed information not provided, but economic advantages can be assumed as the driving force for implementation.

Driving force for implementation

Information not provided, but economic advantages can be assumed as the driving force for implementation.

References to literature and example plants

[46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003]
4.1.5.2 Countercurrent band extraction

Description

Extraction yield in the extraction of plant materials can vary from 10 to 0.1 % or even lower, depending on the process technique, plant material quality and the targeted compounds. This means that the amount of waste streams compared to amount of end-product is considerable. To reduce the amount of waste streams, it is important to maximise the extraction yield, e.g. by using countercurrent band extraction.

Achieved environmental benefits

Higher extraction yields.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Cost benefits through higher yields, and lower costs for disposal of residual material.

Driving force for implementation

Efficiency improvement.

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004], *065A,1*
4.1.5.3 Enabling the re-use of residual plant material from extraction

Description

An “ideal” extraction solvent satisfies the following requirements:

- high, selective dissolving capacity: only the required extract and no other constituents of the feed material are dissolved
- low specific heat, relative density, and heat of vaporisation
- non-flammable, no formation of explosive mixtures with air
- nontoxic
- non-corrosive action on the extraction equipment
- good ability to penetrate the feed material, easy and complete removal from the extract and extraction residue without affecting their odour or taste
- chemically defined, homogeneous, stable; it has a constant boiling point which is not too high.

No solvent fulfills all of these conditions, and the optimum solvent must be found for each extraction process.

The use of easily degradable solvents enables the simple disposal and potential re-use of the extraction residue.

Achieved environmental benefits

Enabling the re-use of residual plant material from extraction.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Lower costs for the disposal of residual material.

Driving force for implementation

Efficiency improvement.

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004], *065A,I*
4.1.6 Safety assessment

Safety assessment is introduced in this document as it can help to prevent accidents with potentially significant environmental impacts. However, this subject could not be entirely dealt within this document. The field of process safety is much wider than presented here and this section should be treated as an initial overview. Section 4.1.6.3 contains a list of references for additional information.

4.1.6.1 Physico-chemical safety assessment of chemical reactions

Description

A structured safety assessment for a reaction in a certain process in a given plant can be performed according to the scheme given in Figure 4.6. The assessment is carried out for normal operation (see Figure 4.7) and takes into account effects due to deviations of the chemical process and deviations in the operation of the plant (see Table 4.8).

All the relevant physico-chemical safety data of substances and reactions should be evaluated in respect with the necessary equipment along with technical and organisational safety precautions. Important physico-chemical parameters to be taken into account are, e.g:

- reaction enthalpies ($\Delta H_R$) both for the reaction concerned and for potential secondary reactions (e.g. decomposition)
- possible gas evolution (M) and the rate of gas evolution (dM/dt) or corresponding derived parameters from the reaction or the possible decomposition
- rate of heat production (dQH/dt), where appropriate as a function of temperature
- total heat removal capacity of the system (dQK/dt)
- limit temperature ($T_{exo}$) for the thermal stability of the substances concerned and the reaction mixtures under process conditions
- formation of new unwanted products or by-products ($\Delta n_{subst.}$), which lead to an increase in the reaction enthalpy or gas formation or a reduction of the limit temperature ($T_{exo}$).

Measures to ensure that a process can be controlled adequately include (without ranking):

**Preventive measures**
(preferred)
- organisational measures
- concepts involving control engineering techniques
- reaction stoppers
- emergency cooling

**Design measures**

- pressure resistant construction
- pressure relief including sufficient catch volume

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Prevention of major accidents and substance releases.

**Cross-media effects**

*None believed likely.*
Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Similar to chemical reactions and also applicable to other operations, e.g. drying or distillation. Important safety examples are the handling of organic dusts or solvent vapours.

Economics

- additional costs for safety measures
- high costs in the case of pressure resistant construction.

Driving force for implementation

Process safety.

References to literature and example plants

[42, TAA, 1994], [100, TAA, 2000] and literature within.
Figure 4.6: Safety assessment procedure
Note: (*) see Figure 4.7
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Assessment of the substances under process conditions

Are all substances used and produced adequately stable?

yes  no

Assessment of the required reaction as well as ancillary and secondary reactions

Gas production?

yes  no

Assessment of the chemical reaction output

\[
dQ/dt = \frac{QR}{\text{metering time}}
\]

for semi batch reaction

Can the gas be adequately removed?

yes  no

Assessment of possible failures

\[
dQ/dt_r < dQ/dt
\]

yes  no

Overheating under control?

yes  no

Process or plant requires modification

Figure 4.7: Iterative assessment strategy for normal operations
### Effects due to deviations of chemical processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure caused by</th>
<th>$\Delta H_R$</th>
<th>dM/dt</th>
<th>$\frac{(dQ_R/dt)}{(dQ_K/dt)}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{exo}$</th>
<th>$\Delta n$ subst.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting materials (specification, nature, property), e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• contamination with catalytic effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• increased/decreased of concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• residues from previous use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• decomposition of activators/inhibitors (e.g. as a result of extended storage)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of starting materials/auxiliary materials, e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• solvent used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• solution promoter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• activator/inhibitor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metering, e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• wrong substance, wrong quantities/ratios</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• changed metering sequence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• wrong metering rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction conditions, e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• change in pH value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• temperature increase/decrease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• reaction/residence time, delayed reaction start</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• increase of by-products/residues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing, e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• inadequate agitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• separation of solids/catalysts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Effects due to deviations in the operation of the plant

| Availability of auxiliary energy, e.g.                                         |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • compressed air, nitrogen                                                       |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • electric current                                                              |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • heating medium, cooling medium                                                 |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • ventilation                                                                  |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| Heating/cooling medium (temperature), e.g.                                       |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • temperature exceeds/falls below the temperature defined for safe process operation |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| Process equipment, e.g.                                                          |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • failure                                                                      |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| Material flows, e.g.                                                            |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • failure of pumps/valves                                                        |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • incorrect operation of valves                                                 |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • blocking of lines/valves/fittings (in particular venting pipes)               |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • backflow from other parts of the plant                                        |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| Filling level, e.g.                                                             |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • overfilling                                                                   |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • leakage from a dump valve                                                     |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • flooding of condensers (heat-exchangers)                                      |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| Agitation, e.g.                                                                 |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • failure                                                                       |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • increased viscosity                                                           |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • mechanical introduction of heat                                               |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| Integrity of components                                                         |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • corrosion (in particular with resulting material overflow from/to heat transfer systems) |              |       |                               |            |                 |
| • mechanical damage                                                             |              |       |                               |            |                 |

Table 4.8: Effects due to deviations of chemical processes or the operation of the plant
4.1.6.2 About the prevention of runaway reactions

Description

The consequences of a runaway reaction can be severe. Therefore, facilities must focus on prevention of conditions favourable for a reaction excursion through process design control, instrumentation, and interlocks to prevent the recurrence of similar events. Facilities should take the following steps to prevent runaway reactions:

- modify processes to improve inherent safety. Consider inherently safer processes to reduce reliance on administrative controls
- minimise the potential for human error
- understand events that may lead to an overpressure and eventually to vessel rupture
- use lessons learned. Go beyond the issues of quality control and operator error and identify true root causes
- evaluate Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)
- evaluate employee training and oversight
- evaluate measures to inhibit a runaway reaction (e.g. neutralisation, quenching)
- evaluate the effectiveness of the emergency relief system.

Achieved environmental benefits

Prevention of runaway reactions and related consequences.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable, especially in the case of exothermic reactions. Also relevant for stored goods with potential for autoreaction (e.g. warm storage experiments for acrylnitrile).

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Prevention of accidents and consequent emissions to the environment.

References to literature and example plants

[72, EPA, 1999]
4.1.6.3 Useful links and further information

- Process Safety Progress (journal), accessible in http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/jhome/107615864
4.2 Minimisation of environmental impacts

4.2.1 A “state of the art” multipurpose plant

Description

The new production site *037A,I* manufactures pharmaceuticals and performs reactions such as chiral synthesis, biocatalysis, Grignard reactions, Friedel-Crafts reactions, bromination, chlorination, aromatic substitutions, metal hydride reductions.

Major aspects have been considered as follows:

- several techniques were considered to control VOC emissions, including: extra condensors, cryogenic condensation, scrubbing, thermal oxidation. The choice was made for thermal oxidation because all possible emissions on the site can be treated at once. Furthermore, the thermal oxidiser can be used as an energy provider for the plant
- the characteristic value for residue production is about 15 tonnes residue per tonne product. Products are made in quantities of up to 100 tonnes/year/product. Recycle loops are, in many cases, not possible due to quality and purity requirements. Only the solvents that are contaminated with, for example halogenated compounds or salts, are disposed of to a waste treatment plant
- a survey is being carried out to make processes more selective and, therefore, produce less sludge at the WWTP, as the sludge has to be processed abroad
- other measures to prevent residues include: using enzymes in the processes, performing reactions at lower temperatures, racemise after the reaction is completed
- there is one process with runaway hazard. The temperature is measured in the reactor during start off to check if the reaction is running according to the instructions. The reaction is quenched if the temperature rises above the alarm setpoint

The plant is designed in such a way that diffuse emissions are minimised and that the energy efficiency is optimised:

On the top floor (5th floor), starting materials can be weighed and loaded in closed rooms. Products fall into the reactors that hang on the 3rd floor, using gravity instead of pumps and/or vacuum. This prevents uncontrolled emissions to a large extent, since pumps are an important source of uncontrolled emissions, and can save energy. On the 4th floor (thus between weighing and reactors) hang (double) condensors using thermal oil as the cooling medium. Solvents can either be returned to the reactors or run to a reception vessel. All systems are closed to prevent uncontrolled emissions. On the lower floors, products fall from the reactors into vessels where reception and drying functions are combined. Here again gravity does the work instead of pumps/vacuum. On each floor, pipes gather to a manifold. Apparatus can be connected to each other in different configurations using flexible pipes, depending on the process steps needed, thus preventing the use of building materials. Packings are replaced when a production campaign is finished (i.e. when a switch is made to the synthesis of a different product) to make sure the system remains safe and no uncontrolled emissions occur. When the configuration of the equipment is adapted to the new process, the packings are changed and the equipment is set under pressure in order to detect potential leaks. Inside the reactor during production, a light overpressure of nitrogen slightly decreases the evaporation of VOCs (blanketing). The building is closed and ventilated mechanically. The pressure inside the building is 10 % higher than outside. The building is divided into fireproof compartments which are individually ventilated. All emitted gases from these compartments are either recycled, or after waste heat recovery, released to the air. All condensors and vents from sewage are connected to the thermal oxidiser to make sure all point emissions are treated. Waste water vents are also connected to the thermal oxidiser.
Achieved environmental benefits

- high energy efficiency
- consequent minimisation of diffuse/fugitive emissions
- extended recovery and abatement of VOC emissions.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable for new installations (including buildings). Technical restrictions may lead to individual solutions. For example, the use of gravity flow is not applicable for high viscosity liquids [99, D2 comments, 2005]. Alternatively to the use of gravity flow, canned pumps can be used to prevent fugitive emissions [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

Cost benefits can be presumed through higher efficiency.

Driving force for implementation

Cost and environmental benefits, and efficiency.

References to literature and example plants

*037A,1*
4.2.2 Site assessment before process launch

Description

Site assessment before process launch is one part of the decision process. At the latest after the required processes and operations to manufacture a new product are finally selected (“process freeze”), the decision has to be made where this product will be launched. Basically, a new product can be launched on existing (own or someone else’s) sites or the product launch is realised by establishing a new site. Many factors influence this decision such as investment/operating costs, the availability of resources like scientific/technical staff or feedstock and product logistics.

From an environmental point of view, major issues after “process freeze” are, e.g. the management of waste streams (consideration of recovery, re-use, abatement and disposal options) and transportation of feedstocks and products/wastes. Figure 4.8 illustrates the assessment of two sites concerning transportation and Figure 4.9 illustrates the assessment of two sites concerning the management of waste streams arising from processes/operations to be launched.

Figure 4.8: Assessment of two sites concerning transportation
Each criterion is given an evaluation value of between 0 = positive and 5 = negative

Figure 4.9: Assessment of two sites concerning the waste streams from a new production
Each criterion is given an evaluation value of between 0 = positive and 5 = negative
Achieved environmental benefits

- early assessment creates the basis for finding the environmentally advantageous site
- identification of the site with the highest potential for enabling recycling/re-use of recovered material.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No issues.

Driving force for implementation

The need to create a basis for decisions.

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004], *066I*
4.2.3 Precautions in the production of herbicides

Description

On the *085B* site, the production of herbicides requires the handling of toxic starting materials and products. The precautions taken are described in Table 4.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material supply</th>
<th>Starting materials are delivered in closed containers, allowing safe and low emission unloading.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unloading</td>
<td>Unloading in a concreted secured area with an underground tank to collect the toxic liquid in case of spillage or accident; unloading is carried out with a gas balancing system to minimise diffuse emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling of raw materials, intermediates and products</td>
<td>All handling is carried out in closed systems without any manual operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automation</td>
<td>The whole plant is operated with a process control system which enables smooth and stable operation of the plant as well as constant product quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealing of the plant</td>
<td>The plant is completely sealed and held under slight overpressure of 25 mbar (N₂ blanket) in order to identify even small leakages at once. Overpressure is maintained by special valves and control systems to avoid sucking effects and to inject N₂ when required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make-up of the final product</td>
<td>The solid products are pelletised (no flakes!) to minimise dust generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm-water and water from rinsing/cleaning</td>
<td>Water from cleaning operations is treated in a special activated carbon moving bed adsorber before biological treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All storm-water is collected via ring drainage (all around the production unit) in four covered collection tanks and is analysed for herbicide and AOX. If AOX is below 1 mg/l and herbicide is below 5 μg/l, it can be discharged, otherwise it is treated by active carbon adsorption.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.9: Precautions taken on the referenced herbicide production site

Achieved environmental benefits

Minimisation of environmental issues.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.
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Organic Fine Chemicals

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Higher costs for equipment and maintenance. Improved efficiency through automation.

Driving force for implementation

Minimisation of environmental issues, efficiency through automation.

References to literature and example plants

[68, Anonymous, 2004], *085B*
4.2.4 Improvement of “letter acid” production

Description

Naphthalene sulphonate acids (also called “letter acids”) are widely used as intermediates in dye/pigment manufacture. Sulphonate groups give the molecule the required water solubility for the application in aqueous solution. Different applications are enabled by a variety of substitution patterns which are most commonly synthetically achieved by sulphonations, nitrations, reductions and alkali fusion. The environmental issue is the creation of a considerable amount of waste streams, including a large amount of by-products. Table 4.10 illustrates this for the production of J acid (1-hydroxy-6-aminonaphthalene-3-sulfonic acid) according to the conventional process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting materials (tonnes)</th>
<th>Waste streams and product (tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Inorganic salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Organic by-products, non degradable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Solid residues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>SOX, NOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68 m³ waste water streams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.10: Mass balance for the manufacture of J acid (conventional process)

For this reason the conventional production process, as well as the treatment of the remaining waste streams, have been revised. Table 4.11 shows the example of the revision of the production of H acid. The improved process was realised on the *067D,1* site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
<th>Environmental benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve yield</td>
<td>Modern process control system, less variation of process parameters</td>
<td>-20 % starting materials consumption (naphthalene, H₂SO₄, CaCO₃, HNO₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement of several intermediate steps by a continuous system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid or re-use Fe₃O₄ sludge from reduction</td>
<td>Re-use for iron oxide pigment production</td>
<td>Not realised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catalytic reduction with H₂</td>
<td>-100 % Fe₃O₄ sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimise the volume of waste water streams</td>
<td>Omission of intermediate isolation steps</td>
<td>-70 % waste water streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement of several intermediate steps by a continuous system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction of an intermediate evaporation stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic loadings in waste water streams</td>
<td>Application of high pressure wet oxidation</td>
<td>COD elimination 98 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt content of the waste water streams</td>
<td>Avoidance of a salting out process by water volume minimisation</td>
<td>-100 % NaCl consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omission of intermediate isolation steps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe abatement of VOC, NOₓ (and H₂)</td>
<td>Apply thermal oxidation</td>
<td>Lower emission levels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.11: Revision of the H acid process
Due to the omission of several isolation steps in the revised process, the resulting mother liquor from H acid separation shows the following properties:

- COD: 45 kg/m$^3$
- COD: 1.17 tonnes/tonne H acid
- volume: 26 m$^3$/tonne H acid
- bioeliminability: non-bioeliminable.

The wet oxidation is operated at 120 – 150 bar and 240 – 300 °C.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

See Table 4.11.

**Cross-media effects**

*None believed likely.*

**Operational data**

See description.

- basically a new plant was built
- effects of the added recovery/abatement techniques.

**Applicability**

The example from *067D,I* represents basically the building of a new plant. The starting point was a process that was 100 years old and operational in an old plant with poor infrastructure.

**Economics**

No detailed information was provided, but since basically a new plant including new infrastructure, thermal oxidiser and a wet oxidation facility was built, a major investment can be presumed.

**Driving force for implementation**

Amongst other factors: age and poor infrastructure of the old plant, along with its emission levels.

**References to literature and example plants**

4.2.5 Water-free vacuum generation

Description

Water-free vacuum generation is achieved by using mechanical pumping systems in a closed circuit procedure or by means of dry running pumps.

For example sliding rotary vacuum pumps (with or without lubrication oil) can be used in order to avoid the contamination of water with pumped substances (Figure 4.10). With these, the vapours are drawn under reduced pressure through a pre-condenser to a Roots vacuum pump and are forwarded by two sliding vane rotary pumps arranged in parallel to more condensers to the waste gas treatment system (thermal oxidiser). The pumps require water cooling.

![Diagram of vacuum generation system]

Figure 4.10: Example for vacuum generation without a resulting contamination of water

Achieved environmental benefits

Avoidance of water contamination in vacuum generation.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Widely applicable.
It is a precondition that the gases can be prevented from condensing in the pump, e.g. by means of a high gas outlet temperature. Dry running pumps cannot be used especially if condensable substances (e.g. water vapour) or dust- or coating-forming or polymerising substances are present in the gas stream in relatively large amounts [62, D1 comments, 2004].

The use of dry running pumps is restricted in case the gas stream contains corrosive substances [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Where lubrication oil is used, the pumped vapours can decrease the lubricity of the oil of rotary sliding vane vacuum pumps [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Dry running pumps are usually restricted to temperature classes Ex T3 and cannot be used where an application requires Ex T4 conditions (*010A,B,D,I,X*)

In comparison, water-jet and vapour-jet vacuum pumps, owing to their highly viable operation, lower maintenance requirements and favourable costs, can be used universally [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Re-use of solvents may be restricted in the manufacture of APIs due to concerns about impurities/cross-contamination [62, D1 comments, 2004]. Recovery of any organic solvent may be restricted in the manufacture of explosives due to concerns about safety risks [99, D2 comments, 2005].

**Economics**

A dry vacuum pump investment is much higher than a water ring vacuum pump but on a long term basis, the total cost can be balanced because of the cost to treat the liquid ring water.

*113I,X* provides an example of an installation where three water ring pumps were replaced by two new dry running vacuum pumps. In Table 4.12, the operating costs of the old and the new installation are compared. The investments in the new vacuum generation technique including safety equipment and installion were net EUR 89500 (175000 DEM) (in 1999). The payback time is thus one year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old installation with water ring pumps:</th>
<th>Amount/year</th>
<th>Costs EUR/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy requirement</td>
<td>27 kW × 8000 h</td>
<td>216000 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water requirement (EUR 1.12/m³)</td>
<td>2.8 m³/h × 8000 h</td>
<td>22400 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Created waste water (EUR 3.07/m³)</td>
<td>2.8 m³/h × 8000 h (COD: 1200 mg/l)</td>
<td>22400 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>107120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New installation with dry running vacuum pumps (no effluents):</th>
<th>Amount/year</th>
<th>Costs EUR/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy requirement</td>
<td>35 kW × 8000 h</td>
<td>280000 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>17180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Operating cost savings                                      |             | 89940          |

**Table 4.12: Comparison of operating costs of two vacuum generation techniques**

Currency was converted from DEM to EUR using conversion factor 1 EUR = 1.95583 DEM

**Driving force for implementation**

Reduction of waste water loads, and economics.

**References to literature and example plants**

[9, Christ, 1999], [106, Koppke, 2000], *010A,B,D,I,X*
4.2.6 Liquid ring vacuum pumps using solvents as the ring medium

Description

If a single (and not highly volatile) solvent is pumped, a liquid ring pump using the same solvent as the medium can be applied in combination with a solvent recovery system, as shown in Figure 4.11. Besides the avoidance of potential water contamination, the usage of solvents as the ring medium shows other advantages:

- the vacuum is maintained by cooling, which is restricted in the case of water to values above 0 °C but more flexible if a solvent with lower melting point is chosen
- better vacuum can be achieved by using solvents with a lower vapour pressure than water.

![Figure 4.11: Layout for a liquid ring pump using i-propanol as the ring liquid](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

Avoidance of water contamination in vacuum generation.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Example from *010A,B,D,I,X*:

- use of toluene as the ring liquid
- exhaust gases from condensers are treated by thermal oxidation.
Energy use for cooling and steam generation. In comparison to a plain dry running vacuum pump, additional treatment of the exhaust gas may be required.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

The re-use of recovered solvents may be restricted in the manufacture of APIs [62, D1 comments, 2004] and of explosives [99, D2 comments, 2005].

In the given example, the water from the stripping column is forwarded to the WWTP. In other cases the characterisation of such water may lead to other options.

As a rule of thumb, the stripping process is economically feasible only for more than 1000 kg of solvent per day [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Economics

No comparison of costs was possible for the conventional ring pump compared to the solvent ring pump due to data not being provided, but economic advantages are assumed to be the main driving force.

Where solvents cannot be re-used, the economic benefit will be limited [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of waste water loads, and economics.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999]. *010A,B,D,I,X*
4.2.7 Closed cycle liquid ring vacuum pumps

Description
Liquid ring vacuum pumps can be designed for total recirculation of the sealing liquid. The system normally includes a pump suction condenser with condensate recovery tank and a post condenser for the condensation of residual gas. The construction materials are normally CrNiMo stainless steel and all process side related seals are made of PTFE.

Achieved environmental benefits
- a much reduced amount of sealing liquid (e.g. water) is contaminated
- completely closed system, no contact between cooling and sealing liquid
- handled gases/vapours (e.g. solvents) are recovered.

Cross-media effects
None believed likely.

Operational data
The recirculated sealing liquid has to be considered for disposal after a certain time.

Applicability
Widely applicable.

The re-use of recovered solvents may be restricted in the manufacture of APIs [62, D1 comments, 2004]. Not applicable for the manufacture of explosives due to safety concerns [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics
No information provided.

Driving force for implementation
Reduction of waste water loads, and economics.

References to literature and example plants
*042A,I*, *010A,B,D,I,X*
4.2.8 Pigging systems

Description

Pigging technology is a subdivision of materials transport and cleaning technology. In pigging, the contents of a pipe are pushed by a close fitting plug (pig), with the aim being to push the product almost completely out of the pipe. The pig is most frequently driven by a gaseous propellant (e.g. compressed air). The main components of an industrial pigging unit are:

- pig
- piggable pipe with piggable valves
- pig loading and unloading station
- propellant supply
- control system.

Figure 4.12 shows the typical characteristics of a pig used for industrial purposes. Pigging can be applied in various locations, e.g:

- between vessels in a production plant
- process plant – tank farm
- tank farm – filling facilities.

Figure 4.12: Typical characteristics of a pig in a pipe for industrial applications

Achieved environmental benefits

- no rinsing procedures or substantially reduced amounts of cleaning agents required
- lower load in rinsing waters
- reduced loss of valuable product.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Depends on particular task.
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Applicability

There are a wide range of applications. Especially attractive for long pipelines, multiproduct plants and for batch operation.

Also applicable in sterile plant areas under GMP conditions [41, Hiltsher, 2003]. However, restricted applicability under cGMP conditions is presumed [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Retrofitting may cause higher obstacles due to the requirements for piggable pipes [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Table 4.13 gives some examples for the application of pigging systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B</td>
<td>Dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042A,I</td>
<td>Emptying pipes after batches, viscous and unstable intermediates, non cGMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>070X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036L</td>
<td>Dyes, glycols, others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>071LX</td>
<td>Surfactants, products and intermediates in solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>072X,I</td>
<td>Additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>073F</td>
<td>Flavours, fragrances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>074F</td>
<td>Flavours, fragrances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>075X,I</td>
<td>Solvents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>077X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants, 80 raw materials and products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>078X,I</td>
<td>Amines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.13: Examples for the application of pigging systems

Economics

Table 4.14 shows an example for the comparison of the costs of a conventional and a pigging pipeline system. The given data indicate a return of investment of 3.7 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100 m pipeline, 3 inch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valves, flanges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly operating costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rinsing once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal of lost product and cleaning agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.14: Comparison of costs for a conventional and pigging pipeline system
Driving force for implementation

- possible automation, time saving in comparison to manual emptying
- lower costs.

References to literature and example plants

[41, Hiltscher, 2003], for reference plants see information under Applicability
4.2.9 Indirect cooling

Description

Cooling can be carried out directly or indirectly. Instead of cooling the vapour phases by the injection (spraying in) of water, cooling can be achieved efficiently by surface heat-exchangers, where the cooling medium (e.g. water, brines, oil) is pumped in a separate circle.

Achieved environmental benefits

- volume reduction of waste waters
- avoidance of additional waste water streams.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Depends on the particular case.

Applicability

Widely applicable.

Where processes require the addition of water or ice to enable safe temperature control, temperature jumps or temperature shock, indirect cooling is not possible. An example is the addition of ice in the standard process for the diazotisation of amines (*004D,O*). Direct cooling may be also required to control “run away” situations [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Also not applicable where there are concerns about heat-exchangers being blocked [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Avoidance of additional waste water streams, and legislation.

References to literature and example plants

[49, Anhang 22, 2002], *001A,I*, *014V,I*, *015D,I,O,B*
4.2.10 Pinch methodology

Description

All processes consist of hot and cold streams. A hot stream is defined as one that requires cooling, and a cold stream as one that requires heating. For any process, a single line can be drawn on a temperature-enthalpy plot which represents either all the hot streams or all the cold streams of the process. A single line either representing all the hot streams or all the cold streams is called the hot composite curve or the cold composite curve, respectively. The construction of a composite curve is illustrated in Figure 4.13. Two hot streams are shown on a temperature-enthalpy diagram.

Stream 1 is cooled from 200 to 100 °C. It has a CP (i.e. mass flowrate x specific heat capacity) of 1; therefore, it loses 100 kW of heat. Stream 2 is cooled from 150 to 50 °C. It has a CP of 2; therefore, it loses 200 kW of heat.

The hot composite curve is produced by the simple addition of heat contents over temperature ranges. Between 200 and 150 °C, only one stream exists and it has a CP of 1. Therefore, the heat loss across that temperature range is 50 kW. Between 150 and 100 °C, two hot streams exist, with a total CP of 3. The total heat loss from 150 to 100 °C is 150 kW. Since the total CP from 150 to 100 °C is greater than the CP from 200 to 150 °C, that portion of the hot composite curve becomes flatter in the second temperature range from 150 to 100 °C. Between 100 and 50 °C, only one stream exists, with a CP of 2. Therefore, the total heat loss is 100 kW.

Figure 4.14 shows the hot composite curve.

The cold composite curve is constructed in the same way. In practical applications, the number of streams is generally much greater, but these streams are constructed in exactly the same way.

Figure 4.15 shows the hot and cold composite curves plotted on the same temperature-enthalpy diagram. The diagram represents the total heating and cooling requirements of the process.
Along the enthalpy axis, the curves overlap. The hot composite curve can be used to heat up the cold composite curve by process-to-process heat-exchange. However, at either end an overhang exists such that the top of the cold composite curve needs an external heat source ($Q_{H,\text{min}}$) and the bottom of the hot composite curve needs external cooling ($Q_{C,\text{min}}$). These are known as the hot and cold utility targets.

The point at which the curves come closest to touching is known as the pinch. At the pinch, the curves are separated by the minimum approach temperature $\Delta T_{\text{min}}$. For that value of $\Delta T_{\text{min}}$, the region of overlap shows the maximum possible amount of process-to-process heat-exchange. Furthermore, $Q_{H,\text{min}}$ and $Q_{C,\text{min}}$ are the minimum utility requirements.

Once the pinch and utility targets of a process have been identified, the three "golden rules" of pinch methodology can be applied. The process can be considered as two separate systems (see Figure 4.16), a system above the pinch and a system below the pinch. The system above the pinch requires only residual heat and is, therefore, a heat sink, whereas the system below the pinch has heat to reject and is, therefore, a heat source.

The three rules are as follows:

- heat must not be transferred across the pinch
- there must be no outside cooling above the pinch
- there must be no outside heating below the pinch.

If the amount of heat travelling across the pinch is $\alpha$, then an extra amount ($\alpha$) of hot utility must be supplied and an extra amount of cold utility $\alpha$ is required (see Figure 4.17). Similarly, any outside cooling of the heat sink and any outside heating of the heat source increases the energy requirements.
Thus:

\[ T = A - \alpha \]

where  
\[ T = \text{target energy consumption} \]
\[ A = \text{actual energy consumption} \]
\[ \alpha = \text{cross-pinch heat flow}. \]

To achieve the energy targets, cross-pinch heat flows must be eliminated.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Optimisation of the energy balance on a production site.

**Cross-media effects**

*None believed likely.*

**Operational data**

The key to applying Pinch methodology in non-continuous processes is the data extraction. There are no shortcuts; detailed measurements and timings of all the process streams are essential if cost saving (= energy saving) opportunities are to be found.

**Applicability**

Generally applicable. [6, Ullmann, 2001] reports an example where Pinch methodology was applied to an OFC site operating batch processes with 30 reactors which produces over 300 products.

**Economics**

Cost benefits. For the example from [6, Ullmann, 2001] savings of EUR 502500 (USD 450000) with payback times of 3 months to 3 years are reported.

**Driving force for implementation**

Cost benefits.

**References to literature and example plants**

[6, Ullmann, 2001], [79, Linnhoff, 1987]
4.2.11 Energetically coupled distillation

Description

If distillation is carried out in two steps (two columns), energy flows in both columns can be coupled. In this example (purification of DMF, see Figure 4.18), the steam from the top of the first column is fed to a heat-exchanger at the base of the second column. Steam usage was reduced by about 50%. This reduction led to cost savings. As a disadvantage, the energy coupling between the two columns means that variations in the first column affect the process in the second column, which can only be handled by improved process control.

![Diagram of energetically coupled distillation of DMF](image)

Figure 4.18: Energetically coupled distillation of DMF

Achieved environmental benefits

Steam consumption reduced by about 50%.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Depends on the individual case.

Applicability

Generally applicable.
Economics

Cost benefits.

Driving force for implementation

Cost benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999]
4.2.12 Optimised equipment cleaning (1)

Description

Production plant cleaning procedures can be optimised to reduce the resulting waste water loads. In particular the introduction of an additional cleaning step (pre-rinsing) enables the separation of large portions of solvents from wash-waters. The high loaded pre-rinsing stream can be treated subsequently by means of stripping or incineration.

Achieved environmental benefits

The avoidance of dilution enables individual and effective recovery/disposal (incineration).

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

Depends on the individual case.

Applicability

Generally applicable. Other options for optimised cleaning include [99, D2 comments, 2005]:

- optimised sequence of production
- use of the same cleaning agents/solvents in order to enable recovery
- production of high volume products in dedicated equipment
- re-use of solvent and cleaning liquids.

Economics

- higher efficiencies for recovery or disposal
- lower costs for waste water treatment.

Driving force for implementation

Cost optimisation.

References to literature and example plants

[43, Chimia, 2000]
4.2.13 Optimised equipment cleaning (2)

Description

Often the cleaning of equipment (e.g. reactors) is finished with a final rinse with solvent. After addition of the solvent (see Section 4.2.18 “Liquid addition into vessels”) the vessel is cleaned by stirring and/or heating. Residual solvent is removed by applying vacuum and/or slightly heating after the emptying of the vessel.

Achieved environmental benefits

Prevention of direct VOC releases via openings.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

No information provided.

References to literature and example plants

[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004] *059B.I*
4.2.14 Minimisation of VOC emissions (1)

Description

- containment and enclosure of sources
- elimination of openings
- use of vapour balancing
- reduction of the use of volatile compounds
- use of products with lower volatilities
- reduction of the operating temperature
- use of closed circuits under a nitrogen atmosphere for drying operations, including condensers for solvent recovery
- use of closed equipment for cleaning
- implementation of a monitoring and maintenance programme.

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction of diffuse/fugitive emissions
- reduction at the source is more effective than recovery or abatement.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Negative effects on yields or energy efficiency are possible.

Applicability

Generally applicable, effects on yield or energy efficiency have to be considered.

For the production of pharmaceuticals, reduced use of volatile compounds (as mentioned under Description) depends on process validation and qualification [62, D1 comments, 2004]. Some practices in the US leak detection and repair programme of the TITLE V clean Air Act would be applicable (e.g., welded flanges rather than screwed flanges) [62, D1 comments, 2004]. Results from leak detection analysis can often not be reproduced [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

- investment and maintenance costs, depending heavily on the local situation
- additional purchase costs for fresh solvents and abatement equipment
- beneficial effect on the reliability of the plant.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of diffuse/fugitive emissions, and economics.

References to literature and example plants

[37, ESIG, 2003]
4.2.15 Minimisation of VOC emissions (2)

Description

- use of pumps that are designed to be tight, such as canned motor pumps, magnetic drive pumps, pumps with double action mechanical seals and a sealing or locking medium, pumps fitted with double action mechanical seals and seals dry to atmosphere, diaphragm pumps or bellow pumps
- use of multiple sealing systems, if gases or vapours of VOC are compressed. If wet sealing systems are used, the sealing liquid applied on the compressor may not be de-aerated. If dry sealing systems are used, e.g. when feeding inert gas or exhausting the amount of materials to be conveyed leak out, waste gases let out shall be collected and fed to a gas collecting system
- flanged joints shall only be used where they are necessary for reasons regarding process technology, safety or maintenance. In this event, technically tight-flanged joints shall be used (maximum specific leakage ratio amounting to 10 – 5 kPa*l/(s*m))
- in order to seal spindle guides of blockage and control devices such as valves or gates, metal bellows with a high grade seal and a downstream safety packing gland or sealing systems of similar effect shall be used (maximum specific leakage ratio amounting to 10 – 4 mbar*l/(s*m) at temperatures of <250 °C; at temperatures ≥ 250 °C: 10 – 2 mbar*l/(s*m)). (The specific leakage ratio is detected according to [102, VDI, 2000].)
- application of bottom loading or below surface filling for liquid addition
- waste gases occurring during inspection or while the storage tanks are cleaned shall be fed to a post-combustion system or similar measures shall be applied to reduce emissions
- insofar as storage tanks are set up and operated above ground, the outer wall and the roof shall be covered with suitable paint whose total heat reflection ratio permanently amounts to a minimum of 70 %
- use of technical tight stirring sealing systems like double action mechanical seals and a sealing or locking medium.

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction of diffuse/fugitive emissions
- reduction at the source is more effective than recovery or abatement.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

- investment and maintenance costs, depending heavily on the local situation
- beneficial effect on the reliability of the plant.
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Driving force for implementation

Reduction of diffuse/fugitive emissions.

References to literature and example plants

[99, D2 comments, 2005], [48, TA Luft, 2002]
4.2.16 Airtightness of vessels

Description

The airtightness of vessels is an important prerequisite for the prevention of fugitive emissions and the reduction of exhaust gas volume flows to recovery or abatement facilities.

To ensure the airtightness of a vessel, all openings are checked (and where necessary sealed) until the vessel keeps an applied pressure or vacuum (e.g. about 100 mbar for at least 30 minutes).

The pressure test is carried out regularly.

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction of fugitive emissions
- reduction of exhaust gas volume flows to recovery or abatement techniques
- enables shock inertisation.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable, also for other types of equipment, e.g. pipes. Also applicable in the case of vacuum distillation.

Economics

Low costs for implementation. Positive effect on costs for inertisation and recovery or abatement.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of fugitive emissions, and reduction of exhaust gas volume flows.

References to literature and example plants

[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004] *042A,I*, *059B,I*
4.2.17 Shock inertisation of vessels

Description

Inertisation of vessels is carried out in order to keep oxygen levels safely below certain limits. Oxygen (normally air) enters vessels:

- via the additions of liquids, solids, etc.
- via the openings when vacuum is applied
- where contained in the liquids
- or simply if the vessel is opened for cleaning or maintenance.

Inertisation creates an exhaust gas volume flow and the inert gas (nitrogen) can act as a carrier for organic pollutants.

For shock inertisation, the following two steps are carried out until the required oxygen content is achieved:

1. application of a vacuum
2. flooding with nitrogen.

Shock inertisation is only possible where the airtightness of the equipment can be ensured. Table 4.15 illustrates the different resulting exhaust gas volumes comparing continuous and shock inertisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Shock inertisation</th>
<th>Continuous inertisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessel volume</td>
<td>5 m³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch duration</td>
<td>30 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange rate for continuous inertisation</td>
<td>5 m³/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cycles for shock inertisation 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas volume from inertisation</td>
<td>15 m³</td>
<td>150 m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.15: Illustrative example for exhaust gas volumes from inertisation

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction of exhaust gas volume flows to recovery or abatement techniques
- lower consumption of inert gas.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.
Applicability
Generally applicable, but only if airtightness of equipment can be ensured. Safety requirements may prevent the application of continuous inertisation, e.g. where processes generate O₂.

Economics
Positive effect on costs for inertisation and recovery or abatement.

Driving force for implementation
Reduction of exhaust gas volume flows.

References to literature and example plants
[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004] *059B,1*
4.2.18 Liquid addition into vessels

Description

The addition of a liquid into a vessel leads to the displacement of gases and, therefore, contributes to the exhaust gas volume flow to recovery or abatement techniques. Liquid addition is possible via top feed or via bottom feed or with dip-leg. In the case of an organic liquid the organic load in the displaced gas is about 10 to 100 times higher if added via top feed.

If both solids and an organic liquids are added to the vessel, the solids can be used as a dynamic lid in case of bottom feeding of the liquid, which has also a positive effect on the organic load of the displaced gas.

Achieved environmental benefits

Lower loads of pollutant in displaced gases arising from the addition of liquids.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Widely applicable, but restrictions occur due to safety or quality concerns. Alternatively, but with similar restrictions, gas-balancing can be used [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

Low costs for implementation. Positive effect on costs for recovery or abatement.

Driving force for implementation

Costs for recovery and abatement.

References to literature and example plants

[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004] *059B,1*
4.2.19 Solid-liquid separation in closed systems

Description

A very frequent task on an OFC site is the separation of a solid product or intermediate from a liquid (usually the solvent) by filtration. Diffuse VOC emissions occur when the equipment is opened to discharge the wet filter cake for further processing or drying. This can be avoided by applying, e.g. one of the following options:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutsche type pressure filter</th>
<th>Nutsche type filter dryer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• removal of the wet cake from the filter with a hydraulic system as far as possible</td>
<td>• drying the cake (vacuum and heated equipment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• recycling of the remaining product with the next batch by dissolving it again or simply leaving it in the filter</td>
<td>• removal of the dry product with a hydraulic system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• the equipment remains closed.</td>
<td>• blowing out the remaining product with N₂ and recovery of the product with a cyclone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the equipment remains closed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achieved environmental benefits

Minimisation of diffuse emissions.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable. Pressure Nutsche filters are successfully utilised in the petrochemical, inorganic and organic chemical, fine chemical and especially the pharmaceutical industries including operation under cGMP conditions. Suitable equipment is available for almost any solid-liquid separation problem, for continuous, semi-continuous or discontinuous operation, each available with a wide variety of suitable designs.

Solid-liquid separation is also carried out with centrifuges, keeping the system closed for subsequent operations [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

Costs for retrofitting.

Driving force for implementation

Minimisation of diffuse emissions.

References to literature and example plants

[89, 3V Green Eagle, 2004], [91, Serr, 2004], *088I,X*
4.2.20 Minimisation of exhaust gas volume flows from distillation

Description

Exhaust gas volume flows from distillation can be minimised to practically zero if the layout of the condenser allows sufficient heat removal. Figure 4.19 shows an example for the separation of a mixture of ethanol/diethylether/water. The first column separates the diethylether from water and ethanol, the second column leads to a top product containing about 94 % ethanol and about 6 % water and water as bottom product. Condensation is carried out with water cooled condensers (10 – 12 °C).

Energy consumption is optimised by heat-exchange between bottom output and feed.

Figure 4.19: Example for a closed distillation system

Achieved environmental benefits

- prevention of VOC emissions from distillations
- relief of abatement systems.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

Example from *062E*:

- heating: steam
- feed: 460 kg/hour
- equipment for emergency pressure relief.
Applicability

Generally applicable.

If non-condensable gases are dissolved in the input to the distillation (e.g. inert gases), measures have to be taken to cope with the added volume in the start-up phase of the distillation.

Similarly applicable to the recrystallisation from organic solvents (*064E*).  

Economics

No significant additional costs in comparison to vented systems.

Driving force for implementation

Costs benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004], *062E*
4.2.21 Segregation of waste water streams

Description

Strategies for the management of waste water streams on a multipurpose site are ineffective if it cannot be ensured that segregation of waste water streams to different destinations can be carried out in reality. Within the manufacture of a single product and even more taking into account different production campaigns, the destination of the created waste water stream may change frequently, e.g:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product 1</th>
<th>Mother liquor</th>
<th>Wet oxidation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Wash-water</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Wash-water</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of campaign</td>
<td>Rinsing water</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 2</td>
<td>Mother liquor 1</td>
<td>Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mother liquor 2</td>
<td>Wet oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wash-water</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the "015D.I.O.B* site, the typical origins of waste water streams are the components for liquid-solid separation (filter presses, other filters). All such equipment is connected to the four main destinations for waste water streams: biological WWTP and pretreatment facilities (Figure 4.20).

![Figure 4.20: Segregation of waste water streams from a production building](image-url)
Achieved environmental benefits

Enables operators to realise management of waste water streams.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Similar example: *068B,D,I*

Economics

Cost for pipes, instrumentation, controls, automated valves, etc.

Driving force for implementation

Realisation of a management strategy.

References to literature and example plants

[31, European Commission, 2003], *015D,I,O,B*
### 4.2.22 Countercurrent product washing

**Description**

As a polishing step, organic products are often washed with an aqueous phase in order to remove impurities. High efficiencies in combination with low water consumption (and low waste water generation) can be achieved with countercurrent washing, which may be also combined with other purification steps. Figure 4.21 shows countercurrent product washing in the manufacture of trinitro toluene (TNT). The additional purification step removes non-symmetrical TNTs by adding sodium sulphite and removal of the so-called “red water”.

![Figure 4.21: Countercurrent product washing in the manufacture of TNT](image)

**Achieved environmental benefits**

- lower water consumption
- less waste water is created
- allows recycling or individual treatment of portions of particular contents or concentrations.

**Cross-media effects**

*None believed likely.*

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*

**Applicability**

Widely applicable.

The degree of optimisation of the washing process depends on the production level and regularity. Therefore, countercurrent washing is especially economic in larger scale plants, since it can be tailored specifically to a production process. With small amounts, experimental production runs, short or rare production campaigns, these processes cannot be used [62, D1 comments, 2004].
Economics

Cost benefits.

**Driving force for implementation**

Cost benefits, and water consumption.

**References to literature and example plants**

[91, Serr, 2004], *062E*, *064E*
4.2.23 Example for reaction control: azo coupling

Description

An azo coupling batch may be completed immediately after the components are mixed or after several hours. In order to check whether excess diazonium compound is still present, a drop of reaction solution is spotted onto filter paper together with a component that couples easily (e.g. weakly alkaline H acid solution). If no colouration appears, the coupling is completed. The presence of unconsumed coupling component can be determined by spotting with a diazonium salt solution.

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction of the COD load of the resulting mother liquor
- more effective use of starting materials.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Analogously applicable to a wide range of processes, especially in cases when quantitative conversion is possible (e.g. coupling or addition reactions).

Economics

- higher yields
- lower waste water treatment costs.

Driving force for implementation

Economics.

References to literature and example plants

*004D,O*
4.2.24 Avoidance of mother liquors with high salt contents

Description

Product separation by salting out or bulk neutralisation (e.g. addition of alkali or “liming” or “chalking”) should be avoided, since the work-up of the resulting mother liquors (often containing high organic loadings) is often limited by the salt content. Examples are spent acids from sulphonations or nitrations, which could be recycled in a sulphuric acid plant, if the salt content was not too high.

Alternative techniques include, e.g:

- membrane processes (see Section 4.2.26)
- solvent based processes
- reactive extraction (see Section 4.2.25)
- omission of intermediate isolation (see Section 4.2.4).

An example for the change to a solvent-based process is given in [9, Christ, 1999] and illustrated in Table 4.16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[9, Christ, 1999]</th>
<th>Former process</th>
<th>New process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction in H₂SO₄</td>
<td>Solvent based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation induced by</td>
<td>Salting out</td>
<td>pH adjustment and vacuum cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery of the H₂SO₄ possible?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor to biological WWTP?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD 3000 t/yr</td>
<td>Poorly degradable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.16: Process modification to avoid salting out

Achieved environmental benefits

- enables the work-up of mother liquors and especially recovery of spent H₂SO₄
- reduced organic load in the waste water.

Cross-media effects

VOC emissions and additional energy/chemicals consumption for recovery/abatement in case of change to a solvent-based process.

Operational data

Depends on the alternative separation technique/alternative process.

Applicability

Depends on the options to alter the causing process.
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Economics

Depends on the particular alternatives, e.g:

- high costs if complete processes have to be replaced or new recovery/abatement techniques have to be established because of the change to a solvent-based process
- economic benefits if alternative separation techniques increase the yield and optimise the disposal costs.

Driving force for implementation

- high organic waste water loads
- additional disposal costs.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000], [9, Christ, 1999]
4.2.25 Reactive extraction

Description

Organic acids can be selectively extracted from aqueous solutions after pH adjustment with a suitable organic base dissolved in hydrocarbons. The base is usually a tertiary amine (e.g. ®Hostarex A327). The acid and base form a stable complex compound in the organic phase. After phase separation, the complex is split by the addition of aqueous NaOH and the acid can be obtained as a sodium salt. The base and hydrocarbons are used in a closed cycle.

Achieved environmental benefits

- recovery of valuable raw material or product
- reduction of organic waste water load.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Depends on the separation target.

Applicability

General procedure possible for organic bases.

Other applications [6, Ullmann, 2001]:

- phenols and bisphenols with 5 % trioctyl amine in Shellsol AB
- mercapto benzo thiazol with 20 % trioctyl amine in Shellsol AB
- metal cations with classical complexing/chelating agents.

Economics

- lucrative if the recovered raw material or product is pure enough for further processing
- reduced waste water treatment costs.

Driving force for implementation

- recovery of raw material or product
- reduction of waste water treatment costs
- reduction of waste water charges [62, D1 comments, 2004].

References to literature and example plants

[6, Ullmann, 2001, 9, Christ, 1999, 33, DECHEMA, 1995] and references within
4.2.26 Use of pressure permeation in dye manufacture

Description

In the production of water soluble dyes, separation of the product is usually carried out by salting out, filtering, re-dissolving or re-suspending and re-filtering and drying. In this process, mother liquors with high COD and salt loads are produced. Pressure permeation (see Figure 4.22) can replace these steps and leads to higher yields and reduced waste streams.

Pressure permeation plants use semi-permeable membranes that are permeable to water, inorganic salts and small organic molecules, but quantitatively retain dyes in solution. The synthesis solution containing salt passes from the reaction vessel into a holding tank and subsequently through the membranes under pressure. There, it is separated into a salt-containing and almost dye-free permeate and the dye concentrate. The dye concentrate is recycled to the holding tank.

![Diagram of pressure permeation process]

Figure 4.22: Product separation using pressure permeation

Achieved environmental benefits

Table 4.17 shows the main environmental benefits in comparison to the conventional product work-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Produced dye</th>
<th>Conventional process</th>
<th>With pressure permeation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>waste water streams</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>7.0 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt load</td>
<td>1.5 tonnes</td>
<td>-90 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>50 kg</td>
<td>-80 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.17: Environmental benefits of product separation by pressure permeation
Cross-media effects

No shifts to other environmental media are reported. Due to the replacement of a conventional process with several steps to a one step process, energy consumption is not expected to increase.

Operational data

According to the separation requirements, microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration or reverse osmosis setups are used. The membrane surfaces are in the shape of spirally wound, plate-shaped or tubular modules. The operating pressure and throughput depend on the membrane characteristics and the separation task.

Applicability

Pressure permeation is not restricted to the manufacture of water soluble dyes but, in principle, applicable for a wide range of separation tasks. Important pre-conditions in particular cases are:

- both suitable and reliable membranes need to be available
- satisfactory product quality can be reached.

Other examples:

- separation of a tertiary amine (product) from waste water streams by reverse osmosis. Recovered product and reduced waste water costs lead to very fast paybacks (*007I*)
- separation of fermentation products by ultrafiltration [46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003].

Economics

The economic advantages are:

- reduced waste water costs
- equal or sometimes enhanced product quality
- up to 5 % higher yields
- costs for standardisation auxiliaries can be saved.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of waste water loads, yield optimisation, and cost benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999], [61, Martin, 2002], *060D.I*, *004D.O*, *007I* and references within.
4.2.27 Ground protection

Description

Facilities, where substances (usually liquids) which represent a potential risk of contamination of ground and groundwater are handled, have to be built, operated and maintained in such a way that spill potential is minimised. They have to be sealed, stable and sufficiently resistant against possible mechanical, thermal or chemical stress. Leakages have to be quickly and reliably recognisable. Leaking substances have to be safely retained and treated/disposed of. This is realised by applying an impervious and stable retention area according to the amount of substance that could be spilled before other measures take effect. Alternatively double walled equipment with leak detection can be used.

Achieved environmental benefits

Prevention of ground, surface and groundwater contamination.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Measures for ground protection depend on the hazard arising from a handled substance in the case of contamination of ground, surfacewater or groundwater.

Measures include:

- providing sufficient retention volumes based on the largest tank or drum, or the capacity of multiple tanks if they are interconnected by piping at the bottom
- testing and demonstration of the integrity and tightness of all bunding structures and their resistance to penetration by water or other substances
- loading and unloading of materials only on designated areas protected against leakage run-off
- storage and collection of materials awaiting disposal on designated areas protected against leakage run-off
- designing facilities so that they can be visually inspected
- fitting all pump sumps or other treatment plant chambers from which spillage might occur with high liquid level alarms or, alternatively, regularly supervise pump sumps by personnel
- equipping tanks with overflow controllers
- programmes of testing and inspecting of tanks and pipelines
- inspecting for leaks on flanges and valves on pipes used to transport materials other than water (e.g. visual inspection or testing with water). Maintaining a log of these inspections
- catchment systems to collect leaks from flanges and valves on pipes used to transport materials other than water
- supplying of containment booms and suitable absorbent material.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Formal inspections are unnecessary where pipework is within a bunded area and release will not result in a hazard [62, D1 comments, 2004].

For ground protection in the case of storage facilities, see [64, European Commission, 2005].
Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Prevention of ground, surface and groundwater contamination.

References to literature and example plants

*019A,I*, *020A,I*, *001A,I*, *014V,I*, *015D,I,O,B*
4.2.28 Retention of fire fighting and contaminated surface water

Description

Fire fighting water or contaminated surface water is collected from the surface water system and stored in a pond designed to contain the maximum fire water discharge on site plus a certain quantity of rainwater at the same time. The contained liquid may be pumped to the WWTP at a controlled rate, as required.

Achieved environmental benefits

Prevention of ground, surface and groundwater contamination.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

The retention volume depends on the quantity and properties of the stored/handled materials, and takes into account, for example a 24 hours 50 mm rainfall.

Usually with online monitoring including automatic valve action at TOC = 20 mg/l.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Spatial restrictions on existing sites can represent a serious obstacle [99, D2 comments, 2005].

The waste water pipe system can also be used as retention volume [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Prevention of ground, surface and groundwater contamination.

References to literature and example plants

*017A,I*, *018A,I*, *019A,I*, *020A,I*
4.2.29 Example: training of phosgenation operators

Description

The handling of toxic substances demands sufficient and adequate knowledge from an operator to work safely in normal operation and to react adequately when deviations from normal operations occur. Therefore training of an operator who is working with phosgene includes:

Theoretical background

- information on phosgene (e.g. toxicology, physical and chemical properties)
- information on the phosgenation process
- storage and piping
- working knowledge of the detection and emergency systems
- abatement in scrubbers
- transfer and neutralisation of solvents containing phosgene
- sample taking
- intoxication with phosgene
- emergency plan
- personal protection equipment.

Practical training

- operation and control of the scrubbers
- checking, activation and shutdown of safety devices
- checking, activation and shutdown, filling and emptying of the condensers
- installation and removal of the phosgene cylinders, activation of the heating
- balancing of phosgene consumption
- sample taking
- control of the phosgenation
- degassing and neutralisation
- cooling system
- phosgene testing
- fittings for phosgene handling
- connecting pipes
- intervention and actions in case of deviations.

Achieved environmental benefits

Limitation of risks from the storage and handling of phosgene.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.
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Applicability

Generally applicable for the handling of hazardous substances or other hazardous operations [62, D1 comments, 2004]. Individual substance properties and conditions may require modified training contents.

Economics

Additional costs related to training, i.e. time, materials.

Driving force for implementation

Limitation of risks from the storage and handling of phosgene.

References to literature and example plants

*024A,I*
4.2.30 Example: Handling of phosgene

Description

Due to the high toxicity of phosgene precautions need to be taken to limit the risks arising from storage and handling. These include the measures given in Table 4.18 (next page).

Achieved environmental benefits

Limitation of risks from the storage and handling of phosgene.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable for the handling and storage of toxic substances (e.g. Cl₂, gaseous ammonia, EtO, etc.). Individual substance properties and conditions may require modified measures.

Economics

Higher costs in comparison to a conventional setup/conventional operation.

Driving force for implementation

Limitation of risks from the storage and handling of phosgene.

References to literature and example plants

[116, Phosgene Panel, 2005], *024A.1*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applying separate areas for phosgene storage, the phosgenation process and for abatement</td>
<td>The optimum depends on the size: the bigger the unit, the longer the ways between the sections and the more it may be appropriate to combine and concentrate sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimisation of the stored amount</td>
<td>Absolutely correct; however it may be the case – especially while recovering phosgene from the process – that phosgene storage has to be increased in order to minimise the phosgene inventory of the whole system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying divisions in the storage units (i.e. five gas cylinders for 48 kg phosgene)</td>
<td>Depending on size of the cylinders (the given example does not necessarily describe standard cylinders) and the total amount of phosgene compartments/divisions might have disadvantages (e.g. it is more difficult to search leakages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring each storage unit is weighable for balancing</td>
<td>Applicable if phosgene is supplied by cylinders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying double walled pipes to the reactors equipped with phosgene detection</td>
<td>Despite increased and more difficult maintenance efforts, double walled constructions can be the method of choice to protect critical areas in phosgenation units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using glove box setup for the storage</td>
<td>Another method to avoid phosgene contact in the case of leakage would be to use fresh air mask equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placing the reactors in a separate cabin, and then making access open only with full protective clothing and equipment</td>
<td>Opening equipment containing phosgene has to be avoided. Separate cabins can be part of a general policy of structural enclosures. The layout depends on the amount of phosgene and/or the overall phosgene safety system and strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just using closed equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making valves redundant, including automatic action based on phosgene detection</td>
<td>Other companies have had bad experiences with redundancies which seem to fail in case of emergencies: they tend to carry out more tests and inspections to guarantee proper function. Similar problems have to be considered for automatic action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying a pressure check with nitrogen before starting the process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying redundant and independent detection networks</td>
<td>This is dependent on the size and complexity of the unit: too many redundancies may cause problems (automatic or human) in judging on contradicting information. Some companies do not like redundancies in the meaning of different detection systems, they prefer to stay with the one that is best known and most reliable. Some companies have good experience with detection networks, bigger plants often do not like increased retention times and prefer (critical) spot detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhausting the process, e.g. via condensers (+5, -30 and -60 °C) and two scrubbers</td>
<td>The temperatures to be applied are dependent on the pressure under which the system is operated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhausting the room air via a scrubber</td>
<td>Not applicable for open structure plants. If (depending, e.g. on the use of personal safety equipment) the total safety system allows considerable amounts of phosgene to be emitted into the room air (see, e.g. opening of reactors) then the possibility of exhausting the room air should be considered. In other cases, the need has to be assessed case by case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having an ammonia gas supply for emergency situations</td>
<td>Ammonia is a very effective neutralising agent for phosgene; however, its application requires careful consideration of the situation (e.g. in structural enclosures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying special training for the operators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcing stringent work procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.18: Measures to limit the risks arising from storage and handling of phosgene
4.3 Management and treatment of waste streams

The interface to the BREF on CWW [31, European Commission, 2003]

The BREF on “Common waste gas and waste water treatment/management systems in the chemical sector” describes techniques which are commonly applicable in the whole spectrum of the chemical industry. As a result, only generic conclusions were derived, which de facto could not take into account the specific characteristics of the manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals.

Using the results from the BREF on CWW as a source of information, the BREF on OFC provides a further assessment of such techniques in the OFC context. The main aspect is the effect of the operational mode (batch manufacture, production campaigns, frequent product change) on the selection and the applicability of treatment techniques, as well as the implicit challenges of managing a multipurpose site. Furthermore the performance is assessed and conclusions are drawn based on OFC specific information and data.

Recovery and abatement techniques are not described in detail in the following sections again. Where more information is needed, please refer to the BREF on CWW [31, European Commission, 2003].
4.3.1 Balances and monitoring

4.3.1.1 Process waste stream analysis

Description

On a multipurpose site (see Section 2.2), pollution prevention and control is possible only if the waste streams from each process are first identified and characterised. Process waste stream analysis is based on flow charts, illustrating the operations, inputs and waste streams. A second supporting chart would give the relevant data for each waste stream.

Table 4.19 and Table 4.20 illustrate one possible method (the example has been modified due to confidentiality reasons).

Table 4.19: Process waste stream analysis, flow chart
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Stream ID</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Degradability</th>
<th>Toxicity to nitrification</th>
<th>Dilution factor*</th>
<th>Litres per batch</th>
<th>Batches per year</th>
<th>Loading per batch in kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bromine in water</td>
<td>Sc01a</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>15 kg bromine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>F01a</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>26 kg lost starting material, NaCl, HCl, HBr</td>
<td>35 %</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water</td>
<td>F01b</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>Lost product</td>
<td>40 %</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dilution after mixing with the total effluent of 2000 m³.
Achieved environmental benefits

Process waste stream analysis provides:

- clear identification and characterisation of the individual waste stream
- a basis for decisions on the waste stream’s further destination
- key information for improvement strategies.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Central part of process design or process review, and also part of the emission inventory.

References to literature and example plants

*006A,I*, *017A,I*, *018A,I*
4.3.1.2 Analysis of waste water streams

Description

On an OFC site, a wide variety of different waste water streams are created. Knowledge of a basic set of parameters of such waste water streams is created once (presuming that all batches of the same production step continually create the same waste water stream) and represents the basis for segregation and pretreatment strategies. Table 4.21 shows an example of such a basic data set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water stream</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batches per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batches in 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Load per day</th>
<th>Load per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>20000 mg/l</td>
<td>62.0 kg</td>
<td>2.9 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>4400 mg/l</td>
<td>13.6 kg</td>
<td>641 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>1600 mg/l</td>
<td>5.0 kg</td>
<td>234 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>217 mg/l</td>
<td>673 g</td>
<td>31 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N</td>
<td>300 mg/l</td>
<td>0.8 kg</td>
<td>39 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>27200 mg/l</td>
<td>84.3 kg</td>
<td>4.0 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromide</td>
<td>103000 mg/l</td>
<td>319 kg</td>
<td>15 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>COD/BOD₅ = 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioeliminability</td>
<td>75 % elimination (Zahn-Wellens), see attachment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of nitrification</td>
<td>No influence on nitrification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result</strong></td>
<td>Readily biodegradable and does not inhibit nitrification. AOX pretreatment required.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.21: Example for an analysis of a waste water stream from a multipurpose plant

Achieved environmental benefits

Creating the basic data set to enable segregation and pretreatment.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

*No information provided.*
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Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Costs for testing.

Driving force for implementation

Basic requirement for waste water management.

References to literature and example plants

[84, Meyer, 2004]
4.3.1.3 Refractory organic loading: Zahn-Wellens test

Description

The purpose of this test method is the evaluation of the elimination of organic substances when exposed to relatively high concentrations of micro-organisms (activated sludge) in a static test. The test may be conducted using activated sludge that has previously been exposed to the test substance (especially from the onsite biological WWTP), which frequently results in adaptation leading to a significantly more extensive degradation of the organic substance. DOC (or COD) values are measured and compared to a blank test setup. The observed DOC (or COD) elimination gives a proven measure of the bioeliminability. If the oxygen uptake is also measured, the test can allow also a differentiation between true degradation, adsorption and stripping effects and also gives information on the inhibiting or adaptation effects of the test substance.

Achieved environmental benefits

The Zahn-Wellens test:

- provides information about bioeliminability and biodegradability
- provides highly meaningful information on the behaviour of a substance under biological treatment conditions
- provides more useful information than is possible from just determining the BOD/TOC ratio. Figure 4.23 shows that the BOD/TOC ratio may lead to different, even incorrect conclusions when indicating low biodegradability
- is an important information source for informed decisions on the further treatment of waste water streams.

![DOC removal in % after 13 d (Zahn-Wellens)](image)

Figure 4.23: Comparison of BOD/TOC ratio and Zahn-Wellens tests on mother liquors

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.
Chapter 4

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Test substances should:

- be soluble in water under the test conditions
- have negligible vapour pressure under the test conditions
- be non-inhibitory to bacteria at test concentrations
- be adsorbed within the test system only to a limited extent
- be not lost by foaming from the test solution.

Generally applicable. The Zahn-Wellens test is generally applicable and is a common and proven method to determine the refractory organic carbon (ROC) of waste water streams such as mother liquors or wash-waters.

Other test methods for inherent biodegradability as well as screening test methods (“readily biodegradability”) are listed in Table 2.11.

The results from biodegradability testing have to be interpreted on the basis of test conditions and duration. Where screening methods (or even the BOD/TOC ratio) indicate high biodegradability, advanced testing is not necessary.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Central part of process design or process review, and also part of the emission inventory.

References to literature and example plants

[27, OECD, 2003], [17, Schönberger, 1991], *001A,I*, *014V,I*, *015D,I,O,B*, *023A,I*
### 4.3.1.4 Mass balances for solvents (VOC), highly hazardous substances and heavy metals

**Description**

Mass balances are one basis for understanding the processes on a site and the development of improvement strategies. For a completed mass balance, the inputs must equal the outputs. Table 4.22 shows the elements of a mass balance on a chemical site. Not every output path is relevant in every case (e.g. heavy metals cannot be destroyed).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taking into account</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses to air</td>
<td>Emissions from point sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fugitive emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Output from thermal oxidiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses to water</td>
<td>Loss to final effluent from WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Influent to WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fugitive loss from WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Degraded portion in WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss to waste activated sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss to surface water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount consumed</td>
<td>Loss to product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal destruction on-site</td>
<td>Input to thermal oxidiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Output from thermal oxidiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal destruction off-site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-thermal destruction on-site</td>
<td>Other treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-thermal destruction off-site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle/re-use on-site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle/re-use off-site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land disposal on-site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land disposal off-site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unknown</strong></td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unknown</strong></td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.22: Mass balance for a chemical site
Achieved environmental benefits

Mass balances are essential for understanding on-site processes and the development of improvement strategies.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

A tool for the mass balance of solvents is the solvent management plan according to Annex III of the VOC Directive 1999/13/EC [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

Additional measurements required (hence costs) and, therefore, additional staff are needed.

Driving force for implementation

Conditions on permit, report requirements, and as mass balances can be used as a basis for the development of improvement strategies.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.1.5 TOC balance for waste water streams

Description

The TOC mass balance for waste water streams is the basis for understanding the processes on site and the development of improvement strategies. Figure 4.24 shows an example from *015D.I.O,B* for the year 2000. The mass balance is based on a process waste stream analysis (see also Section 4.3.1.1).

![Diagram of TOC balance for waste water streams]

Figure 4.24: Example for a TOC balance for waste water streams

Achieved environmental benefits

Mass balances are essential for understanding on-site processes and the development of improvement strategies.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.
Economics

Additional measurements required (and hence costs) and, therefore, additional staff are needed.

Driving force for implementation

Conditions on permit, report requirements, and as mass balances can be used as a basis for the development of improvement strategies.

References to literature and example plants

*015D,I,O,B*
4.3.1.6 AOX balance for waste water streams

Description

The AOX mass balance for waste water streams is the basis for tracking halogenated compounds on the production site and the establishment of priorities for further improvement. Figure 4.25 shows an example from *009A,B,D* for the year 2003. The mass balance is based on a process waste stream analysis (see also Section 4.3.1.1).

![AOX balance diagram](image)

Figure 4.25: Example of an AOX balance for waste water streams

Achieved environmental benefits

Mass balances are essential for understanding on-site processes and the development of improvement strategies.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.
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Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Additional measurements required (hence costs) and, therefore, additional staff are needed.

Driving force for implementation

Conditions on permit, report requirements, and as mass balances can be used as a basis for the development of improvement strategies.

References to literature and example plants

*009A,B,D*
4.3.1.7 Monitoring of exhaust gas volume flows from processes

Description

The reduction of exhaust gas volume flows at source leads to a desirable intensified use of recovery and abatement systems. Regular monitoring of exhaust gas volume flows from processes, therefore provides the operator with valuable information, such as:

- situations with peak flows can represent candidates with a high potential for optimisation
- identification of volume flows arising from leaks
- obtained volume flow profiles which enable an optimised planning of production campaigns and batches.

The required flow-meters can be equipped with bypasses in order to enable maintenance or to minimise the rate of wear.

Achieved environmental benefits

- provides important information for optimisation and operation of a plant
- enables intensified use of recovery and abatement systems through optimised planning.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Low costs for implementation. Positive effect on costs for recovery or abatement.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of exhaust gas volume flows. Costs for recovery and abatement.

References to literature and example plants

[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004] *059B,1*
4.3.1.8 Monitoring of waste gas emissions

Description

The batch mode production on an OFC site can cause significant variations of the emission levels. This effect depends on the actual situation, such as:

- less variation if the exhaust gas from many processes/operations is connected to one main recovery/abatement system
- more variation if only single production lines are connected to individual recovery/abatement systems
- more variations if dominant peak volume flows occur and are not buffered in the gas collection system or cut by the connected recovery/abatement systems.

Where variations can be expected, monitoring should reflect this and, therefore, should provide emission profiles rather than single sample points.

Figure 4.26 shows an example from *056X*. In this example, two batch mode production lines share a recovery/abatement system. The diagram is based on 30 minute average values and besides the “line”, the monitoring report also provides maximum, minimum and average values. Additionally, the obtained profile can be compared to the causing operations/processes carried out in the same time frame.

Where substances with ecotoxicological potential are involved, emissions of such substances are additionally monitored individually. An example is given in Table 4.23.

Figure 4.26: Total organic C profile from two production lines sharing one abatement system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>08:00 h – 14:00 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total organic C</td>
<td>37.0 40.4 28.8 18.0 10.8 3.4 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.5 7.0 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene oxide</td>
<td>- - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 &lt;1.1 &lt;1.1 - -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epichlorohydrin</td>
<td>- - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 &lt;1.1 &lt;1.1 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl chloride</td>
<td>- - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 - &lt;1.1 &lt;1.1 &lt;1.1 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>- &lt;0.03 0.14 &lt;0.03 0.07 0.07 0.18 - - - -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>&lt;0.7 1.35 &lt;0.7 &lt;0.7 &lt;0.7 &lt;0.7 - - - -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>- - - - - - 0.64 0.61 0.25 0.14 0.10 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.23: Monitoring profile for individual substances (mg/m³, 30 min values)
Achieved environmental benefits

Enables monitoring data with indepth information.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

For plants with numerous different processes fed to an exhaust abatement, the installation of a continuous monitoring system of the VOC emission, e.g. using a flame ionisation detector (FID) can be necessary for emission controlling. For information regarding “Stationary source emission quality assurance of automatic measuring systems” see EN 14181 [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

Added costs for longer sampling times and analysis/evaluation. Higher cost in the case of continuous monitoring.

Driving force for implementation

Need to reflect the real emission situation.

References to literature and example plants

*056X*
4.3.2 Waste streams from unit processes

4.3.2.1 Waste streams from N-acylation

Description

The main waste streams from N-acylations are:

- exhaust gases containing low molecular compounds such as acetic acids or ethanol and possibly solvents such as xylene
- mother liquors containing high loads of low molecular compounds and, depending on the particular process, lost product and by-products, aluminium, and also AOX loads if acetic chloride is used.

VOCs/solvents can be recovered from the exhaust gas by condensation and can be re-used internally or commercially, where necessary, after purification.

If the process is carried out in aqueous solution, the mother liquors are highly loaded with ethanol or acetic acid. This is also the case, if the reaction mass after the reaction is extracted with an organic solvent. If not contaminated with low degradable product losses or by-products, these waste water streams are expected to be readily biodegradable but may challenge the capacity of an existing WWTP.

If the conditions allow this, and similar yields can be achieved, acetylation with acetic anhydride can also be carried out in a dry process (see Section 4.1.4.2).

![Diagram of recovery/abatement techniques for waste streams from N-acylations](image)

Figure 4.27: Recovery/abatement techniques for waste streams from N-acylations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylation of 2-naphthylamine-8-sulphonic acid in aqueous solution [9, Christ, 1999]</td>
<td>For each 1000 kg product:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 1200 kg ammonium sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 1000 kg COD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 2000 kg sewage sludge after WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquors</td>
<td>biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.24: Example for the treatment of waste streams from N-acetylation
Achieved environmental benefits
Lower emission levels and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

Cross-media effects
Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

Operational data
*No information provided.*

Applicability
Generally applicable.

Economics
*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation
Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants
[9, Christ, 1999]
4.3.2.2 Waste streams from alkylations with alkyl halides

Description

The expected waste streams from alkylation with alkyl halides are:

- exhaust gases, containing VOCs from organic feed and side reactions
- waste water streams, containing high organic loadings from lost organic feed and side reactions.

The formation of lower molecular compounds leads to organic loadings both in gaseous and waste water streams. Table 4.25 gives some examples for waste streams from alkylations with alkyl halides and Figure 4.28 shows the treatment of waste streams from alkylations with alkyl halides.

**Exhaust gases** are treated by thermal oxidation or, in the cases of high water solubility, by absorption in water scrubbers. The organic load from absorption is further treated in a biological WWTP.

The expected high loads in waste water streams are usually readily biodegradable but may challenge the capacity of a WWTP. Alternatively lower molecular compound loadings can be shifted to the waste gas pathway by stripping the waste water stream with steam. As a consequence it may be possible to operate a thermal oxidiser autothermally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Recovery/abatement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylation with methyl chloride [15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water stream</td>
<td>Volume 20 – 30 m³/h COD 20000 mg/l BOD₅ 14000 mg/l</td>
<td>Stripping/biological WWTP and thermal oxidation See also Section 4.3.5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas</td>
<td>2000 m³/h e.g. dimethyl ether, methyl chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Production of theobromine with methyl chloride and a phase transfer catalyst** [9, Christ, 1999]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery/abatement</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor from purification 1</td>
<td>Recycled to process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor from purification 1</td>
<td>60 kg COD per tonne product</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent catalyst and filtration residue</td>
<td>50 kg waste per tonne product</td>
<td>Incineration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Production of an API by alkylation in a non-aqueous solution** [67, UBA, 2004]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery/abatement</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste water stream from two stage extraction with NaOH</td>
<td>COD 390 g/l, 150 t/year BOD 270 g/l Degradability 96 %</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water stream from two stage extraction with H₂SO₄/water</td>
<td>COD 33 g/l BOD 12 g/l Degradability 96 % T₅N 1.2 g/l</td>
<td>Biological WWTP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.25: Examples for treatment of waste streams from alkylation with alkyl halides
Figure 4.28: Recovery/abatement techniques for waste streams from alkylation with alkyl halides

**Achieved environmental benefits**
Lower emission levels, improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

**Cross-media effects**
Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

**Operational data**
*No information provided.*

**Applicability**
Generally applicable.

**Economics**
*No information provided.*

**Driving force for implementation**
Lower emission levels, improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

**References to literature and example plants**
See Table 4.25.
4.3.2.3 Waste streams from condensations

Description

The main waste streams from condensations are:

- exhaust gases containing VOCs from the use of solvents or volatile reactants
- aqueous or organic mother liquors
- waste water streams from extractions and product washing containing organic loadings.

Figure 4.29 shows the treatment techniques for waste streams from condensations and shows some examples for treatment of such waste streams.

Table 4.26: Examples for the treatment of waste streams from condensations
Exhaust gases are treated by thermal oxidation or, in the cases of high water solubility, by absorption in water scrubbers. The organic load from absorption is further treated in a biological WWTP.

Waste water streams are considered for pretreatment, disposal (incineration) or direct treatment in the biological WWTP, mainly depending on load and bioeliminability of the organic content.

Organic residues are considered for distillation and re-use on-site or off-site. Residues from distillations are disposed of (incineration).

Achieved environmental benefits

Lower emission levels, improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

Cross-media effects

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

[6, Ullmann, 2001, Winnacker, 1982 #16]
4.3.2.4 Waste streams from diazotisation and azo coupling

Description

The main waste streams from diazotisation and azo coupling are:

- exhaust gas containing HCl from the diazotisation stage
- mother liquor, often containing high loads of refractory COD and possibly AOX (if halogenated starting materials are used), high salt loads from salting out, and possibly heavy metals from the formation of metal complex dyes
- wash-water containing lower loads of refractory COD and possibly AOX (if halogenated starting materials are used), and possibly heavy metals from the formation of metal complex dyes
- permeate from pressure permeation, containing a low COD load, possibly a low AOX load (if halogenated starting materials are used) and a lower salt load.

Figure 4.30 shows the treatment techniques for waste streams from diazotation and azo coupling. Table 4.27 gives some example data for waste streams from diazotisation and azo coupling. Table 4.28 gives examples from azo dye manufacturing where heavy metals are involved. Table 4.29 shows detailed examples for the treatment of mother liquors.

Where loads and results from Zahn-Wellens testing are available, a refractory COD according to the result of bioeliminability testing and dilution to 2000 m$^3$ effluent was calculated to illustrate the effect on COD concentrations in the discharged waste water.

![Diagram of waste streams]

Figure 4.30: Applied abatement techniques for waste streams from diazotation and azo coupling

Exhaust gases are absorbed in water scrubbers.

Mother liquors and also wash-waters from the manufacture of water soluble azo dyes often contain high COD loads, often with a low bioeliminability. This is especially the case if unreacted starting material remains. Therefore, it is crucial to check if diazo or coupling components are still present in the reaction mixture.
In cases where halogenated starting materials are used, a high AOX load can be expected. Mother liquors and wash-waters and with low bioeliminability are pretreated upstream to the biological treatment of the total effluent. Methods of choice are e.g. chemical oxidation, wet oxidation, low pressure wet oxidation or disposal (incineration). Permeates from pressure permeation usually show lower loads and are treated together with the total effluent.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

**Cross-media effects**

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waste stream</strong></td>
<td><strong>Properties</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture of water soluble azo dyes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor, wash-water</td>
<td>Manufacture of 1 tonne dye</td>
<td>[9, Christ, 1999]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water stream:</td>
<td>7 tonnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt load:</td>
<td>1.5 tonnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD load:</td>
<td>50 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother liquors</th>
<th>TOC</th>
<th>BOD/TOC</th>
<th>DOC* elimination after 13 days (Zahn Wellens test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diazo dye</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo disperse dye</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo disperse dye</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>41 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo disperse dye</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo disperse dye</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>49 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo disperse dye</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>51 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo disperse dye</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>54 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrated monoazo dye</td>
<td>10200</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>72 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrated monoazo dye</td>
<td>8490</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>72 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrated monoazo dye</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>76 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azo dye for wool</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>95 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dissolved organic carbon

**Table 4.27: Example data for waste streams from diazotisation and azo coupling**
### Table 4.28: Examples for waste streams from azo dye manufacture involving heavy metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Stream Description</th>
<th>TOC (mg/l)</th>
<th>COD (mg/l)</th>
<th>BOD/TOC</th>
<th>Degradability (Zahn-Wellens)</th>
<th>NH₄-N (mg/l)</th>
<th>Chromium (mg/l)</th>
<th>Degradability (Zahn-Wellens)</th>
<th>NH₄-N (mg/l)</th>
<th>Chromium (mg/l)</th>
<th>Degradability (Zahn-Wellens)</th>
<th>NH₄-N (mg/l)</th>
<th>Chromium (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation of a 1:2 co-ordinated Cr azo dye mixture with Cr acetate <em>015D,I,O,B</em></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor 15 m³/batch</td>
<td>47000</td>
<td>76000</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>56000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.24 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 12 m³/batch</td>
<td>-40000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.018 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation of a 1:2 co-ordinated Cr azo dye <em>015D,I,O,B</em></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor 20 m³/batch</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability (Zahn-Wellens)</td>
<td>90 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation of a Cr azo dye with Cr acetate <em>015D,I,O,B</em></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor 20 m³/batch</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄-N</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation of an Cr azo dye in one-pot synthesis <em>015D,I,O,B</em></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 1</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 2</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation of a Cr azo dye in one-pot synthesis <em>015D,I,O,B</em></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 1</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 2</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated concentration after dilution to a total effluent of 2000 m³ without pretreatment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Mother liquor</th>
<th>COD after degradation and dilution to 2000 m³ (* see note)</th>
<th>Wash-water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example 1</td>
<td>Volume per batch 10 m³</td>
<td>COD 70 g/l</td>
<td>BOD/TOC 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 35 mg/l</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 2</td>
<td>Volume per batch 18 m³</td>
<td>COD 20 g/l</td>
<td>Degradability 65 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 30 g/l</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 3</td>
<td>Volume per batch 9.5 m³</td>
<td>COD 50 g/l</td>
<td>Degradability 35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 163 mg/l</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 4</td>
<td>Volume per batch 10 m³</td>
<td>COD 32 g/l</td>
<td>Degradability 30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 106 mg/l</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 5</td>
<td>Volume per batch 16 m³</td>
<td>COD 16 g/l</td>
<td>Degradability 95 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 6 mg/l</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 6</td>
<td>Volume per batch 19 m³</td>
<td>COD 370 kg</td>
<td>BOD₅ 60 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 92.5 mg/l</td>
<td>Pretreatment by high pressure wet oxidation</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD 110 kg</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOD₅ 20 kg</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Degradability 45 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refractory COD according to the result of bioliminability testing and dilution to 2000 m³.

**Table 4.29: Examples for mother liquors and wash-waters from diazotisation/azo coupling**

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*
Chapter 4

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Activated carbon adsorption is another alternative for pretreatment prior to biological waste water treatment [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.2.5 Waste streams from halogenation

Description

The main waste streams from halogenation are:

- exhaust gas containing halogens, the related hydrohalogenic acid and VOC/HHC. The loads depend on reactants and reaction conditions
- aqueous mother liquor containing high loads of COD/AOX arising from the by-products and lost product
- wash-waters containing lower loads of COD/AOX due to byproducts and lost product
- organic mother liquor containing solvent, by-products and lost product
- distillation residues and unwanted by-products containing a mixture of halogenated compounds.

Figure 4.31 shows the treatment of the waste streams from halogenation.

Figure 4.31: Recovery/abatement techniques for waste streams from halogenations

Table 4.30 gives some examples for waste streams from halogenations. The exhaust gases contain HX, X₂, N₂ and VOC/HHC. A high percentage of the halogen (80% in the case of chlorine) can be removed in a scrubbing tower (e.g., a bubble column) containing an easily halogenatable compound, preferably a raw material used in the halogenation process, and a catalyst (see also Section 4.3.5.5). The waste gas is then burned at temperatures of about 1100 °C and dwell times of 1 – 2 seconds in order to remove HHCs. If the waste gas does not contain HHCs, oxidisers are operated at lower temperatures in order to reduce the formation of NOX (about 800 °C with a dwell time of 0.75 second). The hydrogen halide is then absorbed in water in a scrubber. After stripping, an AOX free hydrohalogenic acid of marketable quality can be obtained (see also Section 4.3.5.2). Afterwards, the gas stream is treated in another scrubber to remove the remaining halogen. Alternatively, organic constituents of the reaction gas are washed out with a high boiling solvent in another scrubbing tower. If the exhaust gas does not contain the required portions of organic compounds, the treatment is restricted to the scrubbing stages.
### Waste stream Properties Recover/abatement

**Side chain chlorination [15, Köppke, 2000]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Recover/abatement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl, Cl₂, Chlorotoluene (and N₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowrate: 500 m³/h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl 720 kg/h</td>
<td>Thermal oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂ 31 kg/h</td>
<td>HCl recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorotoluene 14 kg/h</td>
<td>Cl₂ destruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂ 45 kg/h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.9 tonnes, containing p-chlorobenzalchloride and p-chlorobenzotrichloride, polymers calorific value: 25000 kJ/kg</td>
<td>Incineration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distillation residue and unwanted by-products**

(From production of 100 t p-chlorobenzylchloride, 454.7 t p-chlorobenzaldehyde and 141 t p-chlorobenzoyl chloride)

**Bromination of a carbonic acid with co-production of CH₃Br**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Recovery of HBr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBr, Br₂, N₂, CH₃OH, CH₃Br</td>
<td>Scrubbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowrate (max.) 250 m³/h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBr 11350 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br₂ 750 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂ 1000 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH 350 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃Br 100 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Manufacture of bromamine acid [16, Winnacker and Kuechler, 1982]**

| Properties | |
|------------||
| Mother liquor | |
| Per batch, starting with 180 kg | |
| 1-aminoanthraquinone-2-sulphonic acid | |
| Loss of 26 kg starting material | |

** Manufacture of an intermediate by chlorination (and partial oxidation) [67, UBA, 2004]**

| Properties | |
|------------||
| Mother liquor from crystallisation/filtration | Disposal/incineration |
| Volume 400 m³/yr | |
| TOC 105 g/l (40 kg/d, 41 t/yr) | Biological WWTP |
| AOX 16 g/l (6 kg/d) | |
| Degradability 94 % | |

**Manufacture of 4-chloro-3-methylphenol by chlorination [26, GDCh, 2003]**

| Properties | |
|------------||
| Exhaust gases | Thermal oxidation/scrubbers |

**Manufacture of Trichloroacetatic acid/Na trichloroacetate [26, GDCh, 2003]**

| Properties | |
|------------||
| Exhaust gases | Thermal oxidation/scrubbers |

**Manufacture of p-dichlorobenzene [26, GDCh, 2003]**

| Properties | |
|------------||
| Exhaust gases | Thermal oxidation/scrubbers |

**Manufacture of benzyl chloride by side chain chlorination [26, GDCh, 2003]**

| Properties | |
|------------||
| Exhaust gases | Thermal oxidation/scrubbers |
| Waste water from scrubbing | Biological WWTP |

Table 4.30: Examples for treatment of waste streams from halogenation

---
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**Distillation residues, unwanted by-products.** Aromatics containing more than 1% organically bound chlorine are burned in furnaces that provide sufficient reaction temperatures and dwell times in order to reduce emissions of polychlorodibenzodioxins/-furans. In an excess of oxygen, the halogenated compounds are converted into hydrogen halide, carbon dioxide and water. The hydrogen halide is removed from the flue-gas by water scrubbers. For more information see the BREF on Waste Incineration [103, European Commission, 2005].

**Aqueous mother liquors** contain high loads of COD/AOX with refractory or toxic properties and special pretreatment is carried out upstream to the treatment of the total effluent. Alternatively, such waste water streams are considered for disposal (incineration).

**Wash-waters** also have to be considered for pretreatment, depending on refractory loads or toxic properties, or are treated in the biological WWTP.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Lower emission levels, improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

**Cross-media effects**

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*

**Applicability**

Generally applicable.

**Economics, Driving force for implementation**

*No information provided.*

**References to literature and example plants**

See Table 4.30.
4.3.2.6 Waste streams from nitrations

Description

The main waste streams from nitration processes are:

- exhaust gas, containing SO\textsubscript{X} and NO\textsubscript{X} from oxidative side reactions, and VOCs. The loads depend on the temperature and the strength of the mixed acid
- mother liquor from the phase separation or filtration, containing high loads of organic by-products (and lost product) and diluted mixed acid
- wash-water from product washing containing possible unwanted isomers and organic by-products, especially phenolic compounds in the case of aromatic nitration
- exhaust gas from recrystallisation of the product with organic solvent, containing VOCs
- distillation residues and possibly unwanted isomers
- second filtrate from the recrystallisation, an aqueous or organic phase containing lower concentrations of organic by-products, and unwanted isomers.

Table 4.31 gives some example data for the waste streams from nitration and Figure 4.32 shows the applied abatement techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream from</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mononitration of toluene <em>087I</em></td>
<td>Spent acid</td>
<td>Regeneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alkali wash-water, pH 10 up to 2 m\textsuperscript{3}/h, COD 20000 mg/l</td>
<td>Wet oxidation with air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nitro toluene isomers 4750 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nitro cresoles 11200 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>non degradable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T\textsubscript{X}: 5400 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture of TNT <em>062E</em></td>
<td>Spent acid</td>
<td>Regeneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Red water” from selliting (see also Section 4.2.22) unsymmetric nitrotoluenes (6 – 7 % of the TNTs) non degradable</td>
<td>Incineration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture of nitroglycol <em>45E</em></td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>Scrubbing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{X}, VOC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture of an intermediate for an API (346 kg product) <em>025A.1</em></td>
<td>Mother liquor: 2810 litre 1. wash-water: 4500 litre 2. wash-water/methanol: 2300 litre</td>
<td>Incineration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture nitrocellulose <em>044E</em></td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X} 10.2 g/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>Recovered by scrubbing, recycling of nitric acid or market to fertiliser industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture of H acid (sequence of sulphonation, nitrations, alkaline fusion) [9, Christ, 1999]</td>
<td>Exhaust gases</td>
<td>Thermal oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>High pressure wet oxidation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.31: Example data for the waste streams from nitration
The mother liquors are diluted sulphuric acids and can be regenerated (for example, spend acid from the acid washing after nitration of nitrocellulose contains 40 % H₂SO₄, 25 % nitric acid and 35 % H₂O). Whereas most nitroaromatics have low solubilities, derivatives with one or more sulphonylic acid groups are moderately soluble in water, and nitrophenols can be washed out with diluted NaOH. Because of the possible toxicity and/or low biodegradability of nitroaromatics or nitrophenols, a special pretreatment is necessary for the wash-water, such as adsorption on activated carbon or ion-exchanger resins. Alternatively, oxidative pretreatment is applied. Wash-waters and filtrates from the recrystallisation can sometimes be re-used in the process instead of fresh water.

Figure 4.32: Applied abatement techniques for the waste streams from nitration

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Lower emission levels, improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

**Cross-media effects**

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*
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Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.2.7 Waste streams from the reduction of aromatic nitro compounds

Description

The main waste streams from nitration processes are:

- exhaust gas from the reduction stage containing VOCs and possibly traces of sulphur compounds
- solid residues from iron reduction containing iron oxides and organic compounds
- catalysts
- aqueous mother liquors obtained after steam distillation, extraction, phase separation or salting out and filtering containing high COD and/or AOX loads, depending on the solubility and the degree of halogenation of the input materials, possibly sulphur compounds and possibly residual catalysts (e.g. Nickel)
- organic mother liquors.

Table 4.32 gives some examples for properties and treatment of waste streams from the reduction of aromatic nitro compounds, and Figure 4.33 shows the treatment techniques.

Figure 4.33: Treatment of waste streams from the reduction of nitroaromatics

The exhaust gases are treated by incineration in combination with scrubbing of the flue-gas or by scrubbing only, depending on the VOC load.

Iron reduction leads to large amounts of residual iron oxides contaminated with organic compounds which have to be disposed of. Despite the additional costs of disposal, iron reduction is still in use for low volume products (<100 tonnes per year), because of the high investment costs for the catalytic process equipment.
Waste stream | Properties | Treatment
--- | --- | ---
Catalytic reduction of p-nitro-toluene with H₂ [16, Winnacker and Kuechler, 1982] (Manufacture of 1000 kg toluidine) | Solid residues 2 kg | 
Waste water stream 0.4 m³ saturated with base | 
Catalytic reduction of an intermediate *018A,1* | Mother liquor 1.84 kg nickel/batch, after filtration | Precipitation/filtration, biological WWTP
Reduction of p-nitro-toluene with iron [16, Winnacker and Kuechler, 1982] (Manufacture of 1000 kg toluidine) | Solid residues 2200 kg (2170 kg iron oxide, 30 kg organics) | 
Waste water stream 20 m³ saturated with base | 
Manufacture of 4-aminodiphenylamine by catalytic reduction [26, GDCh, 2003] | Waste water stream | Biological WWTP
Exhaust gas | Thermal oxidation
Manufacture of 2,4-dichloroaniline by catalytic reduction [26, GDCh, 2003] | Waste water stream | Activated carbon absorption, stripping, biological WWTP
Exhaust gas | Thermal oxidation/scrubber
Manufacture of 2,5-dichloroaniline by catalytic reduction [26, GDCh, 2003] | Waste water stream | Activated carbon absorption, stripping, biological WWTP
Exhaust gas | Thermal oxidation/scrubber
Manufacture of 3,4-dichloroaniline by catalytic reduction [26, GDCh, 2003] | Waste water stream | Activated carbon absorption, stripping, biological WWTP
Exhaust gas | Thermal oxidation/scrubber
Manufacture of 2,4,5-trichloroaniline by catalytic reduction [26, GDCh, 2003] | Waste water stream | Activated carbon absorption, stripping, biological WWTP
Exhaust gas | Scrubber (closed system)

Table 4.32: Treatment of waste streams from reduction of nitroaromatics

The catalyst is recovered and re-used.

Depending on the solubility of the aromatic amine produced, the aqueous mother liquors contain high COD and – if the starting compound is halogenated – also high AOX loads. High solubility is expected especially in the presence of additional hydrophilic substituents (e.g. such as -Cl, -NO₂, -SO₃H). The biodegradability also depends on the particular starting material/product. In the cases of low biodegradability or toxic properties, a special pretreatment is carried out upstream of the biological treatment of the total effluent. Mother liquors from the alkali sulphide reduction require a special pretreatment in order to remove sulphur compounds, usually involving precipitation as metal sulphides.
Achieved environmental benefits

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

Cross-media effects

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.2.8 Waste streams from sulphonation

Description

The main waste streams from sulphonation processes are:

- exhaust gas, containing SO\textsubscript{2} from oxidation reactions, SO\textsubscript{3} from oleum use, HCl from SOCl\textsubscript{2} use, and VOCs. The loads depend on the reactants (aromatic, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, oleum) and the temperature
- contaminated gypsum and CaCO\textsubscript{3} from liming/chalking or Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} from neutralisation
- mother liquor from the primary product isolation step containing high loads of organic by-products (and lost product), unconverted sulphuric acid, and possibly salt (from salting out or neutralisation)
- wash-water from product washing containing lower concentrations of organic by-product, sulphuric acid and salts
- second filtrate from recrystallisation containing lower concentrations of organic by-product, sulphuric acid and salts
- filter auxiliaries: charcoal, diatomaceous earth, kieselguhr or similar containing organic impurities.

Table 4.33 gives some example data for waste streams from sulphonation and Table 4.34 shows examples for the treatment of waste water streams. Where the loads and the results from Zahn-Wellens testing were available, a refractory COD according to the result of bioeliminability testing and dilution to 2000 m\textsuperscript{3} effluent was calculated to illustrate the effect on COD concentrations in the discharged waste water.

Figure 4.34 shows the treatment techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{3}, HCl, VOC</td>
<td>The loads depend on the reactants (aromatics, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, oleum, SOCl\textsubscript{2}) and temperature When oleum is used: SO\textsubscript{3} up to 35 g/m\textsuperscript{3} + SO\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum, Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, CaCO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>Contaminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>COD &gt;20000 mg/l BOD\textsubscript{5} 2500 – 4000 mg/l Bioeliminability 30 – 60 % AOX up to 200 mg/l The AOX load depends on the raw materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water</td>
<td>COD &lt;1000 mg/l BOD\textsubscript{5} 40 – 100 mg/l Bioeliminability 30 – 60 % AOX up to 20 mg/l The AOX load depends on the raw materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second filtrate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter auxiliaries</td>
<td>Charcoal, diatomaceous earth, kieselguhr or similar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.33: Example data for waste streams from sulphonation

The environmental key issue is related to the mother liquor from the primary product isolation step. The mother liquor is a diluted sulphuric acid and contains a high COD load (arylsulphonic acids) and a possibly high AOX load (from halogenated raw materials or SOCl\textsubscript{2} addition).
Example 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother liquor</th>
<th>Process was modified to continuous mode, acid recovery in an external plant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per batch</td>
<td>23 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD per batch</td>
<td>550 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX per batch</td>
<td>5 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅/TOC</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother liquor 1</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>COD after degradation and dilution to 2000 m³ (* see note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per batch</td>
<td>60 m³</td>
<td>Pretreatment by high pressure wet oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>12 g/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability (Zahn-Wellens)</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother liquor 2</th>
<th>COD after degradation and dilution to 2000 m³ (* see note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per batch</td>
<td>6 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>45 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>0.4 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability</td>
<td>5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>14 g/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste acid (mother liquor 1)</th>
<th>Recovery in external sulphuric acid plant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass in tonnes</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>75 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>560 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother liquor 2</th>
<th>Biological treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per batch</td>
<td>50 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>2.7 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>0.28 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability</td>
<td>70 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>26 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>70 g/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother liquor</th>
<th>After process optimisation by extraction and re-use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per day</td>
<td>6 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>3.6 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability</td>
<td>11 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 kg/t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cleaning water</th>
<th>Waste water from equipment cleaning, two steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per tonne</td>
<td>4 + 9 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC per tonne</td>
<td>3 + 6 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refractory COD according to the result of bioeliminability testing and dilution to 2000 m³

Table 4.34: Examples for waste water streams obtained from sulphonations
Majority of the arylsulphonic acids are poorly biodegradable and therefore need special pretreatment. The further destiny of the mother liquor depends on the method used for product isolation:

- if the arylsulphonic acid can be precipitated from sulphuric acid solution without neutralisation or salt being added, the spent acid can be recovered in a sulphuric acid plant
- if the mother liquor contains salts (from salting out or neutralisation), advanced wet oxidation processes (e.g. low pressure or high pressure wet oxidation) are possible methods of treatment, which subsequently enable an effective degradation in biological waste water treatment works
- example 3 in Table 4.34 shows a case where waste water stream contains relatively low TOC load, which prevents efficient wet oxidation or incineration and, hence, is only treated in the biological waste water treatment plant (bioeliminability 70%).

Wash-waters and filtrates from recrystallisation can be re-used for the primary crystallisation step instead of fresh water.

Figure 4.34: Applied abatement techniques for the waste streams from sulphonation

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

**Cross-media effects**

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.
Operational data

No information provided

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.2.9 Waste streams from sulphonation with SO$_3$

**Description**

The main waste streams from sulphonation with SO$_3$ are:

- exhaust gases containing SO$_2$, SO$_3$ and VOCs depending on the individual case
- mother liquor containing H$_2$SO$_4$ and high COD loads (lost product, by-products)
- wash-water containing lower COD loads (lost product, by-products).

Figure 4.35 shows the applied abatement techniques.

**Exhaust gas.** If halogenated solvents are used, the VOC load of the exhaust gas is passed through a condenser for recovery and subsequently destroyed by thermal oxidation (temperatures of about 1100 °C and dwell times of 1 – 2 s) followed by treatment of the flue-gas.

If the VOCs are highly water soluble, thermal oxidation is replaced by absorption in a water scrubber.

SO$_X$ is washed out in a reactive absorber with NaOH/H$_2$O$_2$ and converted to Na$_2$SO$_4$, which may lead to a high SO$_4^{2-}$ load in the total effluent.

**Mother liquor.** Sulphonation with SO$_3$ has the advantage that the salt content of the mother liquor is low enough to allow its processing in a sulphuric acid regeneration facility.

**Wash-water** is treated in the biological WWTP.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.
Cross-media effects

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000]
4.3.2.10 Waste streams from sulphochlorination

Description

The main waste streams from sulphochlorination are:

- exhaust gas containing mainly HCl, but also Cl₂, SO₂ from the use of thionyl chloride or CH₂Cl₂ from the use as a diluent, and possibly other VOCs, depending on the organic feed
- mother liquors from product filtration or phase separation, containing high COD loads (lost product, by-products) and AOX loads due to unwanted chlorination
- wash-water containing lower loads of COD/AOX due to lost product and the by-products
- distillation residue from the work-up of liquid products.

Table 4.35 gives some example data for the waste streams from sulphochlorination, Figure 4.36 shows the applied abatement techniques.

**Figure 4.36: Treatment of waste streams from sulphochlorination**

**Exhaust gases.** HCl is created in large quantities and recovered, but may be potentially loaded with organic compounds and/or Cl₂ (for more information see Section 4.3.5.2). The contaminants require further work-up steps (e.g. stripping of the chlorine) and use may be restricted in order to prevent the cross-contamination of other processes. The gas stream is finally treated in a reactive scrubber.

The **mother liquors** usually have low bioeliminability in combination with high COD/AOX loadings and are treated by wet oxidation, low pressure wet oxidation or incineration.

**Wash-waters** with low refractory loadings are treated in a biological treatment plant.
Distillation residues contain various by-products (possibly with higher halogenation degrees) and are incinerated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>COD 5000 – 10000 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>500 – 2000 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability</td>
<td>30 – 60 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>up to 40 mg/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.35: Example for a waste stream from sulphochlorination

Achieved environmental benefits

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

Cross-media effects

Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000]
4.3.2.11 Waste water streams from fermentation

Description
Fermentation processes create various high loaded waste water streams (see also Section 2.6). Table 4.36 shows the example from plant *009A,B,D*. All waste water streams show a high degradability of the organic load and are finally treated in a biological WWTP. The critical parameter is the N load, which represents a major challenge for a central biological WWTP. Hence, strategies, such as decentralised anaerobic treatment and removal of compounds containing N, are applied. In the case of *009A,B,D* an additional nitrification stage prior to centralised biological treatment is under construction.

Achieved environmental benefits
Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

Cross-media effects
Effects of the recovery/abatement techniques.

Operational data
See also Table 4.36.

Applicability
Generally applicable.

Economics
No information provided.

Driving force for implementation
Lower emission levels, and improved efficiency in the case of recovery.

References to literature and example plants
[91, Serr, 2004, 99, D2 comments, 2005], *009A,B,D*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water stream</th>
<th>Downstream treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Distillation residue from propanol separation</td>
<td>• anaerobic pretreatment and subsequent aeration prior to central biological waste water treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume: 362 m$^3$/day, COD: 11 g/l, Bioeliminability: 4 t/day, BOD$_5$: 96 g/l, NH$_4$-N: 6 t/day, NaOH: 2.3 t/day, Urea: 0.21 t/day, PO$_4$: 0.0 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Solutions from regeneration of the chromatography</td>
<td>Volume: 15 m$^3$/day, COD: 3.3 g/l, Bioeliminability: 98 t/day, BOD$_5$: 99 g/l, NH$_4$-N: 1.4 t/day, NaOH: 0.55 t/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Volume: 95 m$^3$/day, COD: 23 g/l, Bioeliminability: 98 t/day, BOD$_5$: 2.2 g/l, NH$_4$-N: 19 t/day, NaOH: 1.8 t/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d Central scrubbing of exhaust gases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e Fermentation broth after removal of the cell mass</td>
<td>• decentralised nitrification in construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• deactivation of GMOs with cresol (biocide), the resulting cresol concentrations do not influence the biological treatment stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume: 26 m$^3$/day, COD: 58 g/l, Bioeliminability: 1.7 t/day, BOD$_5$: 99 g/l, NH$_4$-N: 29 t/day, NaOH: 0.8 t/day, Urea: 0.17 t/day, PO$_4$: 0.10 t/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f Cell destruction and centrifugation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h Permeate after chromatography</td>
<td>Separation of urea with an evaporator, biological waste water treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume: 145 m$^3$/day, COD: 16 g/l, Bioeliminability: 2.3 t/day, BOD$_5$: 95 g/l, NH$_4$-N: 4.4 t/day, NaOH: 0.0 t/day, Urea: 0.0 t/day, PO$_4$: 0.0 t/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.36: Examples for the waste water streams from a fermentation unit.
4.3.3 Recovery of aromatic solvents and lower alcohols

Description

Aromatic solvents such as toluene and lower alcohols such as methanol represent by far the majority of the bulk solvents used in the OFC sectors and the value of toluene especially justifies the efforts for recovery. Most frequently the following tasks occur:

- the product is present in toluene solution. Toluene is recovered and purified in a packed column followed by the separation of a toluene/water mixture (see Figure 4.37)
- after product separation, a mixture of toluene and methanol is obtained. Toluene and methanol are recovered by discontinuous distillation as an azeotrope which is separated by the addition of water into a toluene and a methanol/H₂O phase. The latter is distilled continuously to give methanol (see Figure 4.38)
- toluene is recovered from exhaust gases (see Figure 4.39)
- toluene/methanol mixtures are recovered from exhaust gases (see Figure 4.40).

Achieved environmental benefits

- recovery of valuable material
- lower emissions
- emission levels for toluene <100 mg/m³.

Cross-media effects

- energy consumption for distillation, steam creation and cooling
- cooling water consumption, and the activated carbon needs recycling.

Operational data

5 and 25 °C cold traps: toluene input of about 500 g/m³
_toluene output of about 51 g/m³.

Applicability

Generally applicable in the case of recovery from exhaust gases.

Recovery is not viable on sites, where already a high quantity of waste solvents is available [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Purification of spent solvents only makes sense if a re-use or a market option is available.

Purity requirements may restrict the re-use or recycling options for solvents/by-products, e.g. in the manufacture of APIs.
Economics

The economic viability of the recovery of a solvent depends on:

- the comparison of operating costs and market price if you already have the recovery facilities
- the payback time for the investment if you have to establish the recovery facilities first.

Driving force for implementation

Cost benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999]
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Figure 4.37: Toluene recovery

Figure 4.38: Recovery and separation of a toluene/methanol mixture
Figure 4.39: Toluene recovery from exhaust gases

Figure 4.40: Recovery of a toluene/methanol mixture from exhaust gases
4.3.4 Re-use and recycling of solvents and by-products

Description

Whenever possible, re-use and recycling of by-products reduces waste treatment loads and the associated emissions. A common example is to re-use the solvents distilled from a previous batch into the next batch.

Achieved environmental benefits

Reduction of waste treatment load and associated emissions.

Cross-media effects

Energy consumption for distillation.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Purity requirements may restrict the re-use or recycling options for solvents/by-products in the manufacture of APIs.

Economics

Cost benefits through lower disposal charges.

Driving force for implementation

Cost optimisation and environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004]
4.3.5 Treatment of exhaust gases

4.3.5.1 Recovery of NO\textsubscript{X} from exhaust gases

Description

On sites for the manufacture of explosives, nitration is the major unit process. The recovery of spent acids is very important for the control of the costs.

To concentrate nitric acid, water is removed by countercurrent extraction with 92 – 95 % H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. At the top, 98 – 99 % HNO\textsubscript{3} is produced. At the bottom, 63 – 68 % H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} is obtained, which can be concentrated to 93 % by stripping with combustion gases, or to 96 – 98 % by vacuum distillation. Nitric acid can also be concentrated by distillation over magnesium nitrate. Sulphuric acid is freed of nitric acid and nitro compounds by heating or steam injection [6, Ullmann, 2001].

NO\textsubscript{X} is recovered from exhaust gases from the reaction, feed tanks, centrifugation and buffers by scrubbing (see Figure 4.41). The first three absorption towers are operated with water, the last with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is used to oxidise NO:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow 2 \text{HNO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
2 \text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow 2 \text{HNO}_3
\end{align*}
\]

This improves the absorption efficiency drastically and the emitted NO\textsubscript{X} consists of >98 % NO\textsubscript{2}.

Figure 4.41: Recovery of NO\textsubscript{X} from exhaust gases with a scrubber cascade

Achieved environmental benefits

- efficient recovery of NO\textsubscript{X} from exhaust gases
- lower emission levels.
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Cross-media effects

Energy and H$_2$O$_2$ consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emitted NO$_X$</th>
<th>kg/hour</th>
<th>mg/m$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.87 – 1.69</td>
<td>113 – 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.37: NO$_X$ emissions from the recovery of NO$_X$ from exhaust gases

Operational data

- volume flow to scrubber cascade: 7700 m$^3$/hour
- scrubbing medium: H$_2$O$_2$ 15 %.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Cost benefits.

Driving force for implementation

See Achieved environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

*098E*, *099E*
4.3.5.2 Recovery of HCl from exhaust gases

Description

HCl is recovered from the flue-gas created by the thermal oxidation of exhaust gases from chlorination processes. The flue-gas still contains chlorine which is separated from the obtained aqueous HCl and treated in a second (final) absorption stage (see Figure 4.42).

Achieved environmental benefits

- 99.95 % recovery of HCl from the exhaust gas stream
- saving of NaOH for neutralisation
- through the thermal oxidation step, the recovered HCl is pure enough for sale
- the benefits from HCl recovery favour the installation of the thermal oxidiser with high VOC destruction performance
- the achieved emission values are given in Table 4.38.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>[15, Köppke, 2000]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>org. C</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;3.1 – 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;2.4 – 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOₓ</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>1.2 – 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxins</td>
<td>ng/m³</td>
<td>0.083 – 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.38: Achieved waste gas emission levels after recovery of HCl
### Table 4.39: Achieved waste water output levels from the final absorption stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>[15, Köppke, 2000]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>m³/d</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>4226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filterable substances</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>18500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>11100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄-N</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂-N</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃-N</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P total</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCl₄</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-dichloro benzene</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-chloro toluene</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-chloro toluene</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cross-media effects

No cross-media effects in comparison with conventional absorption.

### Table 4.40: Mass flow to the HCl recovery system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input to quench</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>[15, Köppke, 2000]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume flow</td>
<td>m³/h</td>
<td>About 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>kg/h</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td></td>
<td>2458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td></td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Applicability

Applicable for all HCl rich exhaust gas streams, such as:

- halogenations
- sulphochlorinations
- phosgenations
- esterifications with acid chlorides
- or comparable processes.

Due to the azeotrope at 20.4 % HCl, the production of concentrated hydrochloric acid from a gas stream with a low hydrogen chloride concentration requires a comparatively higher effort because either the pressure must be increased, the temperature reduced, or both [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Examples

- *085B*: recovery of HCl from an exhaust gas stream from esterification with SOCl₂ [68, Anonymous, 2004]
- *069B*: recovery of HCl from an exhaust gas stream from chlorination with SOCl₂, the recovered HCl is re-used on-site

Economics

- presumed that the exhaust gas would have been treated anyway, only the cost benefit from sale of the recovered HCl is taken into account (see Table 4.41)
- saving of NaOH for neutralisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating hours per year</th>
<th>6000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recovered HCl (30 %), kg per hour</td>
<td>2279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price per kg HCl (30 %)</td>
<td>EUR 0.05 (DEM 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost benefit per year</td>
<td>EUR 699000 (DEM 1368000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.41: Cost benefits from HCl recovery

Driving force for implementation

Cost benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000] *085B*
4.3.5.3 Scrubbing of HCl from exhaust gases and related emission levels

Description

Figure 4.43 and Figure 4.44 show HCl emission values (concentrations and mass flows) from point sources (vertical bars represent maximum and minimum values). The presented data are taken from Table 3.2 and Table 3.1. The obtained data show the following characteristics:

- emissions via one or more scrubbers with different scrubbing media (e.g. H2O, NaOH)
- 13 of 21 references report emission concentrations for HCl of 1 mg/m³ or lower
- chemical processes (especially chlorination) may cause higher concentrations, but can be operated with low mass flows.

![Figure 4.43: Concentration values for HCl emissions from point sources](image)

![Figure 4.44: Mass flow values for HCl emissions from point sources](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

Removal of HCl from exhaust gases, and lower emission levels.

Cross-media effects

Water and chemical consumption.
Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable. Scrubbers are standard equipment.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

HCl emission levels.

References to literature and example plants

All reference plants apply scrubbing.
4.3.4.4 Recovery of bromine and HBr from exhaust gases

**Description**

Bromination processes generate a number of gaseous effluent streams containing hydrogen bromide and bromine, which are scrubbed prior to emission to the air. In addition, bromine rich air streams are displaced during the filling of bromine storage and day tanks, and during the depressurisation of the storage and isotank delivery vessels which are discharged by a moderate dry compressed air overpressure. The plant areas within the process building are provided with both general and local extraction ventilation. The possibility of leakage into those areas of bromine species requires the extract air to be scrubbed prior to discharge to the air.

A linked series of scrubbing systems are in place to recover/remove hydrogen bromide, bromine and possible traces of volatile brominated and other organic compounds from the process vents and extract ventilation streams of the bromination plant. Figure 4.45 illustrates the setup on the *007I* site.

The final hydrobromic acid solution concentration of 46 % w/w HBr is chosen together with the combined capacity of the duty and standby base tanks, to allow absorption of all hydrogen bromide produced during a minimum of one reactor batch.

![Figure 4.45: Scrubbing system for recovery/removal of HBr and Br₂](image-url)
Achieved environmental benefits

Recovery of valuable material for re-use/sale instead of emission to air or water.

Table 4.42 shows the emissions to air for the example from *007I*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#007I*</th>
<th>After main scrubber (annual mean for 2002)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br₂</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>below detection limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic bromides</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.42: Emissions from a HBr/Br₂ recovery/removal system

Cross-media effects

No cross-media effects in comparison with conventional absorption.

Operational data

For mass flows to the scrubbers, see Table 4.30.

Applicability

- applicable for all HBr rich exhaust gas streams (in the given example, 11350 kg HBr and 750 kg Br₂ per batch)
- HBr obtained from a scrubber may also contain an organic load which may need purification before re-use/sale.

Economics

- presumed that the exhaust gas would have been treated anyway: cost benefit from re-use/sale of the recovered HBr
- saving of NaOH for neutralisation.

Driving force for implementation

Cost benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[75, Trenbirth, 2003], *007I*
4.3.5.5 Absorption of excess chlorine from exhaust gases

Description

Chlorine loads in the exhaust gases from chlorinations can be absorbed by reaction with the organic feedstock in the presence of UV light (see Figure 4.46). The partially halogenated organic feed is stored for the next batch or fed to the continuous process.

![Diagram of absorption of excess chlorine](attachment:chlorine_absorption_diagram.png)

Figure 4.46: Absorption of excess chlorine

Achieved environmental benefits

- absorption and re-use of about 80% of the chlorine load from strong streams
- relief of downstream techniques.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Applicable for the halogenation of aliphatic compounds.
Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Effective use of chlorine, and relief of downstream techniques.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000]
4.3.5.6 Condensation of VOCs from reactors and distillations

Description

The condensation of VOCs from reactors or distillations is carried out by indirect cooling of highly loaded exhaust gases before downstream exhaust gas treatment, followed by separation of the gas and liquid phase. Depending on the situation (e.g. reflux process or required temperature profile of the distillation column), the condensate is subsequently fed back to the process or stored for re-use. Figure 4.47 gives an overview. The number of cooling steps and the applied temperature(s) depend on the particular solvent.

Figure 4.47: Two stage condensation from a reactor

Achieved environmental benefits

- reduction and recycling of the VOC load at source before mixing with other exhaust gas streams
- performance: 70 – 95 % (depending on the particular case)
- reduced downstream abatement capacity required.

Cross-media effects

Shift of pollutants from the waste gas path to the liquid phase.
Operational data

- usually indirect cooling with ice or different brine types, or using oil as a medium
- required temperatures:
  - [15, Köppke, 2000]: solvents such as toluene or i-butanol mostly below -50 °C
  - [68, Anonymous, 2004]: for toluene two steps a) chilled water 5 °C, b) brine -25 °C
  - [9, Christ, 1999]: for toluene a) 25 °C, b) 5 °C followed by activated carbon adsorption.

Applicability

Applicable for all normal solvents.

Restrictions may occur in high humidity environments due to geographical location or with exhaust gases containing moisture, especially in the case of cryogenic condensation [62, D1 comments, 2004], [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

- usually low capital costs
- usually low operating costs.

Capital/operating costs may be high, depending on the volatility of the solvent and the cooling medium required.

Driving force for implementation

Temperature adjustment, to save downstream abatement capacity, and ELVs (VOC Directive).

References to literature and example plants

4.3.5.7 Thermal oxidation of VOCs and co-incineration of liquid waste

Description

The multipurpose production of organic chemicals requires both flexibility concerning the applied processes and the safe control of waste streams. The waste gas abatement system has to cope with hydrocarbons and compounds containing nitrogen, chlorine, bromine or sulphur including frequent changes of loadings. One possible solution is to apply the thermal oxidation of waste gases in combination with the incineration of liquid waste streams. The thermal oxidiser/incinerator is able to handle:

- peak VOC gases
- peak organic liquid waste
- peak low calorific values
- natural gas as support fuel.

It is able to handle all these inputs simultaneously. Setting an appropriate combustion temperature and dwell time allows the input of halogenated compounds. VOC lean, odorous streams, e.g. from the on-site waste water treatment plant, serve as combustion air. A modular plant setup is shown in Figure 4.48 [34, Schwarting, 2001].

(1) waste gas collection system with shock fans, static flame filters and dynamic flame barriers
(2) combustion unit with DeNOX function and waste heat boiler for steam production
(3) storage tanks for NH₃ (DeNOₓ), scrubbing media (NaOH, NaS₂O₃)
(4) acid gas and halogen removal system
(5) plume suppression system

Figure 4.48: Modular thermal treatment plant for waste gases and liquid wastes
Achieved environmental benefits

- VOC destruction efficiencies of up to 99.99%. The achievable emission levels are shown in Table 4.43.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>[34, Schwarting, 2001]</th>
<th><em>019A_I</em> Nitrogenous solvent loading test</th>
<th>Dioxin sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>org. C</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl/Cl₂</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBr/Br₂</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td>&lt;80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeNOₓ efficiency</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>up to 97</td>
<td>96.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxins</td>
<td>ng/m³</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.43: Achievable emission levels for thermal waste gas treatment

- reduction of primary energy consumption in the case of a high calorific input
- disposal option for non-recoverable liquid wastes and low calorific value aqueous streams which are unsuitable for biological waste water treatment
- no emission peaks.

For more information, see also Table 3.1, Table 3.2 and Sections 4.3.5.18 and 4.3.5.19.

Cross-media effects

- no shift of organic loadings to the waste water pathway
- higher energy consumption when the calorific input is low
- TDS levels and solid residues from incineration have to be considered.

with co-incineration of liquid wastes:

- relief of the biological WWTP of toxic/low degradable loadings
- on-site disposal avoids the need to transport of hazardous wastes
- substitution of primary energy.

Operational data

- about 500 kg/hour liquid wastes
- DeNOₓ unit: 950 – 1000 °C (SNCR) or SCR.

Applicability

Thermal oxidation is a proven method for destroying VOCs and especially hazardous air pollutants, operating at the highest efficiencies and suitable for almost all VOC sources, including process vents, storage tanks, material transfer operations, treatment, storage and disposal facilities. Table 4.44 gives an overview to the limits/restrictions.
Depending on the individual situation, additional options may be realised:

- two-stage burner for exhaust gases with low oxygen concentration containing nitrogenous compounds [99, D2 comments, 2005]
- particulate removal, e.g. a wet electrostatic precipitator “WESP”, *020A.I*
- HCl or HBr recovery (see also Sections 4.3.5.3 and 4.3.5.4)
- systems with an inert header and an automatic interlock to the oxidiser unit can be operated up to 50 % LEL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits/restrictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typical gas flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC concentration in exhaust gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.44: Overview to limits and restrictions of thermal exhaust gas treatment

Example from *093A.I*:

- insensitive to variations of pollutants
- low support fuel consumption, autothermal above 2 g/m³ organic C
- low operating costs.

Restrictions:

Exhaust gases like hydrogenation vents; streams containing elevated concentrations of silanes; ethylene oxide sterilisation vents; etc should not be connected to a thermal oxidiser unit, due to safety concerns or the potential negative effect on the functionality of the process equipment [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

The economic balance depends on the individual case. Table 4.45 gives an overview. Incineration of liquid wastes (unrecoverable solvents) and the simultaneous generation of process steam (substitution of primary energy) can lead to a quick return on investments [34, Schwarting, 2001]. HCl or HBr, if recovered after combustion, are not contaminated with organic compounds and can be directly marketed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic cost</th>
<th>Economic benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>Capital cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Support fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steam generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saved disposal costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste water treatment costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HX recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.45: Overview to economic costs and benefits of thermal waste gas treatment
Spent solvents are burned in an incinerator to prevent transport to waste disposal and to prevent unnecessary consumption of natural gas. Natural gas is, in this case, only used to bring the incinerator to the required temperature. The capacity for the burning of spent solvents is based on the fact that the LEL for VOC concentration should not be exceeded. A calculation is carried out according to Table 4.46 [62, D1 comments, 2004].

On the *038F* site, the incinerator is designed to burn about 300 kg spent solvents/hour (combustion factor = 40 MJ/kg). This saves 400 m³ natural gas/hour. In a year, 2500 tonnes spent solvents are burned in the incinerator, which saves in total 3300000 m³ natural gas/year. Using EUR 0.134/m³ as the price of natural gas, savings are above EUR 440000/year. Burning spent solvent involves lower operational costs and, therefore, allows payback on the investment pledged. The incinerator also produces 50 % of the steam needed on the site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacity for spent solvent burning</th>
<th>60 litre/hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combustion factor for spent solvents</td>
<td>45 MJ/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of spent solvents burned</td>
<td>0.8 kg/litre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion factor for natural gas</td>
<td>31 MJ/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of natural gas</td>
<td>EUR 0.134/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saved natural gas</td>
<td>69 m³/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost savings using solvent as the fuel</td>
<td>EUR 9.25/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.46: Calculation of cost savings from the substitution of natural gas
The price of natural gas is based on statistics. The value chosen is that of the first three months of 2004, from users with a yearly turnover of 25000000 m³/year and an activity of at least 8000 hours/year

Driving force for implementation

Legislation, and return on investments.

References to literature and example plants

*019A,I*, *037A,I*, *039F* [34, Schwarting, 2001], [62, D1 comments, 2004]
4.3.5.8 Co-incineration of halogenated waste solvents

Description

Co-incineration of halogenated waste solvents together with exhaust gases from production processes requires sufficient temperature, residence times and turbulence in the combustion chamber in order to ensure low emission levels of dioxins/furans. Hence, combustion temperatures of ≥1100 °C and residence times of ≥2 seconds are generally applied. Additionally, the emission levels are influenced by controlling the temperature profile (prevention of de novo formation) and by the subsequent flue-gas treatment. Table 4.47 shows the example from *008A,I*, where trials were carried out in order to demonstrate that the incinerator can also be operated at lower temperatures and residence times without a significant increase in emission levels. As a result, the incinerator is operated with a combustion temperature of ≥850 °C and a residence time of ≥1 second.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>008A,I</em></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flue-gas treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quench (30 m³/hour H₂O)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scrubber (pH 7 – 8 and 30 m³/hour)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR (280 °C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste solvent input</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 kg/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixture of isopropanol, methanol, methylene chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density of 0.81 – 0.85 kg/litre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O content 1 – 13 % w/w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl content 4.2 – 5.6 % w/w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature control</td>
<td>±50 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trial 1**
- Sampling time: 3 x 6 hours
- Combustion temperature: ≥1200 °C
- Residence time: ≥2 seconds
- Volume flow (dry): 6500 Nm³/hour
- Natural gas consumption: 46 – 61 m³/hour
- PCDD/F (I-TEQ)¹: 0.0019 ng/m³
- PCB (sum)¹: 0.006 μg/m³
- PAH (sum)¹: 0.078 μg/m³

**Trial 2**
- Sampling time: 3 x 6 hours
- Combustion temperature: ≥850 °C
- Residence time: ≥1 second
- Volume flow (dry): 9600 Nm³/hour
- Natural gas consumption: 75 – 79 m³/hour
- PCDD/F (I-TEQ)¹: 0.0008 ng/m³
- PCB (sum)¹: 0.007 μg/m³
- PAH (sum)¹: 0.023 μg/m³

¹ the levels relate to Nm³, dry gas and 11 vol-% O₂

Result

Taking into account the accuracy of the analytical methods and the detection limits for each parameter, the resulting emission levels for PCDD/F, PCB and PAH do not differ significantly under the given trial conditions.

Table 4.47: Example for the assessment of PCDD/F, PCB and PAH levels from an incinerator operated at different temperatures with different residence times
Achieved environmental benefits

- lower support fuel consumption
- less maintenance, lower rate of wear.

Cross-media effects

No issues.

Operational data

See table Table 4.47.

Applicability

Assessment of each individual case is necessary.

Economics

Cost savings from lower support fuel consumption, lower maintenance and lower rate of wear.

Driving force for implementation

See Economics and Achieved environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

*008A,1*
4.3.5.9 Stripping and thermal oxidation of methanol

Description

The recovery and purification of methanol from waste water streams (e.g. from alkylations) and its subsequent re-use is often not economically viable because of the formation of azeotropes with other organic contaminants. In most cases, the purchase of fresh methanol is simply much cheaper. However, highly methanol-loaded waste water streams may be well biodegradable but may also strain the capacity of existing WWTPs. Alternatively, methanol and other low molecular compounds can be stripped off with steam and can then be treated together with process exhaust gases by thermal oxidation. The calorific input from the stripper enables auto-thermal operation of the thermal oxidiser. Figure 4.49 gives an overview.

Achieved environmental benefits

- effective abatement for both waste water and waste gas
- high energy efficiency when in autothermal operation
- thermal oxidiser may also treat waste gas streams from other sources.
Cross-media effects

- partial shift from waste water to waste gas
- support fuel necessary for start-up and shut down
- steam injection increases the waste water volume.

Operational data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water stream input to the stripper</th>
<th>Volume flow</th>
<th>COD</th>
<th>BOD₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 m³/hour</td>
<td>About 20000 mg/litre</td>
<td>About 14000 mg/litre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste gas input from the process to thermal oxidiser</td>
<td>2000 m³/hour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water to the WWTP</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>3500 mg/litre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 tonnes/hour (75 % generated in the thermal oxidiser)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.48: Operational data for stripping and thermal oxidation

Applicability

Generally applicable in cases with high organic loads which are strippable.

Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stripping and thermal oxidation</td>
<td>EUR 1760000 (DEM 3450000)</td>
<td>COD to stripper: 20000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD to WWTP: 3500 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensate: 10 – 30 % H₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating costs include energy, staff and capital costs

Table 4.49: Operating costs for the combination of stripping and thermal oxidation

- input COD loads <14500 mg/l alter the economic situation and favour a single treatment in a biological WWTP
- stripping to lower concentrations (COD <3500 mg/l) leads to increasingly higher costs
- H₂O contents >30 % in the condensate alter the process to non-auto-thermal and require support fuel
- H₂O contents <10 % are ineffective because of the high energy requirements.

Driving force for implementation

Cost effective abatement technique, and ELVs.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000], *020A,I*
4.3.5.10 Strategy for prevention and abatement of VOC emissions

Description

Existing installations can reduce their total VOC emissions to <5% of the solvent input by following a combined strategy which involves:

a) step-by-step implementation of integrated measures to prevent/reduce diffuse/fugitive emissions and to minimise the mass flow that requires abatement
b) applying high level recovery/abatement techniques, such as thermal/catalytic oxidation or activated carbon adsorption
c) applying specific recovery/abatement techniques at source on smaller sites with dedicated equipment, and by utilising only one or two different bulk solvents.

Achieved environmental benefits

Prevention and reduction of VOC emissions.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Additional costs for equipment modification and of abatement techniques.

Driving force for implementation

A maintenance programme, and ELVs.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.5.11 Recovery and abatement of acetylene

Description

Processes involving bulk amounts of highly volatile (and hazardous) compounds such as acetylene represent an extraordinary challenge concerning the treatment of waste streams. In the given example, acetylene is used as the reactant and is present in several exhaust gas streams from different process steps together with ethylene (a by-product from the preparation of Li – C \( \equiv C – H \)) and NH\(_3\) (one of the solvents).

Before process optimisation, the acetylene balance was as follows:

- consumption in the reaction 30 %
- loss as ethylene 20 %
- loss as acetylene 50 %.

After optimisation, most of the acetylene loss is recovered and recycled to the reaction according to the principle given in Figure 4.50. Liquid NH\(_3\) is used to absorb acetylene from exhaust gases and recycled after desorption back to the reaction cycle. The remaining NH\(_3\) is adsorbed in another stage in water and the remaining organic load (mainly ethylene) is used as the energy source for on-site steam generation. An NH\(_3\)/H\(_2\)O mixture (about 15 % NH\(_3\)) is obtained and re-used in the process after rectification. The resulting water fraction is treated in the biological WWTP.

![Figure 4.50: Acetylene recovery system](image)
Since a wide part of the recovery system is operated below the boiling point of ammonia (-33 °C) a cooling system for very low temperatures is inevitable. The cooling medium used is identical to the reaction solvent (in this case, ammonia). Thus, not only can cooling be carried out without using chlorofluorohydrocarbons, but also any accidental mixing of the cooling ammonia with the ammonia of the process will not lead to problems, since it is identical with the solvent used. The pressure difference guarantees that, in case of a leak, the only possible flow will be of coolant into the reaction solution. Also, the strong smell of ammonia facilitates leak detection, so that amounts of coolant lost by leakage are very small.

The refrigeration process uses compressors and an expander system. The proximity of the refrigeration machinery enables the compressed hot ammonia to be used as a source of heat. Thus, the acetylene desorption column used to drive off dissolved acetylene is heated by waste heat from the refrigeration machines. Only the excess heat is removed by a cooling tower, and this requires a minimum amount of cooling water.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

- less ammonia consumed (-75 %)
- less acetylene consumed (-50 %).

**Cross-media effects**

- energy consumption for the recovery system
- waste water stream from NH₃ rectification.

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*

**Applicability**

Each case has to be assessed individually.

In the example, the new process is more complex than the original “straight-through” process. The effects are beneficial for both the ecology and the economy. The performance of new installations is optimised by ensuring that individual process steps harmonise with each other. This applies, not only within the identical batch plants used for the different stages, but also to energy utilisation in the boiler plant. Operators of these plants are required to have an increased level of understanding, and therefore, need improved training and education.

The idea of burning the high energy exhaust gases acetylene and ethylene in a process to produce steam is certainly attractive. However, serious safety problems must be solved before such a process can be considered. In this example, particular attention must be paid to the safety aspects of acetylene, which is explosive over a wide range of concentrations in air (lower flammability limit 2.3 vol-%, upper flammability limit 82 vol-%), and which can decompose at a moderately elevated pressure even in the absence of oxygen, generating large amounts of heat and high pressure. Investigations have shown that self-decomposition can be suppressed by diluting the acetylene with natural gas, even when the gas mixture is ignited. Since, in this example, the boiler plant runs on natural gas, this principle has been used for the combustion of the exhaust gas. Exhaust gases from the production plant are diluted to 40 % by injection of a stream of natural gas after they have passed through the absorber; they are then compressed to the pressure required by the boiler plant. Moreover, the production plant itself is separated from the combustion plant by a system of flame arrestors.
Economics

Economic benefits from recovery.

Driving force for implementation

Higher efficiencies, cost benefits, and environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

[9, Christ, 1999], *014V,1*
4.3.5.12 Catalytic oxidation of 1,2-dichloroethane

Description

On the *069B* site, a batch/campaign process involves 1,2-dichloroethane as a reaction partner and a solvent. Exhaust gases arise from the reactor, the liquid-liquid phase separation and especially from a distillation stage, and contain 1,2-dichloroethane which is classified with risk phrase R45: may cause cancer.

Therefore, catalytic oxidation as a high level treatment technique was chosen in order to abate the 1,2-dichloroethane emission to a low level. Figure 4.51 illustrates the example from *069B*. The catalytic oxidiser is placed in a container on the roof of the production building and works autothermally after start-up. The catalytic oxidiser is included in the plant operating system and does not require additional staff.

![Diagram of catalytic oxidation process](image)

Figure 4.51: Catalytic oxidation of an exhaust gas containing 1,2-dichloroethane

Achieved environmental benefits

Table 4.50 gives the achieved emission levels for the *069B* example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>not confirmed!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.50: Achieved emission level for 1,2-dichloroethane

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*
Operational data

- volume flow: about 400 m³/hour
- autothermal operation after operation temperature is reached.

Applicability

Widely applicable. Other examples are:

- *042A,I*: Catalytic oxidation
- *043A,I*: Catalytic oxidation of vinyl chloride (R45: may cause cancer)
- *043A,I*: Catalytic oxidation of mercaptans
- *055A,I*: Catalytic oxidation (production building 1, autothermal)

Economics

Overall investment costs (*069B*, 2004): EUR 1500000

Driving force for implementation

Hazardous pollutant.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *069B*, *042A,I*, *043A,I*, *055A,I*
4.3.5.13 Coupled concentration and catalytic oxidation of VOCs

Description

High volume flows of exhaust air with low/variable VOC concentrations can be treated with a technique that concentrates the VOCs prior to catalytic oxidation (or condensation).

Figure 4.52 illustrates the technique: The exhaust gas is led through the adsorber (either a continuously rotating honeycomb structure or a series of packed beds), which can retain the VOCs in the temperature range of 10 to 120 ºC. Around 80 to 95 % of the adsorbents are being charged at any one time. The remaining approx. 5 to 20 % of the adsorbents are being heated by hot air to desorb the previously adsorbed compounds, resulting in a gas stream with a high VOC concentration. The gas stream is then led through a catalyst, where the VOCs are oxidised (or alternatively the concentrated VOCs can be recovered by condensation). The air is heated when it passes through the catalyst (due to the oxidation of the VOCs); this heat can be recovered by heat-exchange and used in the heating of the gas stream.

In many applications, the catalyst can be integrated into the adsorber (catalytic coating) so that adsorbed VOCs are oxidised as soon as they are desorbed.

![Figure 4.52: Coupled concentration and catalytic oxidation of VOCs](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- removal of VOCs from exhaust gas streams
- low energy consumption (5 to 30 times lower than the consumption related to thermal oxidation, recuperative thermal oxidation or catalytic oxidation). Often continuous operation is possible without support fuel
- no need for pre- or stand-by heating at night time/weekends
- low pressure loss, hence less energy for ventilation required.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.
Operational data

- VOC concentrations from 10 to 1000 mg/m³
- Exhaust gas temperatures of up to 120 °C are acceptable
- Adsorbent non-flammable and thermally stable up to 500 °C
- Low pressure loss
- Low space requirements for the equipment.

Applicability

Applicable to exhaust gas flows with changing/variable VOC concentrations, since batch-wise concentration peaks are automatically levelled out by the adsorber. Suitable for a wide range of solvents, e.g. toluene, xylene, MIBK, MEK, styrene, and glycol, in concentrations from 10 to approx. 1000 mg/m³. The adsorber functions up to the exhaust gas temperature of around 120 °C.

Economics

- Low operating costs due to low heating requirements
- Intensified use of abatement equipment, hence optimised (smaller) layout.

Driving forces for implementation

See Economics.

References to literature and example plants

[95, Up-To-Date Umwelttechnik AG, 2005, 96, Up-To-Date Umwelttechnik AG, 2005], *104X*, *105X*
### 4.3.5.14 Non-thermal exhaust gas treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condensation</th>
<th>Cryogenic condensation</th>
<th>Wet-scrubbing</th>
<th>Adsorption</th>
<th>Biofiltration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condensation</strong></td>
<td>Elimination of solvent vapours (VOCs) from gas streams by reducing temperature below the dew point</td>
<td>Elimination of solvent vapours (VOCs) from gas streams by reducing temperature below the dew point</td>
<td>Mass transfer (absorption) between a soluble gas and a solvent in contact with each other</td>
<td>Mass transfer between a adsorbable gas and a solid surface</td>
<td>Degradation in a filter bed by micro-organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cryogenic condensation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wet-scrubbing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adsorption</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biofiltration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achieved environmental benefits</strong></td>
<td>• material recovery possible</td>
<td>• material recovery possible</td>
<td>• material recovery possible, depending on pollutants</td>
<td>• performance depends on the adsorption characteristic of the individual compound, up to 95 % reduction for VOCs</td>
<td>• performance depends on the biodegradability of the particular VOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• reduction of mass flow to downstream abatement</td>
<td>• performance up to 99 % VOC reduction (depending on the individual case)</td>
<td>• performance up to 99 % reduction for inorganic compounds and highly water soluble VOCs (e.g. alcohols)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• the achievable value for HCl is &lt;1 mg/m³, and 2 – 5 mg/m³ for phosphorus chlorides [62, D1 comments, 2004]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational data</strong></td>
<td>Condensation temperatures: • down to 2 °C with ice • down to -60 °C with different brine types</td>
<td>Condensation temperatures: • down to -120 °C with cryogenic types (liquid nitrogen)</td>
<td>Usual scrubber media: • water • acid • alkaline • polyethylene glycol ethers (PEG) for non-polar VOCs</td>
<td>Usually two bed setup with interchange and regeneration of the off-line bed with steam, monitoring for breakthrough</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cross-media effects</strong></td>
<td>• energy demand</td>
<td>• high energy demand • obtained solvents have to be recovered/disposed of</td>
<td>• shift of pollutant loadings to the waste water pathway with aqueous scrubbing media</td>
<td>• shift of pollutant loadings to the waste water pathway if regeneration is carried out with steam, additional treatment/disposal may be necessary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• down-cycled activated carbon has to be disposed of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td>Cryogenic condensation</td>
<td>Wet-scrubbing</td>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Biofiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Applicability**| Ice or brine type condensers are standard equipment directly attached to VOC sources, normally with feedback to the source. Additional downstream treatment may be required | restricted to low flowrates (<1000 m³/hour) restrictions associated with water in the gas stream | + widely applicable for inorganic compounds – restricted applicability for polar VOCs – not applicable for non-polar or halogenated VOCs + PEG is applied for non-polar VOCs | + proven technology with dry single component air streams – restricted applicability with multipurpose operation (moisture, higher molecular weight compounds) | o odour control
|                   |                                   |                        |                                       |                                                | o control of readily degradable organic streams |
| **Economics**    | • low capital costs              |                        |                                       |                                                |              |
|                   | • low operating costs            |                        |                                       |                                                |              |
| **Driving force**| • material recovery              | • material recovery, final treatment of exhaust gas streams | • final treatment of exhaust gas streams, material recovery | • final treatment of exhaust gas streams, material recovery | • final treatment of exhaust gas streams |
| **References**   |                                   |                        |                                       |                                                |              |
|                   |                                   |                        |                                       |                                                |              |

[31, European Commission, 2003, 36, Moretti, 2002], [63, Short, 2004], *020A,1*
4.3.5.15 Induction of non-thermal plasma and catalytic oxidation of VOCs

Description

The technique consists of two stages: First the pollutant molecules are excited by a strong electrical alternating field of several thousand volts inside an excitation chamber. The excited state of the gas molecules theoretically corresponds to a heating of several thousand degrees Celsius, without an actual, significant change in the gas temperature (so-called non-thermal plasma). At the second stage, the gas is led through a contact catalyst, where the excited molecules are completely oxidised. Both stages are operated at room temperature or at the actual temperature of the exhaust gas.

Achieved environmental benefits

- removal of VOCs from exhaust gas streams
- high energy efficiency, since no heat energy has to be added/removed
- efficient odour removal.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

- volumetric flows from 20 m$^3$/hour up to several hundred thousand m$^3$ per hour
- operated at room temperature or at the actual temperature of the exhaust gas
- energy consumption for excitation purposes 0.5 – 3 watt hours per m$^3$ of treated exhaust gas; precise energy consumption depends on the pollutant and the concentration.

Applicability

The main applications are for the elimination of odour and smoke, cleaning of supply air, sterilisation and elimination of solvent loads efficiently up to about 100 mg/m$^3$. This technique is not sensitive to variations in concentration or changes in the composition of the exhaust gas.

Example from *015D,I,O,B*: elimination of odour from the ventilation of a biological WWTP.

Economics

- economic especially for small to average concentrations
- low expenditure on maintenance
- low operating costs due to low energy consumption.

Driving forces for implementation

See Economics.

References to literature and example plants

*015D,I,O,B*, [97, Up-To-Date Umwelttechnik AG, 2005], [98, Up-To-Date Umwelttechnik AG, 2005]
4.3.5.16 Minimising emission concentration peaks

Description

A characteristic aspect of batch processes is the variation of pollutant load and volume flow in exhaust gases. Such variations represent a challenge for the operation of recovery or abatement techniques and result frequently in undesirable emission concentrations peaks. Peak concentrations potentially have a higher environmental impact.

Such effects can be minimised by the application of adsorption smoothing filters as shown in Figure 4.53.

![Figure 4.53: Smoothing of emission concentration peaks](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

Minimisation of emission concentration peaks.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Example from *055A,I*:

- 1200 kg Zeocat PZ-2400
- 960 kg clay Alumina A
- temperature range -30 to 200 °C.

Applicability

Generally applicable to optimise the ratio between average and peak concentrations.
Chapter 4

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

- minimisation of environmental impact from peak emission concentrations
- compliance with concentration limits, safety considerations [99, D2 comments, 2005].

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], "055A, I#
4.3.5.17 Management of a modular exhaust gas treatment setup

Description

In individual cases, the application of one main abatement system on a multipurpose site leads to unsatisfactory situations. Examples are: low performance of a scrubber system in the case of non-polar VOCs, or low energy efficiency of a thermal oxidiser in the case of high support fuel consumption. The main cause is that the exhaust gas streams on a multipurpose site occur neither continuously nor regularly and also vary in terms of pollutants, volume flow, concentration, and loading.

A modular exhaust gas treatment setup can reflect the operational mode of a multipurpose plant much better and a management system can ensure a high level of co-ordination between production and operation of the abatement systems. This includes a continuous optimisation of the system and the basic parameters. The basic recovery/abatement toolbox includes:

- scrubbing with water or, where appropriate, other media (e.g. NaOH, H₂SO₄, NaHSO₃)
- condensers at appropriate temperatures
- activated carbon adsorption with an appropriate layout.

This optimisation also includes the equalisation of the input to recovery/abatement techniques by avoiding the accumulation of flow or load peaks which enables a more intensified use of the available recovery/abatement capacity.

The main components are to:

1. identify and analyse the emission causing operations and their chronological sequence
2. take measures to reduce volume flows and loadings at source
3. consider the remaining volume flows from relevant operations
4. consider the physico-chemical properties of the pollutants (e.g. saturation concentrations, adsorption characteristics)
5. set an operational “emission limit level” (see Table 4.51)
6. select one or a combination of suitable abatement modules including the operational options
7. optimise this procedure for the possible production situations.

Some basic rules are applied to the selection of the abatement modules:

- inorganic compounds are treated by scrubbing
- the treatment of polar organic compounds includes scrubbing
- at least one condenser stage is applied to all volume flows <50 m³/hour
- activated carbon adsorption is the add-on, if the VOC emission mass flows would exceed the values given in Table 4.51.

The continuous optimisation of the system requires regular monitoring.

Achieved environmental benefits

The modular setup provides a day by day individual recovery/abatement solution for the individual production situation. In many cases, it is possible to avoid costs and energy consumption for establishing thermal oxidation on site.

Additionally, the modular setup creates motivation to implement all possible process-integrated measures to reduce volume flows and loadings at source.

- intensified use of abatement capacity, provoking process-integrated measures
- avoidance of inefficient standalone abatement solutions.
The emission levels used for management and selection of recovery/abatement techniques correspond with 100% of the operational “emission limit level” and are given in Table 4.51. Experience shows that:

- the mean emission level is approximately 40% of the operational “emission limit level”
- maximum emission peaks occur up to 200% of the operational “emission limit level”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Operational mass flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total organic C</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-propanol</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylacetate</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-trichloroethane</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-dichloroethane</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>&lt;0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylenechloride</td>
<td>&lt;0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOX as NO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX as SO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethane</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoethane</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylnitrile</td>
<td>&lt;0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylenoxide</td>
<td>&lt;0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene</td>
<td>&lt;0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni and its compounds</td>
<td>&lt;0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As and its compounds (without AsH₃)</td>
<td>&lt;0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd and its compounds</td>
<td>&lt;0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AsH₃</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanides (easily soluble)</td>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu – compounds</td>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb – compounds</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni – compounds</td>
<td>&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg – compounds</td>
<td>&lt;0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl – compounds</td>
<td>&lt;0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.51: Achievable emission values for a modular abatement setup
Cross-media effects

- cross-media effects of the individual abatement techniques
- higher emissions due to the setting of an operational “emission limit level”.

Operational data

The mass flows given in Table 4.51 also represent the key parameters for considering sources and the selection of the abatement modules.

Applicability

The modular abatement setup is applicable for multipurpose/multiproduct sites where VOC loadings and volume flows are not high enough to enable efficient thermal/catalytic oxidation.

Difficulties arise if peak emissions of highly volatile compounds (e.g. butane, ethylene) have to be handled for short time periods.

Economics

Besides the costs of the individual abatement techniques, additionally the costs for implementation and maintenance of the management system have to be taken into account.

Costs for the prevention of errors, e.g. automation equipment [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Driving force for implementation

Emission limit values, and avoidance of thermal/catalytic oxidation.

References to literature and example plants

[54, Verfahrens u. Umwelttechnik Kirchner, 2004] *059B,1*
4.3.5.18 Selection of a VOC treatment and emission levels

Description

The selection of a VOC treatment technique is a crucial task on a multipurpose site. Besides the process condensers the usual “toolbox” for the main recovery/abatement of VOCs from exhaust gases are:

- scrubbing (usually water)
- cryogenic condensation
- activated carbon adsorption
- catalytic oxidation
- thermal oxidation/incineration.

The step to the more sophisticated treatment technique is triggered either by increasing the plant size (indicating also the need for higher flexibility on a more complex plant) or the actual achievable emission level. Options to avoid this step are a reduction in volume flow or an increased capacity, e.g. for scrubbing or activated carbon adsorption, and/or lower condensation temperatures. Table 4.52 shows examples for scrubbers which were later replaced by thermal oxidisers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Volume Flow</th>
<th>Mass Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg C/m³</td>
<td>m³/hour</td>
<td>kg C/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber a</td>
<td>38 – 53</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber b</td>
<td>37 – 177</td>
<td>5300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber d</td>
<td>124 – 228</td>
<td>5400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.52: Examples for the VOC emission levels from scrubbers which were later replaced by thermal oxidation

The use of a more sophisticated treatment technique is also necessary if the exhaust gas contains highly volatile or toxic material, e.g. acetylene (see Section 4.3.5.11) or 1,2-dichloroethane (see Section 4.3.5.12).

Figure 4.54 and Figure 4.55 show concentration and mass flow values for total organic C emitted from point sources (data are taken from Table 3.1 and Table 3.2). On a multipurpose site low total organic C concentrations in waste gas emissions do not automatically represent low emissions (see also Table 4.53). Emission levels are understandable only in terms of kg/hour (= concentration x volume flow), since the actual volume flows vary considerably, e.g.

- scrubbers: 50 – 38000 m³/hour
- thermal oxidiser/incinerators: 400 – 45000 m³/hour

This indicates that “dilution” occurs where additional volumes are treated, e.g.

- where building ventilation is connected to a main scrubber
- where exhaust gases are mixed with air in order to enable combustion in an oxidiser (oxygen demand) or especially to minimise explosion risks (keep it below the LEL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Volume Flow</th>
<th>Mass Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg C/m³</td>
<td>m³/hour</td>
<td>kg C/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber 1</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber 2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>38000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO 1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO 2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.53: Examples for VOC concentrations and mass flows from point sources
Figure 4.54: Concentration values VOC emissions from OFC point sources
The expression “point source” relates to one reported set of emission data. It is possible, that several data sets belong to the same plant or even the same emission point within the plant, but reflect different operational conditions. TO/I means thermal oxidiser or incinerator. Concentration values higher than 200 mg/m$^3$ are simply shown as 200 mg/m$^3$; vertical bars are the maximum and minimum values. The presented data are taken from Table 3.1.

Figure 4.55: Mass flow values of VOC emissions from OFC point sources
The expression “point source” relates to one reported set of emission data. It is possible, that several data sets belong to the same plant or even the same emission point within the plant, but reflect different operational conditions. TO/I means thermal oxidiser or incinerator. Vertical bars are the maximum and minimum values. The presented data are taken from Table 3.2.

Achieved environmental benefits

- overview to selection of techniques and emission levels.
Cross-media effects

Cross-media effects of the applied recovery/abatement techniques:

- scrubbing creates waste water streams
- activated carbon adsorption requires desorption of the carbon by creation of a waste water stream or disposal of the loaded carbon
- cryogenic condensation requires energy for cooling
- catalytic or thermal oxidation requires support fuel.

Therefore, the step to a more sophisticated treatment technique will usually increase the cross-media effects. Minor cross-media effects occur for catalytic/thermal oxidation where:

- support fuel can be replaced by spent solvents
- autothermal operation is possible
- or energy can be recovered for steam generation.

Operational data

Operational data of the individual treatment techniques.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

The techniques presented as the usual “toolbox” are widely applied across the OFC sectors. The step to a more sophisticated (and more costly) technique is usually accompanied by increased plant size. Table 4.54 gives cost estimations per removed tonne of VOC [60, SICOS, 2003]. The costs for cryogenic condensation are much lower if the N₂ is used to feed the inert gas network [99, D2 comments, 2005].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>EUR per removed tonne of VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production equipment</td>
<td>38000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas collection system</td>
<td>1400 – 12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation and treatment of acid gases</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryogenic condensation</td>
<td>1600 – 29000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation and co-incineration of liquid waste</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation and treatment of acid gases</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryogenic condensation</td>
<td>300 – 1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation and co-incineration of liquid waste</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurements</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production equipment</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas collection system</td>
<td>200 – 1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation and treatment of acid gases</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryogenic condensation</td>
<td>600 – 5800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation and co-incineration of liquid waste</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.54: Cost estimation per removed tonne of VOC [60, SICOS, 2003]
Driving force for implementation

Selection of recovery/abatement technique is crucial on an OFC site.

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2, [60, SICOS, 2003]
4.3.5.19 NOX: recovery, abatement and emission levels

Description

Sources for exhaust gases containing NOX on OFC sites are mainly:

- chemical processes, e.g. nitrations
- thermal oxidation/incineration of exhaust gases (thermal oxidation of organic compounds containing nitrogen, e.g. acetonitrile or N2 from air).

On sites where nitrations are carried out extensively (e.g. manufacture of explosives), the recovery of spent acids represents a strong NOX source. Many exhaust gases containing NOX from chemical processes contain also VOCs and can be treated in a thermal oxidiser/incinerator equipped with a DeNOX system (where already available on site). Another option is the design of the oxidiser as a two stage combustion [99, D2 comments, 2005].

The often used concentration unit ppm (ml/m³) can be converted to the mass concentration (mg/m³) with the following factor: 1 ppm = 1.88 mg/m³ (based on monomeric NO2 at 101.3 kPa and 298 Kelvin and ideal gas behaviour). As a rule, the discharge of NOX is colourless if the concentration is <200 ppm (370 mg/m³) [6, Ullmann, 2001].

![Figure 4.56: Concentration values for NOX emissions from point sources](image)

![Figure 4.57: Mass flow values for NOX emissions from point sources](image)

The expression “point source” relates to one reported set of emission data. It is possible, that several data sets belong to the same plant or even the same emission point within the plant, but reflect different operational conditions. TO/I means thermal oxidiser or incinerator. Vertical bars are the maximum and minimum values.
Chapter 4

a) NO\textsubscript{X} from thermal oxidation/incineration

NO\textsubscript{X} levels in flue-gases from thermal oxidation depend mainly on the combustion temperature as well as on the input of compounds containing nitrogen. For example, in the case of *019A,I* NO\textsubscript{X} levels of about 650 mg/m\textsuperscript{3} are created at a temperature of 1150 °C and then reduced by SCR with an efficiency of about 96 %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration\textsuperscript{1}</th>
<th>Mass flow\textsuperscript{1}</th>
<th>Volume flow\textsuperscript{1}</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>DeNO\textsubscript{X}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>kg/hour</td>
<td>m\textsuperscript{3}/hour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>830 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059B,I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I (1)</td>
<td>25 (CO: 17)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>1150 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I (2)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>1150 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107L,X</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.3</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>SCR (NH\textsubscript{3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037A,I</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>8015</td>
<td>1100 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020A,I</td>
<td>124 (CO: 2.5)</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>12338</td>
<td>1150 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106A,I (2)</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>980 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001A,I (2)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>13475</td>
<td>SNCR (urea)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114A,I</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>45000</td>
<td>900 – 1000 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1} The levels are expressed as NO\textsubscript{2} and relate to dry gas, Nm\textsuperscript{3} and 11 vol-% O\textsubscript{2}.

Table 4.55: NO\textsubscript{X} emissions from thermal oxidisers/incinerators

Where higher combustion temperatures are used, or where exhaust gases contain nitrogenous compounds, SCR or SNCR are applied in order to reduce the NO\textsubscript{X} emission level, using NH\textsubscript{3} or urea as a reduction equivalent. NH\textsubscript{3} or urea is dosed in on demand as opposed to being a continuous feed. One NO\textsubscript{X} analyser is located before the DeNO\textsubscript{X} unit and measures the raw value while the other analyser is located after the outlet. The PLC compares the two values and doses the urea accordingly. Figure 4.58 shows the effect of different urea dosing in the case of *020A,I* by changing the NO\textsubscript{X} setpoint from 50 to 150 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}. Table 4.56 shows the related urea consumption.

![Figure 4.58: Effect of changed NO\textsubscript{X} setpoint for the SNCR in the case of *020A,I*](image-url)
Table 4.56: Urea consumption of a SNCR according to NOX setpoint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Urea dosing</th>
<th>NOX setpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>20 t</td>
<td>50 mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17.9 t</td>
<td>150 mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>17 t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>18 t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acetonitrile usage on site increased


Table 4.57: NOX emission data from nitration and recovery of spent acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOX emission level¹</th>
<th>Volume flow m³/h</th>
<th>Recovery system</th>
<th>Dominant production</th>
<th>Acid recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kg/hour</td>
<td>mg/m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>062E 0.069</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026E 1.2 – 1.5</td>
<td>up to 4000</td>
<td></td>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044E 3.38</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>6 x H₂O</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>063E 0.7 – 2.0</td>
<td>425 – 1098</td>
<td>1650 – 1820</td>
<td>4 x H₂O</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>098E 0.87 – 1.69</td>
<td>113 – 220</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>3 x H₂O 1 x H₅O₂</td>
<td>NC 2300 kg/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The levels are expressed as NO₂ and relate to dry gas and Nm³

Table 4.57: NOX emission data from nitration and recovery of spent acids

Recovery of HNO₃ is carried out by absorption cascades. The absorption efficiency depends on the absorption pressure, the number of stages, and the temperature. The temperature of the gas between stages is especially important because it governs the progress of oxidation, which is the limiting parameter for the entire absorption process [6, Ullmann, 2001]. Hence, the highest efficiency is achieved by application of scrubbing with H₂O₂ in the last absorption stage (see also Section 4.3.5.1).

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Lower NOX emissions, and efficient recovery.

**Cross-media effects**

Water consumption, energy and chemicals consumption.

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*

**Applicability**

Generally applicable.
Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Lower emission levels and efficient recovery

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 4.57.
4.3.5.20 Scrubbing of NH$_3$ from exhaust gases and related emission levels

Description

Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.60 show NH$_3$ emission values (concentrations and mass flows) from point sources (vertical bars represent maximum and minimum values). The presented data are taken from Table 3.1 and Table 3.2. The obtained data show the following characteristics:

- emission via one or more scrubbers with different scrubbing media (e.g. H$_2$O, acid)
- all emission concentrations for NH$_3$ are <10 mg/m$^3$
- all emission mass flows for NH$_3$ are <0.11 kg/h.

![Figure 4.59: Concentration values for NH$_3$ emissions from point sources](image1)

![Figure 4.60: Mass flow values for NH$_3$ emissions from point sources](image2)

The expression “point source” relates to one reported set of emission data. It is possible, that several data sets belong to the same plant or even the same emission point within the plant, but reflect different operational conditions; vertical bars are the maximum and minimum values.

Achieved environmental benefits

Removal of NH$_3$ from exhaust gases, and lower emission levels.
Cross-media effects

Water and chemical consumption.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable. Scrubbers are standard equipment.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

NH$_3$ emission levels.

References to literature and example plants

All reference plants apply scrubbing.
4.3.5.21 Scrubbing of SOx from exhaust gases and related emission levels

Description

Figure 4.61 and Figure 4.62 show SOx emission levels (concentrations and mass flows) from point sources. The presented data are taken from Table 3.1 and Table 3.2. The obtained data show the following characteristics:

- emission via one or more scrubbers with different scrubbing media (e.g. H2O, NaOH)
- only concentration values <15 mg/m³ are reported
- only mass flows below 0.4 kg/hour are reported
- high mass flows belong to high concentration levels
- the outlier of 82 mg/m³ *001A,I(1)* was left out of the diagram as the source was changed.

Figure 4.61: Concentration values for SOx emissions from point sources

Figure 4.62: Mass flow values for SOx emissions from point sources

The expression “point source” relates to one reported set of emission data. It is possible, that several data sets belong to the same plant or even the same emission point within the plant, but reflect different operational conditions; vertical bars are the maximum and minimum values.
Achieved environmental benefits

Removal of SO\textsubscript{X} from exhaust gases, and lower emission levels.

Cross-media effects

Water and chemical consumption.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable. Scrubbers are standard equipment.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

SO\textsubscript{X} emission levels.

References to literature and example plants

All reference plants apply scrubbing.
4.3.5.22 Particulate removal from exhaust gases

Figure 4.63 and Figure 4.64 show particulate emission levels (concentrations and mass flows) from point sources. The presented data are taken from Table 3.1 and Table 3.2. The obtained data show the following characteristics:

- recovery/abatement by application of tube filters, bag filters, fabric filters, cyclones and/or within the main abatement systems such as thermal oxidation with optional Wet Electrostatic Precipitator (WESP) or scrubbing
- where particulates are emitted from a thermal oxidiser/incinerator it is presumed that the particulates consist of mineralised substances
- reported concentrations range from 0.05 to 5 mg/m³. The outlier of 13 mg/m³ is emitted from a thermal oxidiser without WESP
- reported mass flows range from <0.001 to 0.2 kg/hour
- the highest mass flow (0.2 kg/hour) belongs to the lowest concentration value (0.05 mg/m³).

![Figure 4.63: Concentration values for particulate emissions from point sources](image)

![Figure 4.64: Mass flow values for particulate emissions from point sources](image)
4.3.6 Destruction of free cyanides

4.3.6.1 Destruction of free cyanides with NaOCl

Description

Due to toxic effects, cyanides are removed from rich and lean exhaust gas/waste water streams using scrubbing, pH adjustment and oxidative destruction as shown in Figure 4.65 for unit 1 on the *023A,I* site. The setup for unit 2 omits a second scrubber and a buffer tank. In a final step, CNO⁻ is transformed with soda into CO₂ and N₂.

![Figure 4.65: Destruction of cyanides](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- removal of HCN/CN⁻ from exhaust gases and waste water streams
- achieved emission values (Table 4.58):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Mass flow</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Exhaust gas</td>
<td>1.0 mg/m³</td>
<td>Below detection limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>waste water streams</td>
<td>Below detection limit</td>
<td><em>023A,I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exhaust gas</td>
<td>0.9 mg/m³</td>
<td>3.2 g/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>waste water streams</td>
<td>Below detection limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.58: Achieved emission values after destruction of cyanides
Cross-media effects

- consumption of treatment chemicals
- energy consumption
- increased salt loads in waste waters
- potential for formation of AOX.

Operational data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unit 1</th>
<th>Unit 2</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN rich gas</td>
<td>50 m³/hour</td>
<td>2000 m³/hour</td>
<td><em>023A,I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 kg/hour HCN</td>
<td>40 kg/hour HCN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>320 g/m³ HCN</td>
<td>20 g/m³ HCN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN lean gas</td>
<td>3500 m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>traces of HCN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>85 kg NaCN/batch</td>
<td>30 kg NaCN/batch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall efficiencies</td>
<td>99.99 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.59: Operational data for the destruction of cyanides

Applicability

Generally applicable. Where cyanides occur in waste water streams with high COD loads, principally the oxidative pretreatment options for the elimination of organic loadings are also applicable for cyanide destruction (e.g. wet oxidation with O₂, see also Section 4.3.7.4).

Other organic compounds may interfere, e.g. alcohols [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Alternatively, organic cyanides can be destroyed with HCHO [62, D1 comments, 2004].

A similar setup is used for phosgene removal with the following achieved level for emission to air (*024A,I*):

- <0.04 mg/m³ at a mass flow of <0.5 g/hour.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Removal of toxic compounds from waste gases and waste waters

References to literature and example plants

*023A,I*, *024A,I*
4.3.6.2 Destruction of free cyanides with $H_2O_2$

Description

Due to toxic effects, cyanides are removed from an air stream using a scrubber with NaOH solution. The solution is circulated between a buffer vessel and the washer. The solution is sampled regularly and exchanged if the content of free OH$^-$ is too low for the absorption of HCN from the air stream.

The cyanide solution is then reconditioned with other CN$^-$ rich waste water streams to substitute raw materials. A CN$^-$ low waste water stream leaves the reconditioning. The remaining cyanide is destroyed together with CN$^-$ low waste water streams using pH adjustment and oxidative destruction with $H_2O_2$.

Achieved environmental benefits

- removal of HCN/CN$^-$ from exhaust gases
- achieved emission values: see Table 4.60
- re-use of waste water streams
- no AOX formation
- no contaminated solid residues.

Cross-media effects

- energy and chemical consumption
- safety aspects from $H_2O_2$ use.

Operational data

For treatment capacity and efficiency see Table 4.61.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Where cyanides occur in waste water streams with high COD loads, principally the oxidative pretreatment options for the elimination of organic loadings are also applicable for cyanide destruction (e.g. wet oxidation with $O_2$, see also Section 4.3.7.4).

---

Figure 4.66: Destruction of cyanides with $H_2O_2$
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Alternative examples:

On the *097I* site, waste water streams containing cyanides up to 50000 mg/l are treated by hydrolysis (e.g. heating to 180 °C) to achieve levels <1 mg/l. Waste water streams with a lower cyanide content (up to 5000 mg/l) are treated with H₂O₂ to achieve levels <0.03 mg/l.

On the *036L* site, process effluents containing cyanides are treated with alkali (pH = 11) and reaction with formaldehyde. The resulting cyanhydrine is fed to an adapted biological WWTP and is degradable. If monitoring shows free CN >30 mg/l, the pretreatment is repeated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Mass flow</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust gas</td>
<td>1 mg/m³</td>
<td>3 g/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water streams</td>
<td>1.1 mg/l</td>
<td>2.3 g/hour [62, D1 comments, 2004]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.60: Achieved emission values after the destruction of cyanides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment capacity</th>
<th>Gas stream</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>waste water with high CN⁻ concentration</td>
<td>3400 m³/hour 50 mg/m³ HCN</td>
<td>[62, D1 comments, 2004]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>waste water with low cyanide content</td>
<td>1 m³/hour 5 % CN⁻</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>waste water with high CN⁻ concentration</td>
<td>15 m³/hour 700 mg/m³ HCN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.61: Operational data for the destruction of cyanides with H₂O₂

Economics

Cost reduction for waste water treatment.

Driving force for implementation

Removal of toxic compounds from exhaust gases and waste water streams

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004]
### 4.3.7 Management and treatment of waste water streams

#### 4.3.7.1 Pretreatment of waste water streams by separation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Distillation</th>
<th>Stripping</th>
<th>Adsorption</th>
<th>Extraction</th>
<th>Membrane processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Removal of a low boiling portion from the waste water stream by fractionated distillation</td>
<td>Removal of a volatile compound from the waste water stream with an inert stripping gas followed by condensation or incineration</td>
<td>Removal of components from the waste water stream by adsorption to activated carbon. Desorption of the loaded activated carbon with hot steam or by burning</td>
<td>Removal of components with an extraction solvent that is insoluble in water. The difference in density leads to phase separation, followed by: (1) incineration of the extraction solvent containing the removed component (2) evaporation of the solvent and incineration of the residue (3) biological treatment of the water phase</td>
<td>The target component is retained by semi-permeable membrane (reverse osmosis or nanofiltration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of volatile organic compounds and high or refractory AOX or COD loads before biological treatment, material recovery possible</td>
<td>Particularly removal of volatile chlorinated compounds before biological treatment of the waste water stream, material recovery possible</td>
<td>Effective removal of phenols, halogenated compounds (AOX) before biological treatment. Removal efficiency [15, Köppke, 2000]: phenols: $&gt;92%$ AOX: $&gt;91%$</td>
<td>Removal of high or refractory AOX or COD loads before biological treatment</td>
<td>Increasing of concentration or desalting of waste water streams with high or refractory COD or AOX loads before further treatment (usually incineration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depends on the separation task</td>
<td>Depends on the separation task</td>
<td>Desorption of the loaded activated carbon on- or off-site</td>
<td>Examples of solvents are light crude oil, toluene, pentane, hexane</td>
<td>Depends on the separation task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High energy consumption if overhead distillation of larger amounts of water is necessary to reach target concentrations</td>
<td>Cross-media effects depend on the treatment of the stripping gas (e.g. condensation, incineration)</td>
<td>Disposal options have to be considered for loaded activated carbon or desorbed material</td>
<td>Depending on the treatment of the extraction solvent (e.g. incineration or evaporation/incineration)</td>
<td>Depending on the treatment of a resulting concentrated waste water stream</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distillation</td>
<td>Stripping</td>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Extraction</td>
<td>Membrane processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicability</strong></td>
<td>Suitable for volatile compounds, not suitable if larger amounts of water have to be distilled overhead</td>
<td>Restricted to volatile compounds</td>
<td>Other contaminants are adsorbed as well, and thus reduce effectiveness, therefore also used as polishing step after biological treatment</td>
<td>Not suitable with low contaminant concentrations</td>
<td>Suitable for increasing the concentration and desalting Low selectivity for AOX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Economics**        | **Example: up-concentration before incineration (1995)**  
organic load: 25 wt-%  
flowrate: 15 tonnes/d  
elimination of 5 % load and of 78 vol-% by distillation to lead to reduction of overall costs by 10 % |  
**Example: intermediate for a herbicide (1995)**  
flowrate: 4 m³/h  
concentration: 70 mg AOX/l  
reduction to <1 mg AOX/l |  
EUR 120 (DEM 230)/kg AOX  
including the following catalytic oxidation |  
EUR 750 (DEM 1400)/kg AOX |  
Costs depend on the price for the solvent and a possible use of the caloric value  
**Example: extraction of chloroaromatics with i-decanol:**  
Flowrate: 20 m³/h;  
AOX: 150 – 1500 mg/l  
Costs including evaporation, incineration of residues and biological treatment:  
EUR 5.75 (DEM 11.24)/m³ (2000) |  
Lower energy costs compared to thermal methods |
| **Driving force**    | Relief of the biological treatment stage from COD/AOX loads with low biodegradability or inhibiting/toxic effects |  |  |  |  |
| **References**       | Too many references to list them |  |  |  |  |

[33, DEHEMA, 1995]; [31, European Commission, 2003]; [15, Köppke, 2000] and references within
### 4.3.7.2 Pretreatment of waste water streams by oxidation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Waste water incineration</th>
<th>Chemical oxidation</th>
<th>Wet oxidation in acidic medium</th>
<th>Low pressure wet oxidation</th>
<th>Wet oxidation in alkali medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waste water incineration</strong></td>
<td>Total oxidation of the organic load by incineration together with a support fuel. Auto-thermal conditions are possible above 50 – 100 g/l COD</td>
<td>Total or partial oxidation of organic contaminants with ozone or H₂O₂ supported by UV light or catalysts (e.g. Fenton’s agent)</td>
<td>Oxidation of organic contaminants with air, usually followed by biological treatment</td>
<td>Partial oxidation of organic contaminants with air or pure O₂ and catalyst, usually followed by biological treatment</td>
<td>Oxidation of organic contaminants with O₂ or air, usually followed by biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achieved environmental benefits</strong></td>
<td>Total removal of low-degradable or toxic organic load</td>
<td>Removal of low-degradable or toxic organic load or enhancement of biodegradability</td>
<td>Removal of low-degradable or toxic organic load</td>
<td>Enhance biodegradability of organic load: COD elimination 80 % AOX elimination 90 %</td>
<td>Removal of low-degradable or toxic organic load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational data</strong></td>
<td>1200 °C if halogenated compounds are burned</td>
<td>For an example, see Section 4.3.8.2</td>
<td>• temperature: 175 – 325 °C • pressure: 20 – 200 bar • acidic medium</td>
<td>Bubble column: Temperature: 120 – 220 °C Pressure: 3 – 25 bar catalyst: Fe salts, chinones</td>
<td>Temperature: 250 – 320 °C Pressure: 100 – 150 bar Alkali medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cross-media effects</strong></td>
<td>• energy consumption if not auto-thermal • possible requirement for flue-gas treatment</td>
<td>• consumption of chemicals • loss of Fe to waste water • energy consumption</td>
<td>• energy consumption • potential to form dioxins</td>
<td>• energy consumption • potential to form dioxins</td>
<td>• energy consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicability</strong></td>
<td>Suitable (auto-thermal) with CODs above 50 – 100 g/l, alternatively preceded by a step to increase the concentration or carried out with support fuel</td>
<td>High salt contents may require a desalting step (membrane or extraction), corrosion can be a problem</td>
<td>Input: Chloride content up to 5 % COD &gt;10000 mg/l</td>
<td>No corrosion problems occur up to a salt content of 8.5 % w/w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Achieved environmental benefits**
- Total removal of low-degradable or toxic organic load

**Operational data**
- 1200 °C if halogenated compounds are burned
- Temperature: 175 – 325 °C
- Pressure: 20 – 200 bar
- Acidic medium

**Cross-media effects**
- Energy consumption if not auto-thermal
- Possible requirement for flue-gas treatment
- Consumption of chemicals
- Loss of Fe to waste water
- Energy consumption

**Applicability**
- Suitable (auto-thermal) with CODs above 50 – 100 g/l, alternatively preceded by a step to increase the concentration or carried out with support fuel
- High salt contents may require a desalting step (membrane or extraction), corrosion can be a problem
- Input: Chloride content up to 5 % COD >10000 mg/l
- No corrosion problems occur up to a salt content of 8.5 % w/w
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water incineration</th>
<th>Chemical oxidation</th>
<th>Wet oxidation in acidic medium</th>
<th>Low pressure wet oxidation</th>
<th>Wet oxidation in alkali medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Typical costs:</strong> EUR 530 (DEM 1000)/t waste water (1995)</td>
<td>Example for total oxidation (1995): COD/AAOX ratio: 20:1 H₂O₂ consumption: 2.125 kg/kg COD 42.5 kg/kg AOX at EUR 1.1 (DEM 2)/kg H₂O₂ (100 %)</td>
<td>Special materials of construction, e.g. titanium can result in high costs [62, D1 comments, 2004]</td>
<td>In comparison with wet oxidation lower costs due to simpler material requirements</td>
<td>EUR 0.6 – 0.7/kg COD, including depreciation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Economics**

**Comparison of combinations (1995)**

| 40 tonnes waste water/day | | | | |
| Distillation + incineration EUR 67 (DEM 125)/m³ | Partial oxidation + biological treatment EUR 41 (DEM 76)/m³ |

**Driving force**

Relief of the biological treatment stage from particular COD/AAOX loads with low biodegradability or inhibiting/toxic effects

**References**

- [90, 3V Green Eagle, 2004]; [92, Collivignarelli, 1999]; [33, DECHEMA, 1995]; [39, Bayer Technology Services, 2003]; [31, European Commission, 2003]; [15, Köppke, 2000], and references within
4.3.7.3 Pretreatment options for waste water streams on an OFC plant

Description

*010A,B,D,I,X* established various pretreatment options to deal with different types of waste water streams. Figure 4.67 gives an overview. The standard options include biological treatment, activated carbon adsorption and waste water incineration. Additional steps are applied if the waste stream contains cyanides (treatment with H$_2$O$_2$) or heavy metals (precipitation/filtration). Typical examples for the assignment of waste water streams are given in Table 4.62 (the decisive parameter/cause is highlighted).

![Figure 4.67: Pretreatment/treatment options established by *010A,B,D,I,X*](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Pre)treatment options</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Before (pre)treatment</th>
<th>After (pre)treatment</th>
<th>Pretreated volume</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological treatment</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4 m$^3$/day</td>
<td>99 % elimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon adsorption</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>21630</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>20 m$^3$/day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>12600</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11 m$^3$/day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide treatment</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>28700</td>
<td>24200</td>
<td>16 m$^3$/day</td>
<td>Health and safety aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>11450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation/filtration</td>
<td>CN$^-$</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.62: Typical examples for the assignment of waste water streams to treatment options (The decisive parameter/cause is highlighted)
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Achieved environmental benefits

- the established pretreatment/treatment options enable the operator to handle waste water streams according to the properties
- relief of the biological WWTP.

Cross-media effects

Energy and chemical consumption of the applied techniques.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable. Various other combinations of treatment options are successfully applied on OFC sites.

Economics

Economics of the applied treatment options. High investment costs were avoided by off-site solutions, which also enables a future change of strategy.

Driving force for implementation

- adequate treatment of waste water streams
- relief of the biological WWTP.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *010A,B,D,I,X*
4.3.7.4 Joint pretreatment of waste water streams by wet oxidation with $O_2$

Description

*088LX* operates a waste water treatment platform offering the options of wet oxidation of concentrated waste water streams, biological treatment and wet oxidation of sludges (see Figure 4.68). Where necessary, solvents can be removed from individual waste water streams to enable wet oxidation. Blending enables a wide range of input and the wet oxidation runs autothermally in normal operation. The wet oxidation of waste water streams eliminates about 80% of the COD load with the remaining COD load being highly biologically degradable (organic compounds with low molecular weight). The conversion of critical compounds (e.g. active ingredients) is very high (usually >99%). Finally, all waste waters are treated in the biological WWTP. Heavy metals are separated after wet oxidation as metal oxides. Overall (wet oxidation and biological treatment), an average COD elimination of >99% is achieved. The sludges from the biological treatment are also treated by wet oxidation, but under less drastic conditions (lower water content). The effluents from the wet oxidation of the sludges are fed back to the biology.

Table 4.63 gives selected examples for waste water streams pretreated by wet oxidation.

![Figure 4.68: Joint pretreatment by wet oxidation with $O_2$](image-url)
The main criteria when considering a waste water stream for wet oxidation are:

- the waste water stream contains active ingredients from API or biocide/plant health product manufacture
- the waste water stream inhibits nitrification in the biological WWTP (inhibition is seen as problematic from 20 % on)
- the waste water stream contains poorly degradable organic load
- the waste water stream contains high COD load. Independent of the biodegradability, high COD are more efficiently (and more cost effective) treated by wet oxidation
- the waste water stream contains heavy metals
- the waste water stream contains cyanides. Cyanides do not represent a problem since the wet oxidation is operated at pH 12 – 13.

Figure 4.69 shows examples of the assessment of waste water streams and the decisions made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original sample</th>
<th>Wet oxidation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Yellow wash-waters from biocide production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitratated and chlorinated benzo trifluorides</th>
<th>9700</th>
<th>&lt;15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>23600</td>
<td>21991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>7090</td>
<td>4727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Antibiotic production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COD</th>
<th>70388</th>
<th>32214</th>
<th>3856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>2642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅/COD</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kjeldahl N</td>
<td>39060</td>
<td>32970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>16160</td>
<td>4556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Antibiotics production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COD</th>
<th>1570</th>
<th>1486</th>
<th>191</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅/COD</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**API production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COD</th>
<th>54000</th>
<th>25700</th>
<th>6000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅/COD</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanides</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorides</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>42500</td>
<td>42500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.63: Examples and results for waste water streams treated by wet oxidation with O₂
Achieved environmental benefits

- highly efficient combination of pretreatment and biological treatment of waste water streams
- highly efficient elimination of active ingredients, poorly degradable organic loads, heavy metals, AOX and CHCs
- the sludge problem is solved as well.

Cross-media effects

- O₂ consumption
- chemicals for pH adjustment
- energy for pumping.

Operational data

Table 4.64 gives an overview to the operational data of the wet oxidation of waste water streams.

Applicability

The setup is very flexible and provides a pretreatment option for a wide range of waste water streams. The layout for the single unit is possible from 2 to 25 m³/hour. The relatively high investment costs are better justified for larger multipurpose sites or as, in this example, joint pretreatment. The low treatment costs make wet oxidation with O₂ an attractive alternative to biological treatment of waste water streams containing high COD loads. No corrosion problems occur up to a salt content of 8.5 % w/w.

Other examples where wet oxidation with O₂ is applied or planned:

- *042A,I* see Section 4.3.7.11
- *102X* joint treatment platform in construction.
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Organic Fine Chemicals

### Wet oxidation with O₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input criteria</th>
<th>COD</th>
<th>10000 – 150000 mg/l</th>
<th>Additionally, blending is possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average input COD</td>
<td>40000 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compounds (solvents)</td>
<td>Up to 2000 mg/l</td>
<td>Stripping is offered as an option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride, bromide</td>
<td>Up to 85000 mg/l</td>
<td>Additionally, blending is possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process conditions</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Continuous, autothermal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>18 m³/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>12 – 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>About 300 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>About 100 – 150 bar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stripped solvents</th>
<th>External disposal</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Off gas</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>60 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Scrubbing, thermal oxidation with energy recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Average COD removal</th>
<th>80 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average biodegradability</td>
<td>&gt;95 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.64: Operational data for the wet oxidation with O₂ on the *088I,X* site

### Economics

- high investment cost
- low operating costs
- wet oxidation: EUR 0.20 – 0.25/kg COD.

### Driving force for implementation

Need for an efficient pretreatment in addition to biological treatment.

### References to literature and example plants

[90, 3V Green Eagle, 2004], [91, Serr, 2004], [92, Collivignarelli, 1999], *088I,X*, *087I*
4.3.7.5  Pretreatment on production sites for biocides/plant health products

Description

The production of biocides and plant health products can result in high concentrations of active ingredients in discharged waste waters and high toxicity values for fish and daphnia (see Section 4.3.8.18). Residual measured daily loads after final treatment have been reported for *047B* to vary between 5 and 500 g/d per substance whereas other active ingredients produced were below the detection limit. Waste waters from product formulation can cause similar impact on biological WWTPs, even if such waste waters are disposed of via waste disposal companies and are discharged from there after treatment. Therefore, waste waters from the production of biocides/plant health products are currently pretreated especially by means of stripping, extraction, pressure hydrolysis, wet oxidation or activated carbon adsorption. This is illustrated by the examples given in Table 4.66.

Achieved environmental benefits

- protection of biological WWTPs
- protection of receiving waters from toxic effects and persistent substances.

Cross-media effects

Consumption of energy and chemicals for pretreatment.

Operational data

Table 4.65 give operational and performance data for the ozonolysis stage:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ozonolysis</th>
<th>2.5 m³/hour, 15000 m³/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>50 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradability</td>
<td>60 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>More or less unchanged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.65: Operational data and performance of the ozonolysis

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics


Driving force for implementation

Stipulations concerning AOX in effluents.

References to literature and example plants

[53, UBA, 2004], [67, UBA, 2004], *047B*
### Pretreatment of waste water streams from the production of biocides/plant health products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production of</th>
<th>Unit processes</th>
<th>Waste stream</th>
<th>Extraction</th>
<th>Stripping</th>
<th>Ozonolysis</th>
<th>Wet oxidation</th>
<th>Activated carbon adsorption</th>
<th>Incineration</th>
<th>Precipitation/filtration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Phosphorus acid esters</td>
<td>All waste water streams</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incineration of the extract, extraction with the same solvent as used for the reaction, final biological treatment on-site and off-site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 An intermediate for an insecticide</td>
<td>All waste water streams</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Followed by on-site biological treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 An insecticide</td>
<td>All waste water streams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 A plant protection agent</td>
<td>Esterification, coupling, rearrangement</td>
<td>Mother liquor: 28 m³ COD 18.5 g/l (500 kg/day) AOX 1.4 g/l (40 kg/day)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mother liquor contains cyanide, and pretreatment is followed by biological treatment. Overall elimination 93 % for COD and AOX. Solvent recovery by two step distillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 A plant health product</td>
<td>Substitution</td>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Followed by biological treatment, and incineration of the precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 A plant health product</td>
<td>Dehydratisation, ring closure</td>
<td>Mother liquor from phase separation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Followed by biological treatment, and multistage extraction with partial recovery of the extracted active ingredient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 A urea herbicide</td>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>Excess mother liquor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Most of the mother liquor is recycled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 A herbicide</td>
<td>Addition, substitution</td>
<td>Rainwater if AOX &gt;5 mg/l or herbicide &gt;10 μg/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.66: Pretreatment of waste water streams from the production of biocides/plant health products
4.3.7.6 **Management of waste water streams (1)**

**Description**

The decision whether a particular waste water stream can be forwarded directly to the WWTP is a crucial issue in a multipurpose site. The important criteria are the toxic effects on the activated sludge and the bioeliminability of the organic load.

![Waste water management flowchart](Image)

**Figure 4.70: Management of waste water streams on the reference plants**

**Achieved environmental benefits**

- important decision criteria for managing the waste water streams of an API site
- to ensure high operational stability of the biological WWTP
- avoidance of non-degradable input to WWTP
- achievable overall COD elimination of >95 % (yearly average 2003)
- to achieve BOD elimination of 99.8 % (yearly average).

**Cross-media effects**

Energy consumption for incineration.

**Operational data**

Degradability determined by Zahn-Wellens test.

**Applicability**

Generally applicable. Incineration is not the only pretreatment option. For other examples see Section 4.3.7.1 and 4.3.7.2.
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Economics

Storage, costs for increasing the concentration and incineration.

Driving force for implementation

- stability of the WWTP
- ELV for release of organic load from WWTP.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.7.7 Management of waste water streams (2)

Description

The decision whether a particular waste water stream can be forwarded directly to the WWTP is a crucial issue in a multipurpose site. The important criteria are the toxic effects on the activated sludge and the refractory portion of the organic load (ROC). As a standard, \*068B.D,I* considers all waste water streams with a bioeliminability of <80 % for pretreatment.

Other criteria are:

- inhibition of bacteria
- prioritary substances
- carcinogenic substances
- heavy metals.

The following pretreatment options are available on-site:

- flocculation, filtration/chemical oxidation
- destruction of heavy metal complexes
- precipitation, filtration for heavy metals.

Figure 4.71 shows the decisions made in the reference plant. Exceptions above 80 % bioeliminability occur in cases where one of the other criteria is applicable, leading to pretreatment. Exceptions below 80 % bioeliminability occur where chemical oxidation does not lead to sufficient results (TOC elimination or enhanced biodegradability).

![Figure 4.71: Decision made in the reference plant](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- important decision criteria for managing the waste water streams of an OFC site
- to ensure high operational stability of the biological WWTP
- elimination of low degradable TOC before biological treatment
- enhanced biodegradability of the organic input to WWTP.

Table 4.67 shows the effect of the pretreatment on the bioeliminability of the organic load in the total effluent.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Biodegradability of waste water streams selected for pretreatment</th>
<th>Bioeliminability of the total effluent before biological treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before pretreatment</td>
<td>After pretreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>60 %</td>
<td>81 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>64 %</td>
<td>78 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.67: Degradability/eliminability of a total effluent after pretreatment of individual waste water streams

Cross-media effects

Chemicals and energy consumption for pretreatment.

Operational data

Biodegradability of waste water streams is determined by an OECD screening test, bioeliminability of the total effluent before biological treatment is determined by inherent biodegradability testing (see also Section 2.4.2.4 and 4.3.1.3).

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Costs for pretreatment.

Driving force for implementation

- stability of the WWTP
- ELV for the bioeliminability of the organic input to the WWTP.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *068B,D,I*
4.3.7.8 Management of waste water streams (3)

Description

The decision whether a particular waste water streams can be forwarded directly to the WWTP is a crucial issue in a multipurpose site. The important criteria are the toxic effects on the activated sludge and especially the refractory portion of the organic load. As a standard, *0089A,I* considers all waste water streams with a bioeliminability <90 % from Zahn-Wellens test for disposal.

The lower refractory load in the effluent enables the plant to operate a final activated carbon filter efficiently as an AOX guard.

Achieved environmental benefits

- important decision criteria for managing the waste water streams of an OFC site
- to ensure high operational stability of the biological WWTP
- elimination of low degradable TOC before biological treatment.

Cross-media effects

Cross-media effects of the disposal technique.

Operational data

Degradability of waste water streams determined by a Zahn-Wellens test.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Costs for disposal.

Driving force for implementation

- stability of the WWTP
- lower emissions of refractory organic load.

References to literature and example plants

*068B,D,I*
4.3.7.9 Waste water streams for obligatory pretreatment or disposal

Description

In some cases, due to their characteristic properties (e.g. high toxicity) certain mother liquors (see Table 4.68) should not be treated in biological WWTPs and have to be pretreated (recovery or abatement) or disposed of (incineration).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water streams</th>
<th>Critical parameters</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process waters, condensates and regenerates from manufacture of active ingredients showing toxicity to bacteria</td>
<td>Toxicity to bacteria, jeopardising or reducing the functionality of biological WWTP</td>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water streams from production or formulation of active ingredients</td>
<td>Remaining toxicity levels after biological WWTP, expressed e.g. as LIDF, LIDD, LIDA</td>
<td>[62, D1 comments, 2004]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste acids, e.g. from sulphonations, nitrations</td>
<td>Usually high COD load with low bioeliminability</td>
<td>[15, Köppke, 2000], <em>026E</em>, <em>044E</em>, <em>045E</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.68: Waste water streams for obligatory pretreatment or disposal

Achieved environmental benefits

- relief of the biological WWTP from toxic/inhibiting/low degradable input
- lower AOX loadings in effluents
- lower toxicity in effluents
- avoidance of neutralisation of highly acidic portions.

Cross-media effects

Depends on the pretreatment technique.

Operational data

Depends on the pretreatment technique.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Higher costs for pretreatment or disposal.
Driving force for implementation

Recovery of raw material or product. Reduction of waste water treatment costs.

References to literature and example plants

See Table 4.68.
4.3.7.10 Refractory organic loadings (1)

**Description**

The decision whether a particular waste water stream should be pretreated or forwarded directly to the biological WWTP is a crucial issue in a multipurpose site. The important criterion is the organic load caused by a process and the refractory portion of it, as this passes a biological treatment unchanged:

\[
\text{refractory load} = \text{organic load} \times (100 - \text{elimination} \%) \]

*Refractory TOC loads higher than about 40 kg per batch (or day) are treated by oxidation (or methods which reach a comparable performance) upstream of a biological WWTP.*

For illustration, see the example given in Table 4.69:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example: Oxidative coupling of two intermediates</th>
<th>Precipitation, filtration, product washing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOC load/batch</td>
<td>Elimination (Zahn-Wellens)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>180 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water</td>
<td>50 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.69: Example of the assessment of the refractory organic load from a process

Figure 4.72 shows the decisions made in the reference plants.

![Figure 4.72: Decisions made in the reference plants](image)

**Achieved environmental benefits**

- important decision criterion for managing the waste water streams of a multipurpose site
- a tool that enables operators and authorities to prioritise and focus on waste water streams with a high reduction potential
- effective strategy, even for sites with difficult product mixes
- reduction of the emission of refractory organic loads, e.g. 98 % removal of refractory load in segregated waste water streams in the case of *015D,I,O,B*. 
Cross-media effects

For cross-media effects, see the text on each particular pretreatment technique.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Particularly applicable in cases where a product mix with many poorly degradable waste water streams requires the setting of priorities, assuming that it is not possible to pretreat all the waste water streams.

In particular cases, technical restrictions may prevent pretreatment [62, D1 comments, 2004]. For example, a mother liquor from the production of an intermediate for a dyestuff shows the following refractory TOC load (see also example 3 in Table 4.34):

- 70 to 90 kg (10 – 30 % elimination after according to Zahn-Wellens test).

Despite these values, the mother liquor is treated in the biological WWTP only. Pretreatment is not applied, because:

- on-site wet oxidation is not possible due to oily precipitates in the up-concentration stage
- incineration appeared inefficient due to the actual TOC concentration
- the disposal as waste acid is not possible due to the concentration of H₂SO₄ of 20 – 25 % and the actual TOC concentration.

Economics

Additional costs from applying a particular pretreatment technique, although some benefits do result. Establishing pretreatment options for waste water streams occurring only occasionally (rare batch production) is economically not viable. In the case of *015D,I,O,B*, waste water streams are only considered for pretreatment if the refractory load exceeds 2 tonnes TOC per year [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Driving force for implementation

There is a need for defining a cut-off criterion.

References to literature and example plants

*014V,I*, *015D,I,O,B*
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4.3.7.11 Refractory organic loadings (2)

Description

Due to the lower costs and a payback time of about 5 – 6 years, *042A,I* considers the step-by-step replacement of off-site waste water incineration by on-site wet oxidation. Candidates for such pretreatment are high loaded or toxic waste water streams.

As a basis for planning, typical waste water streams (until now considered for waste water incineration) with the following properties are used:

- TOC: 15 g/l or higher
- Volume: 20 m³.

Achieved environmental benefits

- important decision criterion for managing the waste water streams of a multipurpose site
- tool that enables operators and authorities to prioritise and focus on waste water streams with a high reduction potential
- effective strategy, even for sites with difficult product mixes
- reduction of the emission of refractory organic loads.

Cross-media effects

For cross-media effects, see the text on each particular pretreatment technique.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Additional costs from applying a particular pretreatment technique, although some benefits do result.

Driving force for implementation

There is a need to find a cut-off criterion.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *042A,I*
4.3.7.12 Refractory organic loadings (3)

Description

*082A,I* considers waste water incineration as a technique to relieve the biological WWTP from waste water streams containing high refractory loadings according to the following criteria:

- bioeliminability <80 %
- refractory loading (TOC): 7.5 – 28 kg or higher.

Achieved environmental benefits

- important decision criteria for managing the waste water streams of a multipurpose site
- a tool that enables operators and authorities to prioritise and focus on waste water streams with a high reduction potential
- effective strategy, even for sites with difficult product mixes
- reduction of the emission of refractory organic loads.

Cross-media effects

For cross-media effects, see the text on each particular pretreatment technique.

Operational data

_No information provided._

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Additional costs from applying a particular pretreatment technique, although some benefits do result.

Driving force for implementation

There is a need to find a cut-off criterion.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *082A,I*
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4.3.7.13 Refractory organic loadings (4)

Description

*001A,I* considers waste water incineration as a technique to relieve the biological WWTP from waste water streams containing high refractory loadings according to the following criteria:

- Bioeliminability >80 %
- Refractory loading (TOC): <40 kg.

Figure 4.73 shows the decisions made at the *001A,I* site (2002). The exception from the rule is a mother liquor with a refractory TOC load of about 95 kg/batch but high bioeliminability.

Figure 4.73: Decisions made in the reference plant
Note: only the data for mother liquors/wash-waters treated biologically were provided, process waters with a higher refractory organic load are considered for incineration

Achieved environmental benefits

- Important decision criteria for managing the waste water streams of a multipurpose site
- A tool that enables operators and authorities to prioritise and focus on waste water streams with a high reduction potential
- Effective strategy, even for sites with difficult product mixes
- Reduction of the emission of refractory organic loads.

Cross-media effects

For cross-media effects see the text on each particular pretreatment technique.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.
Economics

Additional costs from applying a particular pretreatment technique, although some benefits do result.

Driving force for implementation

There is a need to find a cut-off criterion.

References to literature and example plants

*001A,I*
4.3.7.14 AOX elimination from waste water streams (1)

Description

AOX is a well established screening parameter for the assessment of halogenated organic compounds in an aqueous solution and is a basis for the development of improvement strategies. The main sources for waste water streams with relevant AOX load are processes/operations involving:

- halogenated solvents
- halogenated intermediates, products and by-products.

Elimination of AOX from such waste water streams can be carried out principally by the application of pretreatment techniques for refractory organic loads or by specific treatment techniques. Table 4.70 shows the example from *014V,I*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples for AOX loaded streams</th>
<th>WWTP</th>
<th>AOX input</th>
<th>AOX discharged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low pressure wet oxidation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120 mg/l AOX</td>
<td>2710 kg</td>
<td>339 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 m³/day</td>
<td>1.1 mg/l</td>
<td>0.13 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 mg/l AOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 m³/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysis with NaOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145 mg/l AOX</td>
<td>2003: 0.9 mg/l</td>
<td>2003: 0.11 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 m³/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130 mg/l AOX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 m³/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.70: AOX elimination and resulting releases after biological waste water treatment

**Achieved environmental benefits**

- relief of the biological WWTP
- less halogenated organic compounds are emitted.

Other examples of resulting input and emission levels into and out of biological WWTPs are given in Table 4.71 and illustrated in Figure 4.74.

**Cross-media effects**

Energy and chemicals consumption for the applied treatment techniques.

**Operational data**

*No information provided.*

**Applicability**

Generally applicable. Hydrolysis is only effective with side-chain halogenated organic compounds [99, D2 comments, 2005].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOX yearly average mg/l</th>
<th>Biological WWTP</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central activated carbon facility</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripping of C1-CHC, distillation of waste water streams</td>
<td>14(^{a})</td>
<td>0.9(^{a})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distillation of waste water streams</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet oxidation with H(_2)O(_2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripping of waste water streams with high concentrations of purgeable AOX</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High pressure wet oxidation, adsorption/extraction</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripping of CHC, adsorption to activated carbon, incineration</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption to activated carbon</td>
<td>4 – 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical oxidation</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of stripping, rectification, extraction to remove CHC from waste water streams</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasionally activated carbon addition to activated sludge, segregation and disposal (incineration)</td>
<td>0.8(^{b})</td>
<td>0.3(^{b})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) The highest value (14 mg/l) as input is due to exceptionally well degradable waste water streams from production of acetaldehyde (93.4 %)

\(^{b}\) Different analytical method, result expressed as EOX

Table 4.71: Other examples for AOX elimination

![Figure 4.74: AOX concentrations of inlet to and discharge from biological WWTPs](image-url)
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Economics

Economics of the applied treatment techniques.

Driving force for implementation

Legislation.

References to literature and example plants

[84, Meyer, 2004], see Table 4.71, *014V,I*
4.3.7.15  AOX elimination from waste water streams (2)

Description

AOX is a well established screening parameter for the assessment of halogenated organic compounds in an aqueous solution and is a basis for the development of improvement strategies. The main sources for waste water streams with relevant AOX load are processes/operations involving:

- halogenated solvents
- halogenated intermediates, products and by-products.

Elimination of AOX from such waste water streams can be carried out principally by the application of pretreatment techniques for refractory organic loads or by specific treatment techniques. Figure 4.75 shows the example from *055A,I*. For illustration, Table 4.72 shows the effect of the pretreatment on the AOX input concentration to the biological WWTP.

A first criterion to select a waste water stream for pretreatment is a concentration of >30 mg/l AOX and the bioeliminability. Where only small numbers of batches are produced also the AOX load is taken into account.

![Figure 4.75: Treatment of waste water streams containing AOX](image)

*055A,I*: five selected waste water streams containing AOX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOX load without pretreatment (kg)</th>
<th>0.31</th>
<th>0.91</th>
<th>3.19</th>
<th>0.14</th>
<th>2.78</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOX load after pretreatment (kg)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOX level added to a total effluent of 2000 m$^3$ before biological treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without pretreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With pretreatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.72: Illustration of the effect of pretreatment on the AOX input to the biological WWTP

Achieved environmental benefits

- relief of the biological WWTP
- 2002: input of 1.53 mg/l AOX to the biological WWTP (about 2000 m$^3$/day)
- less halogenated organic compounds are emitted
- a bioeliminability of 75 – 80 % is achieved for AOX in the biological WWTP.
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Cross-media effects

Energy and chemicals consumption for the applied treatment techniques.

Operational data

Pretreatment is carried out by:

- stripping
- adsorption to activated carbon
- specific reactions
- disposal (incineration).

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Economics of the applied treatment techniques.

Driving force for implementation

Legislation.

References to literature and example plants

[33, DECHEMA, 1995], [91, Serr, 2004], *055A,I*
4.3.7.16 AOX elimination from waste water streams (3)

Description

On the 082A.1* site, waste water streams from the manufacture of highly active ingredients are pretreated by means of reverse osmosis (see Figure 4.76). The guiding parameter to track the halogenated compounds is AOX. Table 4.73 gives an overview to the typical properties of the waste water streams considered for pretreatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active ingredient</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.73: Typical properties of the waste water streams in the example case

The membrane system is realised as a two stage system with different membrane types. The organic load in the permeate is non-biodegradable and is discharged via the biological WWTP. In this case, the concentrate cannot be re-used in the process and is disposed of (incineration).

Achieved environmental benefits

- achievable AOX in the permeate: 0.5 mg/l (99.99 % removal related to the mean input)
- relief of the receiving water body
- the up-concentration reduces the amount to be disposed of by 90 % (incineration).
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Cross-media effects

Energy consumption.

Operational data

- two stage setup, polyamid, active composite-type
- stage 1: 8” modules DVA 003 “wide spacer type”
- stage 2: 8” modules ROM 103 “standard spacer type”
- membrane surface of about 160 m².

Applicability

Membrane type and layout depend on the separation task. In the given example the membrane was operated for 4000 hours without loss of performance.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Relief of the receiving water body.

References to literature and example plants

[82, Baumgarten, 2004], *082A,I*
4.3.7.17 AOX: removal of iodine compounds from waste water streams by means of nanofiltration

Description

Wash-waters from the production of X-ray contrast media contain about 1000 ppm iodine since derivates of 2,4,6-triiodine benzoic acid are frequently involved. The relevant compounds can be ionic or non-ionic, show molecular weights from 600 to 1600 g/mol, and are poorly biodegradable.

On the *082A,I* site, such wash-waters are collected separately and removed from the waste water stream by means of a 2-stage nanofiltration. In the first stage, the iodine compounds are concentrated up to 60 g/l, and the second stage ensures a permeate concentration below 1 ppm AOX.

Achieved environmental benefits

Relief of the biological WWTP and the receiving water body from a poorly degradable organic load.

Cross-media effects

Energy consumption.

Operational data

- NFM1 membrane, polyamide, negative surface
- feed: 1 m³/h (peak flow of 36 m³/d possible), 20 bar, 20 °C, concentrations up to 3 g/l
- stage 1: 8” modules “wide spacer” type, 100 m²
- stage 2: 8” modules “normal spacer”, 64 m²
- 99.97 % iodine elimination.

Applicability

Membrane type and layout depend on the separation task.

Economics

Compared to alternative waste water incineration, costs are 6 % lower.

Driving force for implementation

Relief of the receiving water body, and emission limits.

References to literature and example plants

[82, Baumgarten, 2004], *082A,I*
4.3.7.18 Removal of CHCs and solvents from waste water streams

Description

On the "082A.I" site, all waste water streams containing (mixtures of) solvents are treated by stripping, rectification and extraction or combinations of these techniques in order to remove the solvents. Table 4.74 gives an overview to input and output levels. The recovered solvents are further purified for internal or external re-use or are used as a substitute fuel for the on-site incinerator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Input g/l</th>
<th>Output g/l</th>
<th>Removal of CHC content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stripping with air</td>
<td>2 – 12</td>
<td>CHCs</td>
<td>&lt;1 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectification</td>
<td>10 – 200</td>
<td>0.1 - 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractive rectification</td>
<td>50 – 200</td>
<td>1 - 10</td>
<td>Alcohols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction</td>
<td>100 – 250</td>
<td>0.8 - 25</td>
<td>DMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 - 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 - 20</td>
<td>Alcohols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.74: Removal of solvents from waste water streams in the example of "082A.I"

Due to the optimised removal of solvents (which are usually readily degradable), the COD elimination rate in the central biological WWTP is lower (about 90%).

Achieved environmental benefits

- less valuable solvent lost to the WWTP
- intensified use of the biological WWTP
- pretreated waste waters contain <1 mg/l chlorinated hydrocarbons
- lower emissions of CHCs with the effluent
- reduction of diffuse emissions of CHCs from the WWTP.

Cross-media effects

Energy consumption.

Operational data

- rectification column: 3 – 4 m³/h
- extraction: 1 m³/h
- stripping: with air: 1 – 2 m³/h.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Methanol recovery is often not economically viable due to the low market price. This is especially the case for waste water streams with low methanol concentrations. As described in Section 4.3.5.9, a methanol concentration of >14.5 g/l alters the economic situation and favours stripping and thermal oxidation instead of degradation in a biological WWTP.
An example from *055A,I*:

- target for toluene: 0.5 g/l
- target for THF/DMF: 0.3 g/l.

An example from *016A,I*:

Target for solvents (sum): 1 g/l.

Besides the economic or energetic balance, the removal of solvents from waste water streams can be also required in order to protect downstream pretreatment facilities, such as activated carbon adsorption [99, D2 comments, 2005].

**Economics**

Treatment costs: about EUR 67/m³ (2003).

**Driving force for implementation**

Recovery of valuable solvents.

**References to literature and example plants**

[83, Gebauer, 1995], *055A,I*, *016A,I*, *082A,I*
4.3.7.19 Removal of CHCs from waste water streams (2)

Description

On the *055A,1* site, all waste water streams containing a relevant load of chlorinated hydrocarbons, especially chlorinated solvents such as CH₂Cl₂ (R40), HCCl₃ (R22, R38, R40, R48/20/22) are collected separately and pretreated by a combination of stripping and distillation. Figure 4.77 illustrates the selection for such pretreatments.

![Figure 4.77: Pretreatment of CHCs](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- lower emissions of CHCs with the effluent
- CHC input to the biological WWTP: 0.13 mg/l (2002)
- reduction of diffuse emissions of CHCs from the WWTP.

Cross-media effects

Energy consumption.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*
Driving force for implementation

Protection of the neighbourhood and receiving water.

References to literature and example plants

4.3.7.20 Removal of CHCs from waste water streams (3)

Description

On the *014V,1* site, exhaust gases from a buffer tank and both biological steps of the WWTP containing stripped CH₂Cl₂, are treated by adsorption on activated carbon.

Achieved environmental benefits

Reduction of diffuse emissions of CHCs from the WWTP.

Cross-media effects

Cross-media effects of activated carbon adsorption.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of diffuse emissions.

References to literature and example plants

*014V,1*
4.3.7.21 Removal of nickel from process waters

Description

Nickel is frequently used as a Raney nickel catalyst for hydrogenations. On completion of the reaction, the catalyst is removed for regeneration and re-use. Depending on the conditions, a relevant portion of nickel remains in the solution and requires further treatment in a two stage ion-exchanger, whereas the second ion-exchanger is meant for back-up. Figure 4.78 gives an overview to the setup operated in 018A,1.

![Diagram of nickel removal](image)

Figure 4.78: Removal of nickel from process waters

Achieved environmental benefits

- avoidance of spreading Ni to other process steps
- reduction of the Ni concentration to <0.5 mg/l
- reduction of the Ni load in the sludge from WWTP
- increased efficiency of the WWTP, as Ni inhibits activated sludge performance.

Cross-media effects

- additional acid consumption
- consumption of the flocculation auxiliary
- shift of the Ni load from waste water to a waste pathway.

Operational data

- Ni in the regenerate: 2600 to 13500 mg/l
- volume per batch: 700 litre.
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Applicability

Generally applicable for heavy metals with similar setups, depending on the particular conditions.

In other cases, the sequence of chemical precipitation followed by ion exchange may be more effective [62, D1 comments, 2004].

The elimination of heavy metals from process waters can be hindered by the organic matrix, e.g. by complex formation or low efficiency of the ion-exchanger [62, D1 comments, 2004].

- **hydroxide precipitation**: solutions of nickel or many other base metal ions are precipitated almost quantitatively by sodium hydroxide or caustic soda or mixtures of them at pH values of between 7.0 and 9.5. Filter aids and flocculants are very useful [62, D1 comments, 2004].

- **sulphide precipitation**: down to the ppb-area, the purification of heavy metals is possible with sulphides, polysulphides and, in best practice, with the non-toxic water soluble sodium-trimercaptotriazine, TMT. For the TMT precipitation of nickel, e.g. the best conditions are: 1 g Ni is co-precipitated with 20 ml of a 15 % Na₃TMT solution and 0.5 % aluminium solution at pH 12. After rapidly stirring for one minute, followed by slow agitation for 15 minutes, the filtrate contains less than 0.4 mg per litre [62, D1 comments, 2004].

- **general**: all these water treatment processes are also successful in combination [62, D1 comments, 2004].

Economics

Because the ion-exchangers are unavoidable for further process steps, only the costs for treatment/disposal of the regenerate are compared in Table 4.75:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital cost for storage tank</td>
<td>216000 – 254000</td>
<td>Skid unit and filters</td>
<td>178000 +/-10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposal costs</td>
<td>185000 per year</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Subsequent treatment in WWTP |

Table 4.75: Costs for precipitation and filtration of the regenerate in comparison to disposal costs

Currency was converted from IEP to EUR using the conversion factor 1 EUR = 0.787564 IEP

Economic benefits:

- increased performance of the WWTP
- lower disposal costs for sludge from the WWTP.

Driving force for implementation

- avoidance of Ni in further processing
- ELV for heavy metals.

References to literature and example plants

[15, Köppke, 2000], *018A,1*
4.3.7.22 Heavy metals removal from waste water streams

Description

The main sources for waste water streams with heavy metal loads are:

- metallisations, oxidations, reductions with heavy metals as reactant or
- processes where heavy metals are used as catalyst.

For examples of waste water streams, see Table 2.17. On the *068B,D,I* site, heavy metals are used extensively, e.g. for metallisations, and the created waste water streams also contain heavy metal complex compounds. These waste water streams are treated individually in order to remove the heavy metal content, including a step for the destruction of metal complex compounds where required.

Figure 4.79 shows how waste water streams containing heavy metal were selected for individual heavy metal treatment and Table 4.76 gives the resulting values for the total effluent before and after the biological WWTP. All waste water streams which are forwarded to the biological treatment plant without heavy metal treatment contain <5 mg/l Cu, Cr, Ni or Zn.

![Figure 4.79: Selection of waste water streams for heavy metal treatment](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation and filtration</th>
<th>Heavy metals from <em>068B,D,I</em> before WWTP</th>
<th>Heavy metals discharged from WWTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yearly average mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.76: Heavy metal removal from waste water streams and the resulting emission level

Note that after heavy metal treatment, the waste waters are mixed with other waste waters (dilution)
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Achieved environmental benefits

- relief of the biological WWTP
- lower heavy metal emissions to water.

Other examples are given in Table 4.77.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation, filtration</th>
<th>Heavy metals from reference plant before WWTP</th>
<th>Heavy metals discharged from WWTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeary average, mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B(^1)</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V,I(^2)</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X (2003)</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A,B,D (2002)</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A,V,X</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that after heavy metal treatment, the waste waters are mixed with other waste waters (dilution)
\(^1\) *015D,I,O,B*: destruction of Cu complex compounds (wet oxidation)
\(^2\) *014V,I*: removes heavy metals (especially Zn) from exhaust gases before scrubbing

Table 4.77: Other examples for heavy metal removal and resulting emission levels

Cross-media effects

Energy and chemical consumption for the applied treatment techniques.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

At the reference plant 068B,D,I, heavy metals are used extensively and the achieved heavy metal levels before input into the biological WWTP show a worst case.

If equivalent removal levels can be demonstrated in comparison with the combination of pretreatment and biological waste water treatment, heavy metals can be eliminated from the total effluent using only the biological waste water treatment process, provided that the biological treatment is carried out on-site and the treatment sludge is incinerated [117, TWG 2 comments, 2005].
Economics

Economics of the applied treatment techniques

Driving force for implementation

Legislation, relief of the biological WWTP and sludges from heavy metal loads.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004], *014V,I*, *015D,I,O,B*, *068B,D,I*
4.3.7.23 Recovery of iodine from waste water streams

Description

On the *015D,I,O,B* site, iodine alkyl compounds are used for alkylation. Since iodine is not part of the target molecule, it remains as I stoichiometrically in waste water streams after phase separation. Iodine is recovered from the mother liquor from the first phase separation step since this waste water stream contains the highest load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste water stream</th>
<th>I$^-$ concentration</th>
<th>I$^-$ load</th>
<th>I$^-$ recovery?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor</td>
<td>92 g/l</td>
<td>550 kg/batch</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 1</td>
<td>16 g/l</td>
<td>48 kg/batch</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash-water 2</td>
<td>1.5 g/l</td>
<td>4.5 kg/batch</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.78: Examples for waste water streams containing iodine

Iodine is recovered as CuI by pH adjustment, oxidation with NaSO$_3$, addition of CuSO$_4$ and filtration of the precipitate.

Achieved environmental benefits

Recovery of valuable material for re-use/sale instead of emission to water.

Cross-media effects

Chemicals consumption.

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Recovery of valuable material.

References to literature and example plants

*015D,I,O,B*
4.3.7.24 Disposal of waste water streams containing high P loads

Description

Waste water streams containing high phosphorus loads are segregated and disposed of separately on the *055A,I* site in order to relieve the biological WWTP and the receiving water from higher loads. Table 4.79 shows examples for such mother liquors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total P</th>
<th>Volume per batch</th>
<th>P load per batch</th>
<th>H$_3$PO$_4$ content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor 1</td>
<td>130 g/l</td>
<td>0.5 m$^3$</td>
<td>65 kg</td>
<td>34 % w/w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother liquor 2</td>
<td>181 g/l</td>
<td>1.5 m$^3$</td>
<td>271.5 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.79: P containing mother liquors for disposal

Achieved environmental benefits

Relief of the biological WWTP and the receiving water from high P loads.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Relief of the biological WWTP and receiving water.

References to literature and example plants

*055A,I*
4.3.8 Treatment of the total effluent and related emission levels

4.3.8.1 Achievable values for heavy metals in the total effluent

Description

Data sampled and supplied for [31, European Commission, 2003] from Germany showed, that for typical chemical sites the following values in the total effluent (without dilution of the waste water with rain or cooling water) are achieved. Somewhat higher values for heavy metals, especially Zn, Cu and Ni, are possible in spite of adequate pretreatment in smaller installations, especially from the production of organic fine chemicals (see Table 4.80).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intentional use of the heavy metal (applying pretreatment)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.80: Achievable values for heavy metals in the total effluent

The achieved values take metal removal in biological WWTPs into account only if no shift to other media occurs (e.g. from the use of waste water treatment sludge as fertilisers).

Achieved environmental benefits

Relief of receiving water from heavy metal loads.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Relief of receiving water from heavy metal loads.

References to literature and example plants

[50, UBA, 2001]
4.3.8.2 Pretreatment of the total effluent by chemical oxidation with H₂O₂

Description

*004D,O* is an SME and produces organic dyes (mainly azo dyes) and optical brighteners (stilbene type) in a multipurpose plant. Due to the poor bioeliminability (and the intensive colour), all process waters are stored and pretreated before discharge to the municipal sewer by continuous oxidation with Fenton’s reagent (H₂O₂/catalyst). The pretreatment is carried out in a standard stirred tank reactor.

Achieved environmental benefits

- chemical oxidation of biologically low degradable organic load
- COD removal of up to 95 % already before biological treatment
- it is presumed that the remaining COD is more biologically degradable.

Cross-media effects

- chemical consumption
- energy consumption.

Operational data

- throughput: 40000 m³ per year (about 150 m³ per day)
- input salt content: 10 %
- conditions: 110 °C and 1 bar
- COD input to pretreatment: 5000 mg/l (750 kg per day)
- COD removal by pretreatment: depends on residence time and H₂O₂ dosage, up to 95 %, actually 80 %
- COD load after pretreatment: 38 kg per day (95 % removal)
  150 kg per day (80 % removal).

Applicability

- applicable where biological treatment would not lead to sufficient removal
- where the total effluent includes wash, cleaning and rinsing waters with low organic loads, pretreatment is efficient only if applied to waste water streams with high refractory loads (see also Section 4.3.7.10)
- an example for the treatment of selected waste water streams by chemical oxidation with H₂O₂ is given in Section 4.3.7.7.
Other examples are given in Table 4.81:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference plant</th>
<th>Throughput m³/hour (max)</th>
<th>Ppm COD input (max)</th>
<th>Ppm COD output</th>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Average H₂O₂ consumption kg/m³ related to 100% oxidation</th>
<th>Investment costs in EUR</th>
<th>Operating costs EUR/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>108B,I</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Aniline, pyridine, thiophene, other toxic organic compounds</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>500000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>109A,V</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Non degradable compounds, organic solvents</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>500000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>110B</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Glyphosate, other toxic organic compounds</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>450000</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>112X</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Mercaptobenzothiazole and others</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1900000</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.81: Examples for the application of chemical oxidation with H₂O₂

**Economics**

Operational costs: EUR 1 – 1.5/kg removed COD. See also Table 4.81.

**Driving force for implementation**

Reduction of the COD loads. Relief of the planned municipal biological WWTP.

**References to literature and example plants**

*004D,O*, [58, Serr, 2003], [99, D2 comments, 2005]
4.3.8.3 On-site instead of off-site biological WWTP

Description

*016A,I* has two pharmaceutical production sites in Sweden. Effluent waste water was previously discharged to the municipal waste water treatment plant, but investigations showed that the biological removal in the municipal plant was periodically disturbed by the *016A,I* effluent. Also, some organic substances were not degraded and were found in the effluent to the recipient watercourse. *016A,I* decided to improve the treatment and to tailor-make a process for its own waste water streams, with the aim of producing an effluent with an acceptable quality for direct discharge to the recipient watercourse.

Achieved environmental benefits

- replacement of low performance co-treatment by specific on-site treatment
- on-site treatment enables efficient control, management and monitoring of waste water streams from multipurpose sites and the related treatment performance, and discharges to receiving waters
- avoidance of risks arising from volatile compounds introduced with industrial effluents into the sewerage system.

Cross-media effects

- on-site treatment can involve adding nutrients and may require additional buffer volume for balancing flow and loads
- in individual cases difficult compounds may be degradable only below the inhibition threshold concentration (available in co-treatment).

Operational data

Depends on the individual case.

Applicability

For existing plants with existing off-site arrangements (including contracts, plant layout), the move to an on-site WWTP represents a higher obstacle.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Avoidance of a disturbance in the municipal WWTP and consequent problems of WWTP effluent quality. Reduction of the COD releases to receiving waters.

References to literature and example plants

[52, Berlin, 2000], *016A,I*
4.3.8.4 Joint treatment with municipal waste water

Description

In a joint treatment of municipal waste water with waste water from an OFC site to a first approximation, there are neither synergistic nor antagonistic effects; i.e. the residual loadings simply can be added up. However, the joint treatment can have advantages and disadvantages (see Achieved environmental benefits and Applicability). To minimise the risks, it is essential to study carefully the waste water streams fed to the effluent treatment plant for any factors inhibiting nitrification and, if appropriate, to improve the critical partial streams by pretreatment or to feed them under metered control.

For reasons of water economy and to minimise the nitrogen emissions occurring during nitrification breakdowns, it can frequently be expedient to pretreat ammonium-polluted waste water streams physico-chemically, where appropriate in combination with ammonia recycling.

In the case of a joint waste water treatment, it must be ensured, in all cases, that the nitrogen elimination is no poorer than in the case of separate waste water treatment overall.

As a special case of the joint treatment, it has proven useful to introduce chemical waste waters in a controlled way into municipal waste water treatment plants. Examples of these are the specific metered introduction of concentrated readily degradable partial streams into the anaerobic stage (digestion tower) or into the denitrification stage.

Achieved environmental benefits

- improving the nutrient conditions
- optimising the waste water temperature and thus the degradation kinetics
- equalisation of the feed loadings, if the daily curves of the two waste water streams are correspondingly structured or can be matched to one another
- suppression of toxic and inhibitory effects of waste water constituents by lowering the concentrations below the critical thresholds of toxic activity.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Depends on the individual case.

Applicability

Individual assessment is required. Not applicable in the following cases:

- in municipalities that are predominantly drained by the combined sewerage system, and in the event of relatively high rainfall, hydraulic overloads can occur which lead to an increased pollutant discharge and to nitrifiers and other bacteria having a relatively long reproduction time being swept out of the joint effluent treatment plant
- reduced clean-up rates due to production-related operating faults lead, in the case of a joint treatment facility, to increased water pollution, since the municipal waste water fraction is then also inadequately treated
- many chemicals, some in low concentrations, can hinder nitrification. After a collapse of the nitrification, it can take several weeks until sufficient nitrogen elimination can again be ensured.
Joint treatment with municipal waste water is not applicable for complex effluents with lots of unknown metabolites and by-products. For these effluents, on-site biological WWTP should be preferred [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Generally, the bigger the treatment plant, the more stable the biological treatment process and the better the achievable result [117, TWG 2 comments, 2005].

Economics

The joint treatment of waste water and sewage sludge can, in individual cases, give potential savings in capital and operating costs.

Driving force for implementation

Cost savings.

References to literature and example plants

[62, D1 comments, 2004], and many examples from the OFC sectors
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4.3.8.5 Proving the efficiency of off-site waste water treatment

Description

*001A,1*'s waste waters are finally treated in a municipal WWTP. Degradation/elimination is proven for relevant parameters (e.g. COD/TOC, AOX, total P) by collecting and mixing samples and carrying out degradations/elimination testing on these samples with simulation of the conditions in the municipal WWTP.

Achieved environmental benefits

Enables evaluation of the performance at an off-site WWTP.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

- frequency: quarterly
- simulation of aerobic treatment: Zahn-Wellens test (see also Section 4.3.1.3).

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Additional costs for sampling and testing.

Driving force for implementation

Proving the performance of the off-site treatment of waste waters.

References to literature and example plants

*001A,1* (with modifications: *007I*, *021B,1*)
4.3.8.6 Treatment of the total effluent

Description

The main step for reducing the organic load of waste water is the treatment of the total effluent. Integrated measures and the pretreatment of selected waste water streams lead to a good biologically treatable total effluent. Due to the changing input from multipurpose production, the biological stage will still have to cope with the changing loads and properties (toxicity, biodegradability) of the input. Figure 4.80 shows a reliable setup to meet this challenge (typical especially within the OFC sectors), with good performance. This setup includes two biological treatment stages, activated carbon adsorption, chemical precipitation (phosphorus elimination) and sand filtering.

Figure 4.80: Treatment of the total effluent with two biological and one activated carbon stages

Achieved environmental benefits

- elimination of the organic load: 97 % (2001)
- elimination of the nitrogen: 80 %
- elimination of the phosphorus: 98.5 % (2001)
- high toxicity reduction, high stability versus toxicity shocks
- effective also for remaining low degradable substances.

Cross-media effects

No additional effects in comparison to other biological treatment plants. Activated carbon has to be recycled or disposed of.

Operational data

- capacity: 2000 m³/d
- input temperature: 29 – 30 °C
- residence period: 30 hours
- buffer volume: 2 x 4000 m³
- fungi stage: bio-film, pH 4/75 % elimination of organic load
- bacteria stage: bio-film, pH 8/90 % elimination of organic load related to input.

Pretreatment of low degradable waste water streams by adsorption before the biological stages.
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Applicability

When in combination with a suitable pretreatment for particular low degradable or toxic waste water streams, a wide applicability is expected.

The given example is not the only possible reliable setup. For more information, see [31, European Commission, 2003], and especially the examples described in Annexes 7.6.1 and 7.6.2 therein.

Other examples where an activated carbon adsorption is applied to the total effluent after biological treatment:

- *009A,B,D*
- *082A,I*
- *089A,I* as an AOX guard.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Low performance of the co-treatment within a municipal WWTP.

References to literature and example plants

*016A,I*
4.3.8.7 Protection and performance of biological WWTPs (1)

Description

It is presumed that when taking suitable measures to prevent toxic waste water streams disturbing biological degradation, average BOD₅ elimination rates above 99 % are achieved if full advantage is taken of the biological degradation potential in the biological WWTP. Table 4.82 shows the achievable emission values for toxicity and suspended solids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Achievable emission value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>10 – 20</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity to</td>
<td>Fish LID₅</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daphnia LID₄</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae LID₃</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminescent bacteria LID₆</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.82: Achievable emission values after biological WWTP

Protection of the biological treatment plant is assured by:

- segregation and pretreatment or disposal (incineration) of toxic waste water streams
- monitoring of the untreated waste water by, e.g. automated biological test equipment (“toximeter”), measurement of sludge activity or by controlling the characteristic parameters from sensible production processes
- provision of sufficient buffer volume to avoid input quality fluctuations in terms of organic load, nitrogen load, or salt concentrations (especially if salt concentrations above 10 g/l occur)
- provision of retention volume to separate unforeseen contaminated waste waters that might damage the biotic environment of the biological treatment plant
- applying advanced communication procedures between the production and WWTP facilities (e.g. information about unforeseen discharges from processes).

Examples for achieved emission levels for suspended solids (yearly averages):

- 014V.I 10 mg/l
- 011X >10 mg/l
- 008A.I 10.4 mg/l
- 081A.I 17 – 20 mg/l
- 036L 20 mg/l

Achieved environmental benefits

- protection of the biological WWTP
- relief of the receiving water from oxygen demand, suspended solids and toxicity.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.
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Operational data

Depends on the individual case.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Besides acute toxicity, other relevant aspects can be chronic toxicity, bioaccumulation and endocrine disruption. For bioaccumulation and endocrine disruption, test protocols are still under development [99, D2 comments, 2005].

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Protection of the biological WWTP and relief of the receiving water from oxygen demand, suspended solids and toxicity.

References to literature and example plants

[50, UBA, 2001]
4.3.8.8 Protection and performance of biological WWTPs (2)

Description

*100A,I* is retrofitting their on-site biological WWTP in order to ensure stable performance, especially concerning the removal of nitrogen. The future system will be an A/B system. In step A, the influent will be detoxified by the removal of COD and the ammonification of organic N. In step B, the NH$_4$N will be removed by nitrification/denitrification and the addition of a rapidly biodegradable C-source. Table 4.83 shows the current setup and the resulting N emission levels.

Under the current conditions, the performance is – despite buffering and pretreatment – affected:

a) by the variation of the N input which is highly correlated with specific batch productions
b) by toxic input.

Figure 4.81 shows the resulting emission levels for three illustrative periods:

In period 1, an average emission value of inorganic N of 10 – 20 mg/l was achieved with the current system. In this period, under quite stable and low loadings, NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ were practically absent (data not shown). The average NH$_4$N concentration was 14.8 mg/l.

In period 2, the N loading rate had increased drastically and, as a result, an average NH$_4$N concentration of 73.7 mg/l was reached for more than one month. Due to the toxicity of one or more products present in the waste water, the microbial population was not able to adapt to the higher N loading rates.

Period 3 shows the effect of a peak of nitrogen resulting in an increase of the sludge loading rate by a factor 10 as a result of a specific production campaign. Although there can be a residual toxicity, it is not expected since rapid recovery of the system was observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>2000 m$^3$/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total N: 20 – 120 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganic N: 10 % of total N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>2800 m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutralisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Clarification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trickling filters</td>
<td>3160 m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Clarification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated sludge</td>
<td>8000 m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Clarification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sludge loading rate</td>
<td>0.1 kg COD/kg VSS d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 g N/kg VSS d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data from mid 2002 – mid 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4$N</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$N</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$N</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 percentile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.83: Setup and N emission levels of the current biological WWTP before retrofitting
Achieved environmental benefits

- stable performance of the biological WWTP
- planned after retrofitting: 10 – 20 mg/l inorganic N (mean).

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

Costs for retrofitting.

Driving force for implementation

Unstable operation of the biological WWTP.

References to literature and example plants

*100A,1*
4.3.8.9 COD elimination rates: waste water streams

Description

COD elimination rates above 95% are achieved for waste water streams containing high refractory loadings by segregation (see also Sections 4.3.7.10, 4.3.7.11, 4.3.7.12) and subsequent pretreatment before, or instead of, treatment in the biological WWTP.

Adequate pretreatment techniques include:

- activated carbon adsorption facility (example: *009A,B,D*)
- high pressure wet oxidation (example: *015D,I,O,B*)
- low pressure wet oxidation (*014V,I*)
- evaporation and incineration of waste water streams (*040A,B,I*).

Achieved environmental benefits

Effective reduction of the COD releases to receiving waters.

Cross-media effects

Depends on the applied pretreatment technique.

Operational data

Depends on the applied pretreatment technique.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of the COD releases to receiving waters.

References to literature and example plants

[50, UBA, 2001]
4.3.8.10  Understanding COD emission levels and elimination rates

Description

The total effluent from an OFC site is usually treated on-site or off-site in a biological WWTP before release of the waste water to a receiving water body. Treatment of the total effluent with other treatment techniques is the exception (see Table 4.84).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied technique</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>067D,I Wet oxidation</td>
<td>Non-degradable organic load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045E Evaporation</td>
<td>Local meteorological conditions and low volume flow (60 m³/day) enable evaporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I Incineration</td>
<td>Local conditions favour a very costly solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.84: Alternative treatment of the total effluent

For biological WWTPs, COD elimination rates of 93 – 97 % are typically achieved as a yearly average (see Figure 4.82). It is important that a COD elimination rate cannot be understood as a standalone parameter, but has to be seen in the context of the individual situation. Some major factors with influence and their effects on the COD elimination rates are given in Table 4.85. The outliers in Figure 4.82, with elimination rates of 75 and 77 % are caused by factor (e), despite extensive segregation and pretreatment (the overall removal rate including pretreatment is much higher, see also Table 3.3).

Additionally, the operational mode (batches, campaigns, changing production spectrum) of the OFC plant creates variations. Figure 4.83, Figure 4.84 and Figure 4.85 illustrate such variations of input volume flow, organic input/output load and elimination rates. This is the reason why COD elimination rates are usually given as a yearly average.

The examples reported for the purposes of this document show COD emission levels of 12 - 390 mg/l. Figure 4.82 shows that no correlation between the output concentration and the COD elimination rate can be identified. Presuming that the biological stage is working efficiently (high BOD elimination), the remaining refractory load can only be decreased by extending segregation and pretreatment. The data set from *017A,I* illustrates this quite well:

- COD input: 9000 mg/l (relatively high input level)
- COD elimination: 96 % (looks quite good)
- BOD elimination: 99.6 % (also quite good).

but shows the highest emission level amongst the provided data sets (390 mg/l).
(a) Extensive segregation and elimination of poorly degradable COD prior to biological treatment
Higher elimination rates

(b) Less segregation and elimination of poorly degradable COD prior to biological treatment
Lower elimination rates

(c) Extensive removal of solvents
Lower elimination rates

(d) Less removal of solvents
Higher elimination rates

(e) Production spectrum causes poorly degradable COD loads in most of the waste water streams
Lower elimination rates, despite pretreatment

(f) Production spectrum causes poorly degradable COD loads only in several waste water streams
High elimination rates

Table 4.85: Factors with influence on COD elimination rates of biological WWTPs

![Figure 4.82: COD elimination rates and emission levels from biological WWTPs on OFC sites](image-url)
Figure 4.83: COD elimination profile for the biological treatment of a total effluent. The profile is based on daily values calculated from input/output concentrations and treated volume.

Figure 4.84: Input to and discharge from a biological WWTP on a multipurpose site.

Figure 4.85: Volume flow to the biological WWTP of *043A,1*
Achieved environmental benefits

The understanding of performance data is the basis for establishing optimisation strategies.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

The understanding of performance data is the basis for establishing optimisation strategies.

References to literature and example plants

See Table 3.3 for data and reference plants.
4.3.8.11 BOD elimination rates and emission levels

Description

The total effluent from an OFC plant is usually treated finally in a biological WWTP. BOD elimination and emission levels indicate to which extent the biological degradation process of the easily degradable organic load is completed.

The data provided show BOD input levels to biological WWTPs of 370 to 3491 mg/l. Figure 4.86 shows the related BOD elimination rates and BOD emission levels. All achieved emission levels are below 20 mg/l and elimination rates range from 98.4 to 99.8 %. The lowest BOD elimination rate belongs to a low inlet concentration (370 mg/l) and one of the lowest provided BOD emission levels (6 mg/l). All levels relate to testing of the unfiltered sample.

![Figure 4.86: BOD elimination rates related to the achieved BOD emission level](image)

- **Achieved environmental benefits**
  - completion of the easily degradable organic load relieves the receiving water body
  - low BOD emission levels indicate operational stability of the biological stages.

- **Cross-media effects**
  *None believed likely.*

- **Operational data**
  *No information provided.*

- **Applicability**
  General applicable.

- **Economics**
  *No information provided.*
Driving force for implementation

See Achieved environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 3.3.
4.3.8.12 AOX elimination rates and emission levels

Description

The total effluent from an OFC plant is usually treated finally in a biological WWTP. AOX elimination and emission levels indicate to which extent the biological degradation process eliminated organohalogens. The data provided show AOX elimination rates from 15 to 94% and emission levels from 0.06 to 1.7 mg/l. Figure 4.87 shows the AOX elimination rates in relation to achieved emission levels. For input concentrations, see Section 4.3.7.14.

Taking into account that the AOX data are derived from the same reference plants, the AOX elimination rates are systematically lower in comparison to COD elimination. This reflects the assumption that halogens (amongst others) belong to the functional groups causing lower biodegradabilities [33, DECHEMA, 1995].

AOX is just another screening parameter for organic compounds, hence strategies to decrease AOX emissions are similarly based on segregation and pretreatment of waste water streams.

Example: no AOX related pretreatment is carried out in the case of *023A,I*, and the emission level is 5 mg/l.

![Figure 4.87: AOX elimination rates and emission levels](image)

- **Achieved environmental benefits**
  - relief of the receiving water body from AOX compounds
  - screening parameter to develop improvement strategies.

- **Cross-media effects**
  
  *None believed likely.*
Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

See Achieved environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 3.5.
4.3.8.13 LID emission levels

Description

The total effluent from an OFC plant is usually treated finally in a biological WWTP. Assessment of the effluent (WEA) shows remaining toxicity to algae, luminescent bacteria, daphnia, fish and genotoxicity. Figure 4.88 shows the LID emission levels provided (see also Table 3.5).

Increased remaining toxicity levels (expressed as LID or EC\(_{50}\)) after biological treatment indicate improvement potential. Options include:

- extend segregation/pretreatment of waste water streams with toxic organic load
- improve buffering/equalisation of the input to the biological WWTP.

![Figure 4.88: Toxicity values derived from assessment of the total effluent](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

- relief of the receiving water body
- indicator for improvement potential.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.
Economics

Costs for additional monitoring and resulting measures.

Driving force for implementation

See Achieved environmental benefits.

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 3.5.
4.3.8.14 Nitrogen emission levels

Description

The total effluent from an OFC plant is usually treated finally in a biological WWTP. Degradation of inorganic nitrogen compounds (expressed as inorganic N, as the sum of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{NO}_3^- \), \( \text{NO}_2^- \)) is usually carried out by nitrification and denitrification steps. Figure 4.89 shows the emission data provided, including (where available) the emission levels for total N. Levels for inorganic N range from 1 to 34 mg/l. The emission levels for total N are usually higher since also organic bound nitrogen is included in the value. The biological WWTPs releasing the highest inorganic N levels (50 and 34 mg/l) were retrofitted in 2004 in order to adjust the treatment capacity or improve performance. In one case, the high values are caused by high \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) loadings from an extended fermentation unit, the other case is described in Section 4.3.8.8. The lowest values are achieved where the capacity of the WWTP allows long residence times, e.g. in the case of *096A,I* with an inorganic N of 1 mg/l and a residence time of seven days.

![Figure 4.89: Nitrogen emission levels after biological WWTP](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

Relief of the receiving water body.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

*No information provided.*

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

*No information provided.*
Driving force for implementation

Reduction of inorganic nitrogen compound releases to receiving waters.

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 3.4.
4.3.8.15 Elimination of inorganic nitrogen from waste waters

Description

Yearly average emission values for inorganic nitrogen from 10 to 20 mg/l are achievable by nitrification/denitrification within a biological WWTP.

Achieved environmental benefits

Effective reduction of inorganic nitrogen compound releases to receiving waters.

Cross-media effects

Energy consumption for the operation of the additional treatment stage.

Operational data

Sufficient residence times are required to ensure an optimised degradation.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of inorganic nitrogen compound releases to receiving waters.

References to literature and example plants

[50, UBA, 2001], [31, European Commission, 2003]
4.3.8.16 Elimination of phosphorus compounds from waste waters

Description

Yearly average emission values for total P from 1 – 1.5 mg/l are achievable by biological elimination and, where required, chemical/mechanical treatment within a biological WWTP.

Achieved environmental benefits

Effective removal of phosphorus compound releases to receiving waters.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

No information provided.

Applicability

Generally applicable.

Economics

No information provided.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of phosphorus compound releases to receiving waters.

References to literature and example plants

No information provided.
4.3.8.17 Phosphorus emission levels

Description

The total effluent from an OFC plant is usually treated finally in a biological WWTP. Phosphorus compounds are partially consumed as a nutrient in the biological stage and the remaining share is removed by means of chemical/mechanical treatment before discharge. Figure 4.90 shows the provided input/output levels to biological WWTPs on OFC sites.

Input levels range from 3.5 to 48 mg/l and emission levels (in cases where chemical/mechanical treatment is applied) from 0.2 to 1.5 mg/l. In the case of *086A,I*, no chemical/mechanical step is applied for specific P removal with a resulting emission level of 10.8 mg/l.

These are the examples with higher emission levels:

- **011X**: optimisation of the biological stage resulted in lower P emission levels (2000: 1.5 mg/l; 2003: 0.5 mg/l)
- **047B**: despite efforts to optimise the biological stage, P emission levels remain at 1.3 mg/l. The background to this is the production of P-organic compounds.

![Figure 4.90: Total P input and output levels to/from biological WWTPs on OFC sites](image)

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Relief of the receiving water body.

**Cross-media effects**

*None believed likely.*

**Operational data, Applicability**

*No information provided.*
Economics

Generally applicable.

Driving force for implementation

Reduction of phosphorus compound releases to receiving waters.

References to literature and example plants

See reference plants in Table 3.4
4.3.8.18 Biomonitoring of effluents from active ingredient production

Description

On the *040A,B,I* site, APIs and biocides are produced. Biomonitoring (toxicity to fish, daphnia, algae and luminescent bacteria expressed as LID values) has been used to assess residual acute toxicity in the effluent remaining after the biological WWTP (see also Section 4.3.8.13). Experience of some years show:

- generally, the information derived from the four different test species complement each other
- even at a larger complex production site it is technically possible to identify the cause of residual acute toxicity and to minimise the effects
- the sampling frequency should correspond to the frequency of changes in the production spectrum (in the given example, 20 samples were tested per year).

Figure 4.91 shows the results of biomonitoring from 1985 to 1996 in the case of *040A,B,I*. Step by step, the plant realised the segregation and disposal of critical waste water streams (incineration) and improvement of specific production steps. Finally, the following average LID values were achieved:

- LID_F: 1
- LID_D: 2
- LID_L: 3
- LID_A: 1.

![Figure 4.91: Average residual acute toxicity in the effluent of *040A,B,I*](image)

Each yearly value is based on 20 measurements

Achieved environmental benefits

Biomonitoring data represent a valuable tool for the assessment of residual acute toxicity and of complex effluents.
Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

The monitoring frequency should match with the changes in the production spectrum.

Applicability

Especially applicable where other parameters indicate variations in the performance of the biological WWTP or where toxicity is already identified as a major concern due to the production spectrum (e.g. biologically active ingredients).

Generally applicable to identify situations where a production site has an inherent toxicity problem which is not easily identified by the observation of other parameters.

Economics

- costs for sampling and measurements
- high value of the obtained information.

Driving force for implementation

Residual acute toxicity in effluents from the production of active ingredients.

References to literature and example plants

[88, Falcke, 1997]. *040A,B,I*
4.3.8.19 WEA as a management tool for treatment of waste water streams

Description

The effectiveness of the treatment of waste water streams can be evaluated with WEA (whole effluent assessment) (see also Section 2.4.2.4). The assessment of ecotoxicological aspects – comprising toxicity, persistency and bioaccumulation – with bioassays is performed on the treated waste water streams or on the total effluent to examine the effect on the environment. When the impact on the environment is judged as significant, treatment of waste water streams should be improved. Changes in the treatment of waste water are evaluated on their environmental performance.

Achieved environmental benefits

Less adverse effects on the aquatic environment.

Cross-media effects

Depends on the pretreatment technique.

Operational data

Effluents from pretreatment facilities can be sampled and evaluated. The gathered information can be used as a management tool to reduce the impact on the environment.

Applicability

Especially applicable where other parameters indicate variations in the performance of the biological WWTP or where toxicity is already identified as a major concern due to the production spectrum (e.g. biologically active ingredients).

Generally applicable to identify situations where a production site has an inherent toxicity problem which is not easily identified by the observation of other parameters.

Several tests within the WEA toolbox are available and robust, mostly with regard to acute toxicity and bioaccumulation. However, some further work is required on persistence and chronic toxicity, but is expected to be finalised in the next couple of years.

Economics

The effort required to perform tests in WEA is comparable with a comprehensive analysis of single substances in a complex effluent.

Driving force for implementation

Relief of the receiving water body.

References to literature and example plants

[99, D2 comments, 2005], [73, Gartiser, 2003]
4.3.8.20 Online monitoring of toxicity and TOC

Description

Due to the changing input from multipurpose production, the biological stage of a WWTP has to cope with the changing loads and properties of the input, e.g. toxicity. Toxic input to a biological WWTP can inhibit the degradation process and jeopardise the stable operation.

Online monitoring of toxicity measures the respiration inhibition of micro-organisms (e.g. nitrifying bacteria) when exposed to the effluent from the biological WWTP in comparison to a reference sample (see Figure 4.92). Increasing inhibition (20 – 30 % and higher) leads to corrective measures, such as:

- additional dosing of activated carbon
- recycling of the effluent to the buffer tanks.

In combination with data from online TOC measurements, it may also be possible to relate the inhibition effect to the responsible production process.

![Figure 4.92: Principle of online toxicity monitoring](image)

Achieved environmental benefits

Tool to protect and control an on-site biological WWTP if residual acute toxicity is identified as a concern.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

- response times <3 minutes
- representative measurement if the nitrifying bacteria of the on-site biological WWTP are used as test organisms
- ratio of waste water to test organisms is adjustable.
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Applicability

Generally applicable.

Similar setup:

- *009A,B,D*: online toxicity (input to buffer) and DOC measurement
- *010A,B,D,I,X*: online toxicity (input to buffer) and online TOC measurement.

Economics

- investment: EUR 40000 – 50000
- operation: EUR 4000 – 5000 per year.

Driving force for implementation

Protection of the biological WWTP if residual acute toxicity is identified as a concern.

References to literature and example plants

[91, Serr, 2004]. *016A,I*
4.3.8.21 Monitoring of the total effluent before and after biological treatment

**Description**

Data obtained by structured monitoring can form an important information basis for decision making on chemical sites, especially when new processes are introduced or when problems arise. Long term tendencies can be identified, as well as the effects of single events such as special production campaigns (e.g. trials), introduction of new feedstocks or the replacement of equipment.

Additionally own monitoring data can demonstrate compliance with ELVs and create a confidence climate.

Table 4.86 shows the waste water monitoring plan as established in the reference plants.

**Achieved environmental benefits**

Important data basis for decision making.

**Cross-media effects**

*None believed likely.*

**Operational data**

- measurements are omitted if a parameter is not expected to occur in the waste water either not at all or below detection limits
- lower frequencies are implemented if a parameter can be calculated reliably from the material input.

**Applicability**

Generally applicable.

**Economics**

- costs for sampling and measurements
- high value of the obtained information.

**Driving force for implementation**

Establishment of a database for decision making and for the demonstration of compliance.

**References to literature and example plants**

*001A,I*, *014V,I*, *015D,I,O,B*
### Chapter 4

#### Monitoring of Parameters Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring of</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input and output to pretreatment units (performance)</td>
<td>Relevant parameters</td>
<td>Daily/batch wise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Biological WWTP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input and output to bioreactor (e.g. anaerobic stage, pressure biology)</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Continuously</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input to biological stage</td>
<td>COD or TOC</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeration tank</td>
<td>COD or TOC</td>
<td>NH₄-N</td>
<td>Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total P</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sludge index</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃-N after denitrification</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarifier stage</td>
<td>Visibility</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sampling point before release to sewer or receiving water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Suspended solids</th>
<th>BOD₅</th>
<th>COD or TOC</th>
<th>NH₄-N</th>
<th>NO₂-N</th>
<th>NO₃-N</th>
<th>Cr⁶⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>CN⁻</th>
<th>Total P</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>S²⁻</th>
<th>SO₃²⁻</th>
<th>AIt⁴⁺</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Heavy metals</th>
<th>AOX</th>
<th>Listed substances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</table>

**Inspection of the discharge point to the receiving water**

- Distinctive features such as, e.g. odour, colour, build-up of sludge Monthly
- Measurements are omitted if a parameter is not expected to occur in the waste water at all
- Lower frequencies are implemented if a parameter can be calculated reliably from the material input

Table 4.86: Monitoring plan performed in the reference plants
4.4 Environmental management tools

Description

The best environmental performance is usually achieved by the installation of the best technology and its operation in the most effective and efficient manner. This is recognised by the IPPC Directive definition of ‘techniques’ as “both the technology used and the way in which the installation is designed, built, maintained, operated and decommissioned”.

For IPPC installations an Environmental Management System (EMS) is a tool that operators can use to address these design, construction, maintenance, operation and decommissioning issues in a systematic, demonstrable way. An EMS includes the organisational structure, responsibilities, practices, procedures, processes and resources for developing, implementing, maintaining, reviewing and monitoring the environmental policy. Environmental Management Systems are most effective and efficient where they form an inherent part of the overall management and operation of an installation.

Within the European Union, many organisations have decided on a voluntary basis to implement environmental management systems based on EN ISO 14001:1996 or the EU Eco-management and audit scheme EMAS. EMAS includes the management system requirements of EN ISO 14001, but places additional emphasis on legal compliance, environmental performance and employee involvement; it also requires external verification of the management system and validation of a public environmental statement (in EN ISO 14001 self-declaration is an alternative to external verification). There are also many organisations that have decided to put in place non-standardised EMSs.

While both standardised systems (EN ISO 14001:1996 and EMAS) and non-standardised (“customised”) systems in principle take the organisation as the entity, this document takes a more narrow approach, not including all activities of the organisation e.g. with regard to their products and services, due to the fact that the regulated entity under the IPPC Directive is the installation (as defined in Article 2).

An environmental management system (EMS) for an IPPC installation can contain the following components:

(a) definition of an environmental policy
(b) planning and establishing objectives and targets
(c) implementation and operation of procedures
(d) checking and corrective action
(e) management review
(f) preparation of a regular environmental statement
(g) validation by certification body or external EMS verifier
(h) design considerations for end-of-life plant decommissioning
(i) development of cleaner technologies
(j) benchmarking.

These features are explained in somewhat greater detail below. For detailed information on components (a) to (g), which are all included in EMAS, the reader is referred to the reference literature indicated below.
(a) Definition of an environmental policy

Top management are responsible for defining an environmental policy for an installation and ensuring that it:

– is appropriate to the nature, scale and environmental impacts of the activities
– includes a commitment to pollution prevention and control
– includes a commitment to comply with all relevant applicable environmental legislation and regulations, and with other requirements to which the organisation subscribes
– provides the framework for setting and reviewing environmental objectives and targets
– is documented and communicated to all employees
– is available to the public and all interested parties.

(b) Planning, i.e.:

– procedures to identify the environmental aspects of the installation, in order to determine those activities which have or can have significant impacts on the environment, and to keep this information up-to-date
– procedures to identify and have access to legal and other requirements to which the organisation subscribes and that are applicable to the environmental aspects of its activities
– establishing and reviewing documented environmental objectives and targets, taking into consideration the legal and other requirements and the views of interested parties
– establishing and regularly updating an environmental management programme, including designation of responsibility for achieving objectives and targets at each relevant function and level as well as the means and timeframe by which they are to be achieved.

(c) Implementation and operation of procedures

It is important to have systems in place to ensure that procedures are known, understood and complied with, therefore effective environmental management includes:

(i) Structure and responsibility
– defining, documenting and communicating roles, responsibilities and authorities, which includes appointing one specific management representative
– providing resources essential to the implementation and control of the environmental management system, including human resources and specialised skills, technology and financial resources.

(ii) Training, awareness and competence
– identifying training needs to ensure that all personnel whose work may significantly affect the environmental impacts of the activity have received appropriate training.

(iii) Communication
– establishing and maintaining procedures for internal communication between the various levels and functions of the installation, as well as procedures that foster a dialogue with external interested parties and procedures for receiving, documenting and, where reasonable, responding to relevant communication from external interested parties.

(iv) Employee involvement
– involving employees in the process aimed at achieving a high level of environmental performance by applying appropriate forms of participation such as the suggestion-book system or project-based group works or environmental committees.
(v) Documentation
  – establishing and maintaining up-to-date information, in paper or electronic form, to
describe the core elements of the management system and their interaction and to
provide direction to related documentation.

(vi) Efficient process control
  – adequate control of processes under all modes of operation, i.e. preparation, start-up,
routine operation, shutdown and abnormal conditions
  – identifying the key performance indicators and methods for measuring and
controlling these parameters (e.g. flow, pressure, temperature, composition and
quantity)
  – documenting and analysing abnormal operating conditions to identify the root causes
and then addressing these to ensure that events do not recur (this can be facilitated
by a ‘no-blame’ culture where the identification of causes is more important than
apportioning blame to individuals).

(vii) Maintenance programme
  – establishing a structured programme for maintenance based on technical descriptions
of the equipment, norms etc. as well as any equipment failures and consequences
  – supporting the maintenance programme by appropriate record keeping systems and
diagnostic testing
  – clearly allocating responsibility for the planning and execution of maintenance.

(viii) Emergency preparedness and response
  – establishing and maintaining procedures to identify the potential for and response to
accidents and emergency situations, and for preventing and mitigating the
environmental impacts that may be associated with them.

(d) Checking and corrective action, i.e.:

(i) Monitoring and measurement
  – establishing and maintaining documented procedures to monitor and measure, on a
regular basis, the key characteristics of operations and activities that can have a
significant impact on the environment, including the recording of information for
tracking performance, relevant operational controls and conformance with the
installation's environmental objectives and targets (see also the Reference document
on Monitoring of Emissions)
  – establishing and maintaining a documented procedure for periodically evaluating
compliance with relevant environmental legislation and regulations.

(ii) Corrective and preventive action
  – establishing and maintaining procedures for defining responsibility and authority for
handling and investigating non-conformance with permit conditions, other legal
requirements as well as objectives and targets, taking action to mitigate any impacts
caused and for initiating and completing corrective and preventive action that are
appropriate to the magnitude of the problem and commensurate with the
environmental impact encountered.

(iii) Records
  – establishing and maintaining procedures for the identification, maintenance and
disposition of legible, identifiable and traceable environmental records, including
training records and the results of audits and reviews.
(iv) Audit
- establishing and maintaining (a) programme(s) and procedures for periodic environmental management system audits that include discussions with personnel, inspection of operating conditions and equipment and reviewing of records and documentation and that results in a written report, to be carried out impartially and objectively by employees (internal audits) or external parties (external audits), covering the audit scope, frequency and methodologies, as well as the responsibilities and requirements for conducting audits and reporting results, in order to determine whether or not the environmental management system conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained
- completing the audit or audit cycle, as appropriate, at intervals of no longer than three years, depending on the nature, scale and complexity of the activities, the significance of associated environmental impacts, the importance and urgency of the problems detected by previous audits and the history of environmental problems – more complex activities with a more significant environmental impact are audited more frequently
- having appropriate mechanisms in place to ensure that the audit results are followed up.

(v) Periodic evaluation of legal compliance
- reviewing compliance with the applicable environmental legislation and the conditions of the environmental permit(s) held by the installation
- documentation of the evaluation.

(e) Management review, i.e.:
- reviewing, by top management, at intervals that it determines, the environmental management system, to ensure its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness
- ensuring that the necessary information is collected to allow management to carry out this evaluation
- documentation of the review.

(f) Preparation of a regular environmental statement:
- preparing an environmental statement that pays particular attention to the results achieved by the installation against its environmental objectives and targets. It is regularly produced – from once a year to less frequently depending on the significance of emissions, waste generation etc. It considers the information needs of relevant interested parties and it is publicly available (e.g. in electronic publications, libraries etc.).

When producing a statement, the operator may use relevant existing environmental performance indicators, making sure that the indicators chosen:

i. give an accurate appraisal of the installation’s performance
ii. are understandable and unambiguous
iii. allow for year on year comparison to assess the development of the environmental performance of the installation
iv. allow for comparison with sector, national or regional benchmarks as appropriate
v. allow for comparison with regulatory requirements as appropriate.

(g) Validation by certification body or external EMS verifier:
- having the management system, audit procedure and environmental statement examined and validated by an accredited certification body or an external EMS verifier can, if carried out properly, enhance the credibility of the system.
(h) Design considerations for end-of-life plant decommissioning

- giving consideration to the environmental impact from the eventual decommissioning of the unit at the stage of designing a new plant, as forethought makes decommissioning easier, cleaner and cheaper
- decommissioning poses environmental risks for the contamination of land (and groundwater) and generates large quantities of solid waste. Preventive techniques are process-specific but general considerations may include:
  i. avoiding underground structures
  ii. incorporating features that facilitate dismantling
  iii. choosing surface finishes that are easily decontaminated
  iv. using an equipment configuration that minimises trapped chemicals and facilitates drain-down or washing
  v. designing flexible, self-contained units that enable phased closure
  vi. using biodegradable and recyclable materials where possible.

(i) Development of cleaner technologies:

- environmental protection should be an inherent feature of any process design activities carried out by the operator, since techniques incorporated at the earliest possible design stage are both more effective and cheaper. Giving consideration to the development of cleaner technologies can for instance occur through R&D activities or studies. As an alternative to internal activities, arrangements can be made to keep abreast with – and where appropriate – commission work by other operators or research institutes active in the relevant field.

(j) Benchmarking, i.e.:

- carrying out systematic and regular comparisons with sector, national or regional benchmarks, including for energy efficiency and energy conservation activities, choice of input materials, emissions to air and discharges to water (using for example the European Pollutant Emission Register, EPER), consumption of water and generation of waste.

Standardised and non-standardised EMSs

An EMS can take the form of a standardised or non-standardised (“customised”) system. Implementation and adherence to an internationally accepted standardised system such as EN ISO 14001:1996 can give higher credibility to the EMS, especially when subject to a properly performed external verification. EMAS provides additional credibility due to the interaction with the public through the environmental statement and the mechanism to ensure compliance with the applicable environmental legislation. However, non-standardised systems can in principle be equally effective provided that they are properly designed and implemented.

Achieved environmental benefits

Implementation of and adherence to an EMS focuses the attention of the operator on the environmental performance of the installation. In particular, the maintenance of and compliance with clear operating procedures for both normal and abnormal situations and the associated lines of responsibility should ensure that the installation’s permit conditions and other environmental targets and objectives are met at all times.

Environmental management systems typically ensure the continuous improvement of the environmental performance of the installation. The poorer the starting point is, the more significant short-term improvements can be expected. If the installation already has a good overall environmental performance, the system helps the operator to maintain the high performance level.
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Cross-media effects

Environmental management techniques are designed to address the overall environmental impact, which is consistent with the integrated approach of the IPPC Directive.

Operational data

No specific information reported.

Applicability

The components described above can typically be applied to all IPPC installations. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the range of environmental impacts it may have.

Economics

It is difficult to accurately determine the costs and economic benefits of introducing and maintaining a good EMS. A number of studies are presented below. However, these are just examples and their results are not entirely coherent. They might not be representative for all sectors across the EU and should thus be treated with caution.

A Swedish study carried out in 1999 surveyed all 360 ISO-certified and EMAS-registered companies in Sweden. With a response rate of 50 %, it concluded among other things that:

- the expenses for introducing and operating EMS are high but not unreasonably so, save in the case of very small companies. Expenses are expected to decrease in the future
- a higher degree of co-ordination and integration of EMS with other management systems is seen as a possible way to decrease costs
- half of all the environmental objectives and targets give payback within one year through cost savings and/or increased revenue
- the largest cost savings were made through decreased expenditure on energy, waste treatment and raw materials
- most of the companies think that their position on the market has been strengthened through the EMS. One-third of the companies report increasing revenue due to EMS.

In some Member States reduced supervision fees are charged if the installation has a certification.

A number of studies\(^1\) show that there is an inverse relationship between company size and the cost of implementing an EMS. A similar inverse relationship exists for the payback period of invested capital. Both elements imply a less favourable cost-benefit relationship for implementing an EMS in SMEs compared to larger companies.

According to a Swiss study, the average cost for building and operating ISO 14001 can vary:

- for a company with between 1 and 49 employees: EUR 44000 (CHF 64000) for building the EMS and EUR 11000 (CHF 16000) per year for operating it
- for an industrial site with more than 250 employees: EUR 252000 (CHF 367000) for building the EMS and EUR 106000 (CHF 155000) per year for operating it.

These average figures do not necessarily represent the actual cost for a given industrial site because this cost is also highly dependent on the number of significant items (pollutants, energy consumption, ...) and on the complexity of the problems to be studied.

A recent German study (Schaltegger, Stefan and Wagner, Marcus, *Umweltnagement in deutschen Unternehmen - der aktuelle Stand der Praxis*, February 2002, p. 106) shows the following costs for EMAS for different branches. It can be noted that these figures are much lower than those of the Swiss study quoted above. This is a confirmation of the difficulty to determine the costs of an EMS.

**Costs for building (EUR):**
- minimum - 18750
- maximum - 75000
- average - 50000

**Costs for validation (EUR):**
- minimum - 5000
- maximum - 12500
- average - 6000

A study by the German Institute of Entrepreneurs (Unternehmerinstitut/Arbeitsgemeinschaft Selbständiger Unternehmer UNI/ASU, 1997, *Umweltnagementbefragung - Öko-Audit in der mittelständischen Praxis - Evaluierung und Ansätze für eine Effizienzsteigerung von Umweltmanagementsystemen in der Praxis*, Bonn.) gives information about the average savings achieved for EMAS per year and the average payback time. For example, for implementation costs of EUR 80000 they found average savings of EUR 50000 per year, corresponding to a payback time of about one and a half years.

External costs relating to verification of the system can be estimated from guidance issued by the International Accreditation Forum (http://www.iaf.nu).

**Driving forces for implementation**

Environmental management systems can provide a number of advantages, for example:

- improved insight into the environmental aspects of the company
- improved basis for decision-making
- improved motivation of personnel
- additional opportunities for operational cost reduction and product quality improvement
- improved environmental performance
- improved company image
- reduced liability, insurance and non-compliance costs
- increased attractiveness for employees, customers and investors
- increased trust of regulators, which could lead to reduced regulatory oversight
- improved relationship with environmental groups.

**Example plants**

The features described under (a) to (e) above are elements of EN ISO 14001:1996 and the European Community Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS), whereas the features (f) and (g) are specific to EMAS. These two standardised systems are applied in a number of IPPC installations. As an example, 357 organisations within the EU chemical and chemical products industry (NACE code 24) were EMAS registered in July 2002, most of which operate IPPC installations.
In the UK, the Environment Agency of England and Wales carried out a survey among IPC (the precursor to IPPC) regulated installations in 2001. It showed that 32 % of respondents were certified to ISO 14001 (corresponding to 21 % of all IPC installations) and 7 % were EMAS registered. All cement works in the UK (around 20) are certified to ISO 14001 and the majority are EMAS registered. In Ireland, where the establishment of an EMS (not necessarily of a standardised nature) is required in IPC licenses, an estimated 100 out of approximately 500 licensed installations have established an EMS according to ISO 14001, with the other 400 installations having opted for a non-standardised EMS.

[43, Chimia, 2000] reports the following example of the successful implementation of an EMS on an OFC site:

Various production processes on the *039A,I* site generate large quantities of waste water, which is treated in the company’s own WWTP. Although all statutory requirements are currently being met, the plant has set itself an ambitious target to increase eco-efficiency as part of its “Responsible Care Programme”. After two years, the first net benefits are becoming clear. Over this period, it has been possible to achieve a 27 % reduction in the solvent load of waste water streams, which has not only had environmental benefits, but also measurable economic benefits. This has been achieved by various measures in the production plants, supported by:

- interdepartmental working teams (“matrix teams”), and
- direct employee participation in the resulting cost savings.

**Reference literature**


5 BEST AVAILABLE TECHNIQUES

In understanding this chapter and its contents, the attention of the reader is drawn back to the preface of this document and in particular the fifth section of the preface: “How to understand and use this document”. The techniques and associated emission and/or consumption levels, or ranges of levels, presented in this chapter have been assessed through an iterative process involving the following steps:

- identification of the key environmental issues for the sector
- examination of the techniques most relevant to address these key issues
- identification of the best environmental performance levels, on the basis of the available data in the European Union and worldwide
- examination of the conditions under which these performance levels were achieved; such as costs, cross-media effects, and the main driving forces involved in implementation of the techniques
- selection of the best available techniques (BAT) and the associated emission and/or consumption levels for this sector in a general sense, all according to Article 2(11) and Annex IV of the Directive.

Expert judgement by the European IPPC Bureau and the relevant Technical Working Group (TWG) has played a key role in each of these steps and in the way in which the information is presented here.

On the basis of this assessment, techniques, and as far as possible emission and consumption levels associated with the use of BAT, are presented in this chapter that are considered to be appropriate to the sector as a whole and in many cases reflect the current performance of some installations within the sector. Where emission or consumption levels “associated with best available techniques” are presented, this is to be understood as meaning that those levels represent the environmental performance that could be anticipated as a result of the application, in this sector, of the techniques described, bearing in mind the balance of costs and advantages inherent within the definition of BAT. However, they are neither emission nor consumption limit values and should not be understood as such. In some cases it may be technically possible to achieve better emission or consumption levels but due to the costs involved or cross-media considerations, they are not considered to be appropriate as BAT for the sector as a whole. However, such levels may be considered to be justified in more specific cases where there are special driving forces.

The emission and consumption levels associated with the use of BAT have to be seen together with any specified reference conditions (e.g. averaging periods).

The concept of “levels associated with BAT” described above is to be distinguished from the term “achievable level” used elsewhere in this document. Where a level is described as “achievable” using a particular technique or combination of techniques, this should be understood to mean that the level may be expected to be achieved over a substantial period of time in a well maintained and operated installation or process using those techniques.

Where available, data concerning costs have been given together with the description of the techniques presented in the previous chapter. These give a rough indication about the magnitude of the costs involved. However, the actual cost of applying a technique will depend strongly on the specific situation regarding, for example, taxes, fees, and the technical characteristics of the installation concerned. It is not possible to evaluate such site-specific factors fully in this document. In the absence of data concerning costs, conclusions on economic viability of techniques are drawn from observations on existing installations.
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It is intended that the general BAT in this chapter are a reference point against which to judge the current performance of an existing installation or to judge a proposal for a new installation. In this way they will assist in the determination of appropriate "BAT-based" conditions for the installation or in the establishment of general binding rules under Article 9(8). It is foreseen that new installations can be designed to perform at or even better than the general BAT levels presented here. It is also considered that existing installations could move towards the general BAT levels or do better, subject to the technical and economic applicability of the techniques in each case.

While the BAT reference documents do not set legally binding standards, they are meant to give information for the guidance of industry, Member States and the public on achievable emission and consumption levels when using specified techniques. The appropriate limit values for any specific case will need to be determined taking into account the objectives of the IPPC Directive and the local considerations.

Interfaces to other BREFs

The BREF on “Common waste gas and waste water treatment/management systems in the chemical sector” (CWW) describes techniques which are commonly applicable to the whole spectrum of the chemical industry. As a result, only generic conclusions were derived, which de facto could not take into account the specific characteristics of the manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals.

Using the results of the BREF on CWW as a source of information, the BREF on OFC provides a further assessment of such techniques in the OFC context. The main aspect is the effect of the operational mode (batch manufacture, production campaigns, frequent product changes) on the selection and the applicability of treatment techniques, as well as the implicit challenges of managing a multipurpose site. Furthermore, the performance is assessed and conclusions are drawn based on OFC specific information and data. For OFC installations, the results of the BREF on OFC take precedence over the general conclusions of the CWW BREF. With regard to indirect releases into water, e.g. joint treatment in industrial parks or in a municipal waste water treatment plant, Article 2(6) of the IPPC Directive requires to guarantee an equivalent level for the protection of the environment as a whole and the provision that the indirect release does not lead to higher levels of pollution in the environment.

Detailed descriptions of recovery or abatement techniques can be found in the BREF on CWW [31, European Commission, 2003]

BAT for the storage of bulk or dangerous materials are described in the BREF on Emissions from Storage [64, European Commission, 2005].

For good monitoring practices see the BREF on General Principles of Monitoring [108, European Commission, 2003].

Comparison of the conclusions of the BREF on OFC with other sectorial BREFs (so called “vertical” BREFs), e.g. the BREF on Surface Treatment using Solvents, is avoided by intention, because other sectors might have different environmental key issues and different economic balances.

Mass flow and concentration levels

In this chapter, where general BAT associated emission levels are given both in terms of concentration and mass flow, that which represents the greater amount in specific cases is intended as the BAT reference. All BAT associated emission levels relate to emissions from point sources. Where not stated differently, emissions to air relate to Nm³.
5.1 Prevention and minimisation of environmental impact

5.1.1 Prevention of environmental impact

5.1.1.1 Integration of environmental, health and safety considerations into process development

The likelihood of successful prevention and minimisation of the environmental impact of a process increases if environmental, health and safety issues are considered early in the process development chain. This is even more the case where production processes require validation procedures under other regulations, such as cGMP or approval by the European Medicine Evaluation Agency (EMEA), the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or other applicable medicine approval authorities in the case of API production. In such cases, retrofitting of a process would cause long and costly revalidation processes. The aim is to design out environmental issues and to provide an auditable trail for the assessment and consideration of environmental issues. However, often a compromise has to be found or one aspect has to be favoured over another. Examples for alternative synthesis and reaction conditions are given in Section 4.1.4. In reality, management and treatment of a wide variety of unavoidable waste streams remain crucial tasks on a multipurpose site (see Section 5.2).

**BAT is to provide an auditable trail for the integration of environmental, health and safety considerations into process development (see Section 4.1.2).**

**BAT is to develop new processes as follows (see Section 4.1.1):**

a) to improve process design to maximise the incorporation of all the input materials used into the final product (see, e.g. Sections 4.1.4.3 and 4.1.4.8)

b) to use substances that possess little or no toxicity to human health and the environment. Substances should be chosen in order to minimise the potential for accidents, releases, explosions and fires (e.g. for solvent selection, see Section 4.1.3).

c) to avoid the use of auxiliary substances (e.g. solvents, separation agents, etc. see e.g. Section 4.1.4.2)

d) to minimise energy requirements in recognition of the associated environmental and economic impacts. Reactions at ambient temperatures and pressures should be preferred

e) to use renewable feedstock rather than depleting, wherever technically and economically practicable

f) to avoid unnecessary derivatisation (e.g. blocking or protection groups)

g) to apply catalytic reagents, which are typically superior to stoichiometric reagents (see, e.g. Sections 4.1.4.4 and 4.1.4.5)
5.1.1.2 Process safety and prevention of runaway reactions

Safety assessment is introduced in this document as it can help to prevent accidents with potentially significant environmental impacts. However, this subject could not be entirely dealt with in this document. The field of process safety is much wider than presented here. Section 4.1.6.3 contains a list of references for additional information.

5.1.1.2.1 Safety assessment

BAT is to carry out a structured safety assessment for normal operation and to take into account effects due to deviations of the chemical process and deviations in the operation of the plant (see Section 4.1.6).

In order to ensure that a process can be controlled adequately, BAT is to apply one or a combination of the following techniques (without ranking, see Section 4.1.6.1):

a) organisational measures
b) concepts involving control engineering techniques
c) reaction stoppers (e.g. neutralisation, quenching)
d) emergency cooling
e) pressure resistant construction
f) pressure relief.

5.1.1.2.2 Handling and storage of hazardous substances

Handling and storage of hazardous substances require precautions to limit the risks. On sites where toxic substances are handled, operators need sufficient and adequate knowledge to work safely in normal operation and to react adequately when deviations from normal operations occur:

BAT is to establish and implement procedures and technical measures to limit risks from the handling and storage of hazardous substances (for an example, see Section 4.2.30).

BAT is to provide sufficient and adequate training for operators who handle hazardous substances (for an example, see Section 4.2.29).
5.1.2 Minimisation of environmental impact

5.1.2.1 Plant design

The design of a plant is not easily changed, so for existing plants a step-by-step retrofitting is required. For example, the possibility of consequently using gravity flow depends on the production building actually available (five floors required) and may not be possible in many cases.

**BAT is to design new plants in such a way that emissions are minimised by applying techniques including the following (see Sections 4.2.1, 4.2.3, 4.2.14, 4.2.15, 4.2.21):**

a) using closed and sealed equipment  
b) closing the production building and ventilating it mechanically  
c) using inert gas blanketing for process equipment where VOCs are handled  
d) connecting reactors to one or more condensers for solvent recovery  
e) connecting condensers to the recovery/abatement system  
f) using gravity flow instead of pumps (pumps can be an important source of fugitive emissions)  
g) enabling the segregation and selective treatment of waste water streams  
h) enabling a high degree of automation by application of a modern process control system in order to ensure a stable and efficient operation.

5.1.2.2 Ground protection and water retention options

**BAT is to design, build, operate and maintain facilities, where substances (usually liquids) which represent a potential risk of contamination of ground and groundwater are handled, in such a way that spill potential is minimised. Facilities have to be sealed, stable and sufficiently resistant against possible mechanical, thermal or chemical stress (see Section 4.2.27).**

**BAT is to enable leakages to be quickly and reliably recognised (see Section 4.2.27).**

**BAT is to provide sufficient retention volumes to safely retain spills and leaking substances in order to enable treatment or disposal (see Section 4.2.27).**

**BAT is to provide sufficient retention volume to safely retain fire fighting water and contaminated surface water (see Section 4.2.28).**

**BAT is to apply all the following techniques (see also Section 4.2.27):**

a) carrying out loading and unloading only in designated areas protected against leakage run-off  
b) storing and collecting materials awaiting disposal in designated areas protected against leakage run-off  
c) fitting all pump sumps or other treatment plant chambers from which spillage might occur with high liquid level alarms or regularly supervising pump sumps by personnel instead  
d) establishing programmes for testing and inspecting tanks and pipelines including flanges and valves  
e) providing spill control equipment, such as containment booms and suitable absorbent material  
f) testing and demonstrating the integrity of bunds  
g) equipping tanks with overfill prevention.
5.1.2.3 Minimisation of VOC emissions

5.1.2.3.1 Enclosure of sources

An example is the separation of solid products or intermediates from solvents in closed systems. This is realised consequently by the application of filter dryers or by keeping the system closed when discharging the wet filter cake for subsequent operations (see Section 4.2.19).

BAT is to contain and enclose sources and to close any openings in order to minimise uncontrolled emissions (see Section 4.2.14).

5.1.2.3.2 Drying in closed circuits

BAT is to carry out drying by using closed circuits, including condensers for solvent recovery (see Section 4.2.14).

5.1.2.3.3 Equipment cleaning using solvents

Often, the cleaning of equipment (e.g. vessels) is finished with a final rinse with solvent. The equipment is kept closed and residual solvent is removed by applying vacuum and/or by slightly heating and removing vapours after the emptying of the vessel (see Section 4.2.13).

BAT is to keep equipment closed for rinsing and cleaning with solvents (see Section 4.2.14).

5.1.2.3.4 Recirculation of process vents

BAT is to use recirculation of process vapours where purity requirements allow this (see Section 4.2.14).

5.1.2.4 Minimisation of exhaust gas volume flows and loads

5.1.2.4.1 Closure of openings

At a given temperature (e.g. set by a condenser), the decisive parameter to control the mass flow of an exhaust gas is the volume flow.

BAT is to close any unnecessary openings in order to prevent air being sucked to the gas collection system via the process equipment (see Sections 4.2.14 and 4.3.5.17).

5.1.2.4.2 Testing the airtightness of process equipment

This is carried out by closing and sealing all openings until the equipment holds a vacuum or a pressure (e.g. a vacuum of about 100 mbar for at least 30 minutes).

BAT is to ensure the airtightness of process equipment, especially of vessels (see Section 4.2.16).
5.1.2.4.3 Inertisation

Testing airtightness of the equipment is carried out regularly and enables the application of shock inertisation instead of continuous inertisation. Still, continuous inertisation has to be accepted due to safety requirements, e.g. where processes generate O₂ or where processes require further loading of material after inertisation.

**BAT is to apply shock inertisation instead of continuous inertisation (see Section 4.2.17).**

5.1.2.4.4 Minimisation of exhaust gas volume flows from distillations

Exhaust gas volume flows from distillations can be minimised to almost zero if the layout of the condenser allows sufficient heat removal.

**BAT is to minimise the exhaust gas volume flows from distillations by optimising the layout of the condenser (see Section 4.2.20).**

5.1.2.4.5 Liquid additions into vessels

Liquid addition to a vessel is possible as top feed or as bottom feed or with dip-leg. In the case of an organic liquid, the organic load in the displaced gas is about 10 to 100 times higher if added via top feed. If both solids and an organic liquid are added to the vessel, the solids can be used as a dynamic lid in the case of bottom feeding the liquid:

**BAT is to carry out liquid addition to vessels as bottom feed or with dip-leg, unless reaction chemistry and/or safety considerations make it impractical (see Sections 4.2.15, 4.2.18). In such cases, the addition of liquid as top feed with a pipe directed to the wall reduces splashing and hence, the organic load in the displaced gas.**

**If both solids and an organic liquid are added to a vessel, BAT is to use solids as a blanket in circumstances where the density difference promotes the reduction of the organic load in the displaced gas, unless reaction chemistry and/or safety considerations make it impractical (see Section 4.2.18).**

5.1.2.4.6 Minimisation of peak emission concentrations

A characteristic aspect of batch processes is the variation of pollutant load and volume flow in exhaust gases. Such variations represent a challenge for the operation of recovery or abatement techniques and result frequently in undesirable emission concentration peaks potentially representing a higher environmental impact:

**BAT is to minimise the accumulation of peak loads and flows and related emission concentration peaks by, e.g.**

a) **optimisation of the production matrix (see Section 4.3.5.17)**

b) **application of smoothing filters (see Section 4.3.5.16 and also Section 4.3.5.13).**
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5.1.2.5 Minimisation of volume and load of waste water streams

5.1.2.5.1 Mother liquors with high salt content

The separation of products or intermediates from aqueous solutions frequently creates highly loaded aqueous mother liquors. Especially where the product is obtained by salting out or bulk neutralisation, a work-up of such mother liquors is often hindered by the high salt content. Alternative separation of products or intermediates can increase yields or even the product quality, but the technical applicability of alternative separation techniques needs to be assessed for each case individually. For examples, see Sections 4.2.4, 4.2.25 and 4.2.26.

BAT is to avoid mother liquors with high salt content or to enable the work-up of mother liquors by the application of alternative separation techniques (see Section 4.2.24), e.g.

a) membrane processes
b) solvent-based processes
c) reactive extraction
d) or to omit intermediate isolation.

5.1.2.5.2 Countercurrent product washing

As a polishing step, organic products are often washed with an aqueous phase in order to remove impurities. High efficiencies in combination with low water consumption (and low waste water generation) can be achieved with countercurrent washing. However, the degree of optimisation of the washing process depends on the production level and regularity. With small amounts, experimental production runs and rare production campaigns, countercurrent product washing is not applicable.

BAT is to apply countercurrent product washing where the production scale justifies the introduction of the technique (see Section 4.2.22).

5.1.2.5.3 Water-free vacuum generation

Water-free vacuum generation is realised by using, e.g. dry running pumps, liquid ring pumps using solvents as the ring medium or closed cycle liquid ring pumps. However, where the applicability of these techniques is restricted (see cross-references below), the use of steam injectors or water ring pumps is justified.

BAT is to apply water-free vacuum generation (see Sections 4.2.5, 4.2.6 and 4.2.7).

5.1.2.5.4 Determination of the completion of reactions

The precise determination of a chemical process completion minimises the potential load in waste water streams caused by a batch process.

For batch processes, BAT is to establish clear procedures for the determination of the desired end point of the reaction (for an example, see Section 4.2.23).
5.1.2.5.5 Indirect cooling

Indirect cooling is not applicable for processes which require the addition of water or ice to enable safe temperature control, temperature jumps or temperature shock. Direct cooling can also be required to control “run away” situations (see Section 4.1.6.2) or where there are concerns about blocking heat-exchangers.

**BAT is to apply indirect cooling (see Section 4.2.9).**

5.1.2.5.6 Cleaning

Production plant cleaning procedures can be optimised to reduce the resulting waste water loads. In particular, the introduction of an additional cleaning step (pre-rinsing) enables the separation of large portions of solvents from wash-waters. Where different materials are frequently transported in pipes, the use of pigging technology represents another option to reduce product losses within cleaning procedures (see Section 4.2.8).

**BAT is to apply a pre-rinsing step prior to rinsing/cleaning of equipment to minimise organic loads in wash-waters (see Section 4.2.12).**

5.1.2.6 Minimisation of energy consumption

On an OFC site, a wide variety of processes/operations involve cooling and heating, heat-exchange or the use of temperature profiles. An obvious example to optimise heat consumption is the use of residual heat as energy input to another process, for example in the case of energetically coupled distillations. As another example, Section 4.2.10 gives the basic concept of the Pinch methodology which has been applied successfully on an OFC site operating batch processes with 30 reactors and over 300 products achieving cost savings and quick paybacks.

**BAT is to assess the options and to optimise the energy consumption (for examples, see Sections 4.2.11 and 4.2.20).**
5.2 Management and treatment of waste streams

Management and treatment of a wide variety of unavoidable waste streams are crucial tasks on a multipurpose site. However, as an alternative to the investment of recovery/abatement techniques, a modernisation of the process should always be assessed as an option in order to prevent or minimise waste stream volumes or loads, close cycles or enable on-site or off-site re-use (see BAT in Section 5.1, and for examples see Sections 4.1.4.2 and 4.1.4.3). The operational mode and frequent product change on a multipurpose site naturally favour flexible recovery/abatement solutions, e.g. modular concepts (see Section 4.3.5.17) or techniques fulfilling several tasks efficiently and simultaneously (for examples see Sections 4.2.1 and 4.3.5.7). In addition, external treatment should always be considered as an option, including solutions such as joint pretreatment/treatment platforms for waste water streams as shown in Section 4.3.7.4.

5.2.1 Mass balances and process waste stream analysis

Mass balances are important tools for understanding a multipurpose production and the development of priorities for improvement strategies. The management of waste streams is based on the knowledge of the properties of the occurring waste stream and the monitoring of the results of the treatment of waste streams, including the final emission data.

5.2.1.1 Mass balances

BAT is to establish mass balances for VOCs (including CHCs), TOC or COD, AOX or EOX and heavy metals on a yearly basis (see Sections 4.3.1.4, 4.3.1.5 and 4.3.1.6).

5.2.1.2 Waste stream analysis

BAT is to carry out a detailed waste stream analysis in order to identify the origin of the waste stream and a basic data set to enable management and suitable treatment of exhaust gases, waste water streams and solid residues (see Section 4.3.1.1).

5.2.1.3 Assessment of waste water streams

BAT is to assess at least the parameters given in Table 5.1 for waste water streams, unless the parameter can be seen as irrelevant from a scientific point of view (see Section 4.3.1.2).
### Table 5.1: Parameters for the assessment of waste water streams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume per batch</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batches per year</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume per day</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume per year</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD or TOC</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioeliminability</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological inhibition, including nitrification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>Where it is expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCs</td>
<td>Where it is expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;2-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual toxicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.2.1.1.4 Monitoring of emissions to air

Monitoring of waste gas emissions should reflect the operational mode of the production processes (batch, semi-continuous or continuous) and should also take into account the emission of individual substances, especially if substances with ecotoxicological potential are released. Therefore, emission profiles should be recorded instead of levels derived from short sampling periods. Emission data should be related to the operations responsible.

**For emissions to air, BAT is to monitor the emission profile which reflects the operational mode of the production process (see Section 4.3.1.8).**

**In the case of a non-oxidative abatement/recovery system, BAT is to apply a continuous monitoring system (e.g. FID), where exhaust gases from various processes are treated in a central recovery/abatement system (see Section 4.3.1.8).**

**BAT is to individually monitor substances with ecotoxicological potential if such substances are released (see Section 4.3.1.8).**
5.2.1.5 Assessment of individual volume flows

The key to the understanding of the waste gas emission situation and the basis of improvement strategies is the knowledge of the individual contribution of processes and operations to the volume flow to recovery and abatement systems.

BAT is to assess individual exhaust gas volume flows from process equipment to recovery/abatement systems (see Section 4.3.1.7).

5.2.2 Re-use of solvents

BAT is to re-use solvents as far as purity requirements (e.g. requirements according to cGMP) allow, by:

a) using the solvent from previous batches of a production campaign for future batches as far as purity requirements allow (see Section 4.3.4)
b) collecting spent solvents for on-site or off-site purification and re-use (for an example, see Section 4.3.3)
c) collecting spent solvents for on-site or off-site utilisation of the calorific value (see Section 4.3.5.7).

5.2.3 Treatment of exhaust gases

5.2.3.1 Selection of VOC recovery/abatement techniques and achievable emission levels

The selection of VOC treatment techniques is a crucial task on a multipurpose site. Since the volume flows show a wide variation on a multipurpose site, the key parameter for the selection of techniques are average mass flows from emission point sources in kg/hour. One or a combination of techniques can be applied as a recovery/abatement system for a whole site, an individual production building, or an individual process. This depends on the particular situation and affects the number of point sources.

5.2.3.1.1 Selection of VOC and recovery abatement techniques

BAT is to select VOC recovery and abatement techniques according to the flow scheme in Figure 5.1.

5.2.3.1.2 Non-oxidative VOC recovery and abatement techniques

Non-oxidative recovery/abatement techniques are operated efficiently after minimisation of volume flows (see Section 5.1.2.4) and the achieved concentration levels should be related to the corresponding volume flow without dilution by, e.g. volume flows from building or room ventilation.

BAT is to reduce emissions to the levels given in Table 5.2 where non-oxidative VOC recovery or abatement techniques are applied (see Sections 4.3.5.6, 4.3.5.11, 4.3.5.14, 4.3.5.17, 4.3.5.18).
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Parameter | Average emission level from point sources*  
--- | ---  
Total organic C | 0.1 kg C/hour or 20 mg C/m³**  

* The averaging time relates to the emission profile (see Sections 5.2.1.1.4 and 4.3.1.8), the levels relate to dry gas and Nm³.  
** The concentration level relates to volume flows without dilution by, e.g. volume flows from room or building ventilation.

Table 5.2: BAT associated VOC emission levels for non-oxidative recovery/abatement techniques

5.2.3.1.3 VOC abatement by thermal oxidation/incineration and catalytic oxidation

Thermal oxidation/incineration and catalytic oxidation are proven techniques for destroying VOCs with highest efficiency but show considerable cross-media effects. In direct comparison, catalytic oxidation consumes less energy and creates less NOX and hence is preferred where technically possible. Thermal oxidation is advantageous where support fuel can be replaced by organic liquid waste (e.g. waste solvents which are technically/economically available on-site and non-recoverable) or where autothermal operation can be enabled by stripping of organic compounds from waste water streams (see Section 4.3.5.9 and BAT in Section 5.2.4.3). Where exhaust gases also contain high loads of other pollutants besides VOCs, thermal oxidation can enable, e.g. the recovery of marketable HCl (see Section 4.3.5.2) or, if the thermal oxidiser is equipped with a DeNOX unit or is designed as two stage combustion, the efficient abatement of NOX (see Section 4.3.5.7). Thermal oxidation/incineration and catalytic oxidation can also be a suitable technique to reduce odour emissions.

** BAT is to reduce VOC emissions to the levels given in Table 5.3 where thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation are applied (see Sections 4.3.5.7, 4.3.5.8, 4.3.5.18).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation</th>
<th>Average mass flow kg C/hour</th>
<th>Average concentration mg C/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total organic C</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 or &lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The averaging time relates to the emission profile (see Section 5.2.1.1.4), levels relate to dry gas and Nm³.

Table 5.3: BAT associated emission levels for total organic C for thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation
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Figure 5.1: BAT for the selection of VOC recovery/abatement techniques

Table 5.4: Selection criteria for catalytic and thermal oxidation/incineration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a the exhaust gas contains very toxic, carcinogenic or cmr category 1 or 2 substances, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b autothermal operation is possible in normal operation, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c overall reduction of primary energy consumption is possible in the installation (e.g. secondary heat option)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.3.2 Recovery/abatement of NO\textsubscript{X}

5.2.3.2.1 NO\textsubscript{X} from thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation

For thermal oxidation/incineration or catalytic oxidation, BAT is to achieve the NO\textsubscript{X} emission levels given in Table 5.5 and, where necessary, to apply a DeNO\textsubscript{X} system (e.g. SCR or SNCR) or two stage combustion to achieve such levels (see Sections 4.3.5.7 and 4.3.5.19).

5.2.3.2.2 NO\textsubscript{X} from chemical processes

Where NO\textsubscript{X} is absorbed from strong NO\textsubscript{X} streams (about 1000 ppm and higher) a 55 % HNO\textsubscript{3} can be obtained for on-site or off-site re-use. Often, exhaust gases containing NO\textsubscript{X} from chemical processes also contain VOCs and can be treated in a thermal oxidiser/incinerator, e.g. equipped with a DeNO\textsubscript{X} unit or built as a two stage combustion (where already available on-site).

For exhaust gases from chemical production processes, BAT is to achieve the NO\textsubscript{X} emission levels given in Table 5.5 and, where necessary to apply treatment techniques such as scrubbing or scrubber cascades with scrubber media such as H\textsubscript{2}O and/or H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} to achieve such levels (see Section 4.3.5.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Average mass flow kg/hour*</th>
<th>Average concentration mg/m\textsuperscript{3} or</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical production processes, e.g. nitration, recovery of spent acids</td>
<td>0.03 – 1.7</td>
<td>7 – 220**</td>
<td>The lower end of the range relates to low inputs to the scrubbing system and scrubbing with H\textsubscript{2}O. With high input levels, the lower end of the range is not achievable even with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} as the scrubbing medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation/incineration, catalytic oxidation</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.3</td>
<td>13 – 50***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal oxidation/incineration, catalytic oxidation, input of nitrogenous organic compounds</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 – 150***</td>
<td>Lower range with SCR, upper range with SNCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NO\textsubscript{X} expressed as NO\textsubscript{2}, the averaging time relates to the emission profile (see Section 5.2.1.1.4)
** Levels relate to dry gas and Nm\textsuperscript{3}
*** Levels relate to dry gas and Nm\textsuperscript{3}

Table 5.5: BAT associated NO\textsubscript{X} emission levels
5.2.3.3 Recovery/abatement of HCl, Cl₂ and HBr/Br₂

HCl is removed from exhaust gases with one or more scrubbers using scrubbing media such as H₂O or NaOH (see Section 4.3.5.3). HCl can be efficiently recovered from exhaust gases with high HCl concentrations, if the production volume justifies the investment costs for the required equipment. This can be expected where production lines are dedicated to a larger volume product or to a range of similar products. For an example, see Section 4.3.5.2. Where HCl recovery is not preceded by VOC removal, potential organic contaminants (AOX) have to be considered in the recovered HCl. Similarly, Cl₂ requires additional measures if present in the exhaust gas. HBr and Br₂ are recovered/removed under similar conditions from exhaust gases (see Section 4.3.5.4).

BAT is to achieve HCl emission levels of 0.2 – 7.5 mg/m³ or 0.001 – 0.08 kg/hour and, where necessary, to apply of one or more scrubbers using scrubbing media such as H₂O or NaOH in order to achieve such levels (see Section 4.3.5.3).

BAT is to achieve Cl₂ emission levels of 0.1 – 1 mg/m³ and, where necessary, to apply techniques such as absorption of the excess chlorine (see Section 4.3.5.5) and/or scrubbing with scrubbing media such as NaHSO₃ in order to achieve such levels (see Section 4.3.5.2).

BAT is to achieve HBr emission levels <1 mg/m³ and, where necessary, to apply scrubbing with scrubbing media such as H₂O or NaOH in order to achieve such levels (see Sections 1.1.1, 4.3.5.4).

5.2.3.4 NH₃ emission levels

5.2.3.4.1 Removal of NH₃ from exhaust gases

BAT is to achieve NH₃ emission levels of 0.1 – 10 mg/m³ or 0.001 – 0.1 kg/hour and, where necessary, to apply scrubbing with scrubbing media such as H₂O or acid in order to achieve such levels (see Section 4.3.5.20).

5.2.3.4.2 NH₃ slip from DeNOₓ

BAT is to achieve NH₃ slip levels from SCR or SNCR of <2 mg/m³ or <0.02 kg/hour (see Section 4.3.5.7).

5.2.3.5 Removal of SOₓ from exhaust gases

BAT is to achieve SOₓ emission levels of 1 – 15 mg/m³ or 0.001 – 0.1 kg/hour and, where necessary, to apply scrubbing with scrubbing media such as H₂O or NaOH in order to achieve such levels (see Section 4.3.5.21).
5.2.3.6 Removal of particulates from exhaust gases

Particulates are removed from various exhaust gases. The choice of recovery/abatement systems depends strongly on the particulate properties.

BAT is to achieve particulate emission levels of 0.05 – 5 mg/m³ or 0.001 – 0.1 kg/hour and, where necessary, to apply techniques such as bag filters, fabric filters, cyclones, scrubbing, or wet electrostatic precipitation (WESP) in order to achieve such levels (see Section 4.3.5.22).

5.2.3.7 Removal of free cyanides from exhaust gases

Due to their toxicity, cyanides are removed from rich and lean exhaust gases by scrubbing.

BAT is to remove free cyanides from exhaust gases, and to achieve a waste gas emission level of 1 mg/m³ or 3 g/hour as HCN (see Section 4.3.6.2).

5.2.4 Management and treatment of waste water streams

5.2.4.1 Typical waste water streams for segregation, pretreatment or disposal

Some types of waste water streams are typical candidates for segregation and selective pretreatment or disposal due to their characteristic properties.

5.2.4.1.1 Mother liquors from halogenation and sulphochlorination

BAT is to segregate and pretreat or dispose of mother liquors from halogenations and sulphochlorinations (see Sections 4.3.2.5, 4.3.2.10).

5.2.4.1.2 Waste water streams containing biologically active substances

Examples are, e.g. waste water streams from the production of biocides/plant health products or wash-waters from product washing after the nitration of aromates, typically containing (nitro-) phenols.

BAT is to pretreat waste water streams containing biologically active substances at levels which could pose a risk either to a subsequent waste water treatment or to the receiving environment after discharge (see Sections 4.3.2.6, 4.3.7.5, 4.3.7.9, 4.3.8.13 and 4.3.8.18).

5.2.4.1.3 Spent acids from sulphonations or nitrations

Spent acids from sulphonations or nitrations can usually be recovered. Where recovery is not possible, e.g. due to a high salt content (see also BAT in Section 5.1.2.5.1), pretreatment can be necessary according to the BAT given in 5.2.4.2. An example is the extraction of mother liquors from nitrations after phase separation (see Section 4.3.2.6).

BAT is to segregate and collect separately spent acids, e.g. from sulphonations or nitrations for on-site or off-site recovery or to apply BAT given in 5.2.4.2 (see Sections 4.3.2.6, 4.3.2.8).
5.2.4.2 Treatment of waste water streams with relevant refractory organic load

The refractory organic load of a waste water stream passes through the biological WWTP more or less unchanged and requires pretreatment prior to biological treatment (see Section 4.3.7.10). Pretreatment techniques include oxidative techniques (e.g. see Section 4.3.7.2) and non-destructive techniques (e.g. see Section 4.3.7.1) and alternatively the disposal option (incineration). Two main strategies are available for pretreatment: elimination of refractory loadings or enhancing the biodegradability of such loadings (compare Sections 4.3.7.6 and 4.3.7.12). However, as an alternative to the investment of pretreatment techniques a modernisation of the process should always be assessed as an option in order to prevent or minimise the refractory load of a waste water stream. The main criterion for this is the bioeliminability. If the actual production spectrum causes poorly bioeliminable organic loadings in most of the waste water streams (e.g. manufacture of dyes, optical brighteners, aromatic intermediates), the refractory load is introduced as selection criterion in order to set priorities. Bioeliminabilities and, hence, the refractory organic load are related to the assessment of the inherent bioeliminability, e.g. by Zahn-Wellens test (see Section 4.3.1.3). Instead of the 80 % from inherent bioeliminability testing, for screening purposes, a BOD5/COD ratio of 0.6 can be used. For examples of waste water streams from different unit processes, see Section 4.3.2. The development of pretreatment strategies for refractory loads is not viable in cases of experimental production runs and rare batch production.

5.2.4.2.1 Relevant refractory organic loading

For the purposes of pretreatment, BAT is to classify organic loading as follows:

Refractory organic loading is not relevant if the waste water stream shows a bioeliminability of greater than about 80 – 90 % (see Sections 4.3.7.6, 4.3.7.7, 4.3.7.8). In cases with lower bioeliminability, the refractory organic loading is not relevant if it is lower than the range of about 7.5 – 40 kg TOC per batch or per day (see Sections 4.3.7.10, 4.3.7.12 and 4.3.7.13).

5.2.4.2.2 Segregation and pretreatment

BAT is to segregate and pretreat waste water streams containing relevant refractory organic loadings according to the criteria given in Section 5.2.4.2.1.

5.2.4.2.3 Overall COD elimination

For the segregated waste water streams carrying a relevant refractory organic load according to Section 5.2.4.2.1, BAT is to achieve overall COD elimination rates for the combination of pretreatment and biological treatment of >95 % (see Section 4.3.8.9).
5.2.4.3 Removal of solvents from waste water streams

Because of the volumes used, solvents are often a big environmental impact of a process. Hence, recovery and re-use of solvents, or at least the utilisation of the calorific value, is an important task. Recovery of solvents from waste water streams for re-use is always viable if:

\[
\text{Costs for biological treatment} + \text{purchase costs for fresh solvents} > \text{Costs for recovery} + \text{purification}
\]

Recovery of solvents from waste water streams in order to use the calorific value is environmentally advantageous always if the energy balance (comparison of biological WWTP on one side and stripping/distillation/thermal oxidation on the other side) shows that overall natural fuel can be substituted. As a result for many solvents, a target of 1 g/l in waste water streams is used. The target is higher for cheap solvents (e.g. for methanol, ethanol 10 – 15 g/l) and for solvents which require higher efforts to be purified (for orientation, see Section 4.3.7.18). Rapidly degradable solvents can also represent a necessary C-source for a biological WWTP (e.g. see Section 4.3.8.8). Combinations of techniques such as stripping/incineration can represent an efficient and viable alternative to treatment in the biological WWTP and can also alter the economic/energetic balance in favour of thermal oxidation/incineration as the main exhaust gas abatement system (see Section 4.3.5.9). Besides the economic or energetic balance, the removal of solvents from waste water streams can also be required in order to protect downstream pretreatment facilities, such as activated carbon adsorption. For halogenated solvents, apply BAT in Section 5.2.4.4, for poorly bioeliminable solvents, apply BAT in Section 5.2.4.2.

**BAT is to recover solvents from waste water streams for on-site or off-site re-use, using techniques such as stripping, distillation/rectification, extraction or combinations of such techniques, where the costs for biological treatment and purchase of fresh solvents are higher than the costs for recovery and purification (see Section 4.3.7.18).**

**BAT is to recover solvents from waste water streams in order to use the calorific value if the energy balance shows that overall natural fuel can be substituted (see Section 4.3.5.7).**

5.2.4.4 Removal of halogenated compounds from waste water streams

5.2.4.4.1 Removal of purgeable chlorinated hydrocarbons

Purgeable chlorinated hydrocarbons (CHCs) show ecotoxicological potential and are being substituted as solvents where technically possible. Where CHCs are still in use, all efforts are undertaken to remove such compounds from waste water streams.

**BAT is to remove purgeable CHCs from waste water streams, e.g. by stripping, rectification or extraction and to achieve sum concentrations <1 mg/l in the outlet from pretreatment or to achieve sum concentrations of <0.1 mg/l in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system (see Sections 4.3.7.18, 4.3.7.19, 4.3.7.20).**
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5.2.4.4.2 Pretreatment of waste water streams containing AOX

In some Member States, AOX is a well established screening parameter for the assessment of halogenated organic compounds in aqueous solutions. In other Member States, AOX as a parameter is just being established and step-by-step implementation will be necessary in many cases. The main factor to actively influence the emission level of AOX is the segregation and selective pretreatment of waste water streams from processes with AOX relevance. For examples and applied techniques, see Sections 4.3.7.15, 4.3.7.16, 4.3.7.17, 4.3.7.23.

**BAT is to pretreat waste water streams with significant AOX loads and to achieve the AOX levels given in Table 5.6 in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system (see Section 4.3.7.14).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Yearly average levels</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>0.5 – 8.5</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>The upper range relates to cases where halogenated compounds are processed in numerous processes and the corresponding waste water streams are pretreated and/or where the AOX is very bioeliminable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.6: BAT associated AOX levels in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system

5.2.4.5 Pretreatment of waste water streams containing heavy metals

The main factor to actively influence the emission level of heavy metals is the segregation and selective pretreatment of waste water streams from processes where heavy metals are used deliberately. For examples and applied pretreatment techniques, see Sections 4.2.25, 4.3.2.4, 4.3.7.3, 4.3.7.21. If equivalent removal levels can be demonstrated in comparison with the combination of pretreatment and biological waste water treatment, heavy metals can be eliminated from the total effluent using only the biological waste water treatment process, provided that the biological treatment is carried out on-site and the treatment sludge is incinerated.

**BAT is to pretreat waste water streams containing significant levels of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds from processes where they are used deliberately and to achieve the heavy metal concentrations given in Table 5.7 in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system (see Section 4.3.7.22).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Yearly average levels</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.4</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>The upper ranges result from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.04 – 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.7: BAT associated levels for heavy metals in the inlet to the on-site biological WWTP or in the inlet to the municipal sewerage system
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5.2.4.6 Destruction of free cyanides

Due to their toxicity, cyanides are removed from rich and lean waste water streams, e.g. by pH adjustment and oxidative destruction with H_2O_2 (for other techniques, see Section 4.3.6.2 under Applicability). Depending on the individual case, it may be also possible to enable safe degradation of cyanides in a biological WWTP (see Section 4.3.6.2 under Applicability). The use of NaOCl for pretreatment is not considered as BAT due to the potential for formation of AOX. Reconditioning of different cyanide loaded streams can enable re-use and substitution of raw materials. Cyanides occurring in waste water streams together with high COD loads can be pretreated oxidatively by techniques such as wet oxidation with O_2 under alkaline conditions. In such cases, cyanide levels <1 mg/l are achievable in the treated waste water stream (see Section 4.3.7.4).

BAT is to recondition waste water streams containing free cyanides in order to substitute raw materials where technically possible (see Section 4.3.6.2).

BAT is to:

a) pretreat waste water streams containing significant loads of cyanides and to achieve a cyanide level of 1 mg/l or lower in the treated waste water stream (see Section 4.3.6.2) or to

b) enable safe degradation in a biological WWTP (see Section 4.3.6.2 under Applicability).

5.2.4.7 Biological waste water treatment

After the application of BAT given in Sections 5.2.4.1, 5.2.4.2, 5.2.4.3, 5.2.4.4 and 5.2.4.5 (management and treatment of waste water streams), BAT is to treat effluents containing a relevant organic load, such as waste water streams from production processes, rinsing and cleaning water, in a biological WWTP (see Sections 4.3.8.6 and 4.3.8.10).

5.2.4.7.1 On-site and joint treatment

Biological waste water treatment is carried out on-site or as joint treatment with other industrial waste waters or together with municipal waste water. Joint treatment can have advantages and disadvantages (see Section 4.3.8.4) and the biological treatment of a complex effluent from an OFC site requires a high level of communication between production and WWTP. An important aspect is the protection of the biological treatment from variations of the input properties, e.g. load or toxicity (see Sections 4.3.7.5, 4.3.8.4, 4.3.8.6, 4.3.8.7). Where stable operation cannot be ensured, retrofitting to a more reliable setup is required (see Sections 4.3.8.3, 4.3.8.8). This retrofitting may include the change-over from joint treatment with municipal waste water to on-site treatment.

BAT is to ensure that the elimination in a joint waste water treatment is overall not poorer than in the case of on-site treatment. This is realised by regular degradability/bioeliminability testing (see Section 4.3.8.5).
5.2.4.7.2 Elimination rates and emission levels

For biological waste water treatment, COD elimination rates of 93 – 97 % are typically achievable as a yearly average. It is important that a COD elimination rate cannot be understood as a standalone parameter, but is influenced by the production spectrum (e.g. production of dyes/pigments, optical brighteners, aromatic intermediates which create refractory loadings in most of the waste water streams on a site), the degree of solvent removal (see Section 4.3.7.18) and the degree of pretreatment of refractory organic loadings (see Sections 4.3.8.7 and 4.3.8.10). Depending on the individual situation, retrofitting of the biological WWTP is required in order to adjust, e.g. treatment capacity or buffer volume or the application of a nitrification/denitrification or a chemical/mechanical stage (e.g. see Section 4.3.8.8). In some Member States, AOX is a well established screening parameter for the assessment of halogenated organic compounds in an aqueous solution. In other Member States, AOX as a parameter is just being established and step-by-step implementation will be necessary in many cases. The main factor to actively influence the heavy metal emission levels is the segregation and selective pretreatment of waste water streams (see Section 4.3.7.22).

**BAT is to take full advantage of the biological degradation potential of the total effluent and to achieve BOD elimination rates above 99 % and yearly average BOD emission levels of 1 – 18 mg/l. The levels relate to the effluent after biological treatment without dilution, e.g. by mixing with cooling water (see Section 4.3.8.11).**

**BAT is to achieve the emission levels given in Table 5.8.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Yearly averages</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>12 – 250</td>
<td>see Section 4.3.8.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P</td>
<td>0.2 – 1.5</td>
<td>The upper range results from the production of mainly compounds containing phosphorus (see Sections 4.3.7.24, 4.3.8.16, 4.3.8.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic N</td>
<td>2 – 20</td>
<td>The upper range results from production of mainly organic compounds containing nitrogen or from, e.g. fermentation processes (see Sections 4.3.2.11 and 4.3.8.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.7</td>
<td>The upper range results from numerous AOX relevant productions and pretreatment of waste water streams with significant AOX loads (see Sections 4.3.8.12, 5.2.4.4.2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.007 – 0.1</td>
<td>The upper ranges result from the deliberate use of heavy metals or heavy metal compounds in numerous processes and the pretreatment of waste water streams from such use (see Sections 4.3.7.22, 4.3.8.1, 5.2.4.5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.004 – 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>– 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>10 – 20</td>
<td>See Section 4.3.8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDf</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
<td>Dilution factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDb</td>
<td>2 – 4</td>
<td>Toxicity is also expressed as aquatic toxicity (EC50 levels), see also Sections 4.3.8.7, 4.3.8.13, 4.3.8.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDn</td>
<td>1 – 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDh</td>
<td>3 – 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDH2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The levels relate to the effluent after biological treatment without dilution, e.g. by mixing with cooling water*

Table 5.8: BAT for emissions from the biological WWTP
5.2.4.8 Monitoring of the total effluent

Regular monitoring of the total effluent, including performance of the biological WWTP enables the operator of a multipurpose plant to identify problems arising from product changes, individual production campaigns or even individual production batches and to indicate that measures to solve such problems lead to results (for an example, see Section 4.3.8.8). Monitoring of refractory loads, AOX, and heavy metals show if pretreatment strategies were successful (for an example, see Sections 4.3.7.14 and 4.3.7.22). The monitoring frequencies should reflect the operational mode of the production and the frequency of product changes as well as the ratio of buffer volume and residence time in the biological WWTP. For an example concerning monitoring frequencies, see Table 4.86 in Section 4.3.8.21.

BAT is to regularly monitor the total effluent to and from the biological WWTP measuring at least the parameters given in Table 5.1. (see Section 4.3.8.21).

5.2.4.8.1 Biomonitoring

Where substances with ecotoxicological potential are handled or produced with or without intention (e.g. production of active pharmaceutical ingredients, biocides, plant health products), biomonitoring is a tool to identify residual acute toxicity in the total effluent instead of tracking an uncertain and possibly wide range of individual substances. Biomonitoring also represents an option to identify inherent problems on a production site which are possibly not as visible as fluctuations in other monitoring data. The biomonitoring frequencies should reflect the operational mode of the production and the frequency of product changes. Where biomonitoring shows that residual toxicity is a concern, the causes of such toxicity should be identified in order to develop and implement measures.

BAT is to carry out regular biomonitoring of the total effluent after the biological WWTP where substances with ecotoxicological potential are handled or produced with or without intention (for examples, see Sections 4.3.8.18 and 4.3.8.19).

5.2.4.8.2 Online toxicity monitoring

Where residual toxicity is identified as a concern (e.g. where fluctuations of the performance of the biological WWTP can be related to critical production campaigns), online biomonitoring in combination with online TOC measurement is a tool to identify critical situations early and to enable the operator to react.

BAT is to apply online toxicity monitoring in combination with online TOC measurement if residual acute toxicity is identified as a concern, for examples see Sections 4.3.8.7 and 4.3.8.20.
5.3 Environmental management

A number of environmental management techniques are determined as BAT. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the range of environmental impacts it may have.

BAT is to implement and adhere to an Environmental Management System (EMS) that incorporates, as appropriate to individual circumstances, the following features: (see Chapter 4)

- definition of an environmental policy for the installation by top management (commitment of the top management is regarded as a precondition for a successful application of other features of the EMS)
- planning and establishing the necessary procedures
- implementation of the procedures, paying particular attention to
  - structure and responsibility
  - training, awareness and competence
  - communication
  - employee involvement
  - documentation
  - efficient process control
  - maintenance programme
  - emergency preparedness and response
  - safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation.
- checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to
  - monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference document on Monitoring of Emissions)
  - corrective and preventive action
  - maintenance of records
  - independent (where practicable) internal auditing in order to determine whether or not the environmental management system conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained.
- review by top management.

Three further features, which can complement the above stepwise, are considered as supporting measures. However, their absence is generally not inconsistent with BAT. These three additional steps are:

- having the management system and audit procedure examined and validated by an accredited certification body or an external EMS verifier
- preparation and publication (and possibly external validation) of a regular environmental statement describing all the significant environmental aspects of the installation, allowing for year-by-year comparison against environmental objectives and targets as well as with sector benchmarks as appropriate
- implementation and adherence to an internationally accepted voluntary system such as EMAS and EN ISO 14001:1996. This voluntary step could give higher credibility to the EMS. In particular EMAS, which embodies all the above-mentioned features, gives higher credibility. However, non-standardised systems can in principle be equally effective provided that they are properly designed and implemented.
6 EMERGING TECHNIQUES

6.1 Mixing improvement

Description

Most OFCs are manufactured in batch stirred vessels, which are used for blending, reaction and separation (e.g. crystallisation, liquid-liquid extraction). A very wide range of vessel and stirrer designs is available, each having advantages and disadvantages depending on the process requirements. Mixing conditions in a full scale stirred tank are likely to be significantly different to those in a laboratory or pilot vessel, which may have a substantial effect on process performance. The use of inappropriate mixing conditions on a plant may result in:

- a lower than expected yield (leading to more raw material use and more waste)
- a need to use excess reagents
- excess use of solvents (to counteract poor mixing in a vessel)
- excessive energy use (due to over-stirring, inefficient agitator design or excessive batch times)
- poor incorporation of solids into the process, leading to a waste of solid reagents or excessive batch times
- poor transfer of gaseous reagents, leading to excessive gas use
- rejected batches due to product variability.

Achieved environmental benefits

These will vary from application to application, but typical examples of mixing improvements have yielded:

- 50 % reduction in chlorine consumption
- 50 % reduction in raw material use; 75 % reduction in waste volume (pigment production)
- 50 % reduction in organic impurities in waste stream (waste treatment)
- 90 % reduction in surfactant use (powder draw-down process)
- 65 % reduction in batch time, and hence energy use (gel manufacturing)
- over-design of a new agitator avoided, resulting in 30 % reduction in power draw (paint plant).

Operational data

Ensuring appropriate mixing is used will improve operability of the plant and will help avoid lost batches.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Applicability

Potentially applicable across most OFC processes. Opportunities are greatest when a new plant is being designed, but retrofits can be cost effective for existing plants and processes. Less opportunity is available for multipurpose, multiproduct plants, but consideration of mixing on scale up can lead to the selection of the most appropriate vessel or identification when severe process problems are likely and a retrofit is highly desirable.
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Economics

Prevention of problems will be more cost effective than later plant retrofits, loss of production or end-of-pipe treatment of waste.

Driving force for implementation

Improved economics, increased production, improved product quality.

References to literature and example plants

[104, BHR Group, 2005]
6.2 Process intensification

Description

Most OFCs are manufactured in batch stirred vessels, which are used for blending, reaction and separation (e.g., crystallisation, liquid-liquid extraction). Such technology has the benefit of being well understood and highly flexible. However, as described in Section 6.1, performance is often suboptimal. Even when mixing in stirred vessels is optimised, fundamental limitations on their performance (e.g., rate of mixing and heat transfer) can still mean loss of performance on scale up (see Section 6.1).

Moving from batch to small scale, continuous, intensified reactor technologies has the potential to make step changes in environmental performance. A wide range of such Process Intensification (PI) technologies is available for single and multiphase processes, including:

- static mixer reactors
- ejectors
- combined chemical reactor-heat-exchangers (HEX reactors)
- spinning disk reactors
- oscillatory flow reactors
- ‘higee’ technology.

PI technologies are complementary to microreactor technologies (Section 4.1.4.6), and can be applied where larger production rates are required (10 – 10000 tonnes per year) and the ‘numbering up’ philosophy for microreactors becomes impractical.

Achieved environmental benefits

These will vary from application to application, but typical examples of environmental benefits from the application of PI are:

- 99% reduction in impurity levels in a hydrosilylation process resulting in a more valuable product whilst reducing excess reagents by around 20% and removing requirements for an additional solvent.
- >70% reduction in energy usage (typical figure for a range of processes studied – achieved through substantial reduction in the time spent mixing and the ability to integrate heat).
- >99% reduction in reactor volume for potentially hazardous processes, leading to inherently safe operations.

Maximum environmental benefits can be achieved through combination of PI with green chemistry (Section 4.1.1), solvent selection (Section 4.1.3), and alternative synthesis and reaction conditions (Section 4.1.4).

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

Some additional development time may be needed for an intensified, continuous process, and care is needed with start up and shut down procedures. Once operating under steady state conditions, such technologies will provide reliable processes, with minimal manual interventions required and batch to batch variability avoided.
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Applicability

PI is ideally suited to inherently fast chemical processes (with reaction times less than a few minutes). This may not be true for many conventionally designed processes, but enhanced chemical conditions can often be utilised which enable PI technologies to be exploited effectively. The existence of solids as the reactant, catalyst or product can cause difficulties in some PI technologies (but this is much less of a problem than with microreactors). Opportunities are highest when a new plant is being designed, but retrofits can be cost effective for existing plants and processes.

PI can be applied to multipurpose, multiproduct plants, through the use of reconfigurable ‘plug and play’ plants.

Economics

Economics will depend on the application, but benefits can arise through improved product quality (and hence value), increased product yield, reduced raw material and solvent use. Capital cost savings for a PI plant are typically in the range of 50 – 70 % compared to a conventional plant.

Driving force for implementation

Improved economics, increased production, improved product quality, improved inherent safety.

References to literature and example plants

[105, Stankiewicz, 2004]
6.3 Microwave Assisted Organic Synthesis

Description

Microwave Assisted Organic Synthesis (MAOS) uses microwave energy to heat and thus drive chemical reactions. Microwave irradiation efficiently heats the materials by a ‘microwave dielectric heating’ effect. This phenomenon is dependent on the ability of a specific material (solvent or reagent) to absorb microwave energy and convert it to heat. The irradiation produces efficient internal heating by direct coupling of microwave energy with the molecules. The energy of microwave photon is too low to break chemical bonds and therefore microwaves cannot induce chemical reactions.

Microwave heating enables a wide range of reactions to be performed quickly and easily. Among them are allylic alkylations, ring closing metathesis, cycloadditions, C-H bond activation, numerous rearrangements and reactions directed towards combinatorial chemistry using polymer-supported substrates. This technique is able to contain reactions involving superheated solvents. This allows the use of solvents from which it is easy to isolate products.

Achieved environmental benefits

- higher energy efficiency (related to dramatic enhancement of reaction rates, higher yields, and less by-products)
- possible avoidance of transition metal catalyst and hence avoidance of toxic waste
- excellent control of reaction parameters.

Cross-media effects

None believed likely.

Operational data

“It appears that the combination of rapid dielectric heating by microwaves with sealed vessel technology (autoclaves) will most likely be the method of choice for performing MAOS in the future” [107, Kappe, 2004].

The choice of solvent is not governed by the boiling point but rather by the dielectric properties of the reaction medium which can be easily tuned by, for example, addition of highly polar materials such as ionic liquids.

Applicability

Applicable to a number of reaction types. Simple (simplicity of the programming and ease of use) and effective technology.

At the moment, several litres can be processed at a time. Continuous flow reactors are nowadays available for both single- and multimode cavities that allow the preparation of kilograms of materials. However, at present, there are no documented published examples of the use of microwave technology for organic synthesis on a production-scale level above 1000 kg per batch. Several manufacturers of microwave systems are working to transfer the technology from a laboratory scale into process plants.
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Economics

- reduced reaction time (minutes vs. hours), higher yield and less by-products
- higher equipment costs (many times higher than those for conventional heating equipment).

Driving forces for implementation

- improved synthesis of fine chemicals.

References to literature and example plants

[107, Kappe, 2004], [93, Leadbeater, 2004]
6.4 Constant flux reactor systems

Description

The concept of ‘constant flux’ can be applied to most heat transfer devices and reactor designs (batch, semi-continuous and continuous). However, constant flux has seen its most advanced development in its application to the continuously stirred tank reactor – the most common type of process equipment to be found in a modern fine chemical/pharmaceutical manufacturing plant.

Constant flux stirred tank reactors use a fundamentally different temperature control philosophy. Whereas conventional stirred tank reactors control process temperatures by regulating the temperature of the heating/cooling jacket, constant flux stirred tank reactors have variable heat transfer areas (see Figure 6.1 below). In the Figure, \( q = U \cdot A \cdot \text{LMTD} \) is the heat transfer equation, where \( q \) = heat added or removed by the heat-exchanger (W), \( U \) = heat transfer coefficient (W m\(^{-2}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \( A \) = heat transfer area (m\(^2\)) and LMTD = mean temperature difference between jacket and process (K).

![Figure 6.1: Comparison of conventional temperature control and constant flux control](image)

The variable area surface is created by breaking the jacket up into multiple independently controlled heat transfer elements. (The feed and return pipes to the individual jacket elements are connected to common manifolds). The outlet manifold is fitted with a motorised piston which is used to regulate the number of heat transfer elements in service.

The use of the area as the primary control parameter together with the use of small heat transfer elements delivers some unique performance capabilities:

- process monitoring of unprecedented accuracy using heat balance calorimetry
- process temperature control that is more accurate, faster and more stable than conventional techniques
- improved process conditions within the vessel
- more efficient utilisation of heat transfer fluid.
Achieved environmental benefits

Continuous online monitoring (via calorimetry) of the processes delivers the following environmental benefits:

- accurate processes end point detection – delivering improved product yield and reducing waste
- accurate processes end point detection – improving plant utilisation and reducing energy costs associated with unnecessary prolonged batch times
- identification of potential runaway reactions – reducing the associated environmental risks.

An improved process temperature control of constant flux can improve reaction selectivity (and process conditions) and, hence, output quality and yield. A reduction in the amount of waste product is a natural consequence of this.

Finally, constant flux utilises a fundamentally different approach to process temperature control. This delivers the following environmental improvements on the heat transfer fluid system:

- reduction in the pumping energy required to circulate the heat transfer fluid, by over 90%
- reduction in the absolute heating and cooling energy required to control the heat transfer fluid temperature
- reduction in the inventory of heat transfer fluid required – reducing the consumption of heat transfer fluid.

Cross-media effects

*None believed likely.*

Operational data

Constant flux can be operated in the same way as virtually any conventional stirred tank reactor:

- volumetric capacity: from 100 ml to 100000 litres
- material of construction: stainless steel, hastelloy, glass, glass-lined steel and other alloys
- operating pressure: as required
- operating temperature: as required
- U values (the overall heat transfer coefficient) equivalent to, or better than, conventional jacketed batch reactors.

Applicability

Constant flux can be applied to virtually any batch vessel design on any scale. The designs are suitable for laboratory, pilot and industrial applications. The concept of constant flux can be applied to batch vessels running, e.g. chemical synthesis reactions, crystallisations, polymerisations and fermentations.

Furthermore, the concept of constant flux can be applied to continuous reactors. However, development in this area is at an early stage.
Economics

The ability to monitor a process online will allow the process to be continually improved and optimised. This will deliver significant economic advantages in operational plant performance. The quantum of the economic advantage will, however, be determined by the particular process(es) and the nature of the plant employed.

The capital cost of implementing constant flux is often small when compared to the operational benefits achievable.

Driving force for implementation

Within the pharmaceutical industry, the FDA’s Process and Analytical Technology (PAT) initiative is a key force in driving the adoption of analytical techniques in pharmaceutical manufacturing. Constant flux provides an accurate, simple and versatile analytical tool in the primary manufacturing environment.

More generally, the economic benefits that constant flux can deliver (improved product yield and quality, and reduced operating costs) is driving increasing interest from a broad range of chemical manufacturers across a number of application areas.

References to literature and example plants

7 CONCLUDING REMARKS

7.1 Quality of the information exchange

Timing of the work

The information exchange on Best Available Techniques for the Manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals was carried out from 2003 to 2005. It took 25 months to collect the information, to draft this document and to develop this document based on the comments arising from two consultations and the final TWG meeting. Table 7.1 shows the milestones of the work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kick-off meeting</td>
<td>26 – 28 May 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First draft</td>
<td>February 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second draft</td>
<td>December 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Technical Working Group meeting</td>
<td>27 – 30 June 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1: Timing of the work on the BREF for Organic Fine Chemicals

Sources of information and development of this document

Some reports were elaborated on purpose to provide targeted information for the development of this document. The reports submitted by Germany [15, Köppke, 2000, 50, UBA, 2001], Spain [46, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, 2003] and CEFIC [18, CEFIC, 2003] can be considered building blocks for the first draft.

A big part of the information was obtained by visiting 28 production sites in Spain, Ireland, France, UK, Finland, Sweden, Hungary, Austria, Switzerland, Germany and Italy [58, Serr, 2003, 91, Serr, 2004], usually organised and accompanied by one or more TWG members. Additionally, a wide variety of other sources provided information and examples of good practices. The sources include Industry and Member State shadow groups, a number of individual companies (this document includes data from 115 reference plants) and the contributions include sources where the author wanted to remain anonymous.

This document was developed based on about 1000 comments to the first draft and about 800 comments to the second draft. Major discussion items at the final meeting were the selection of VOC recovery/abatement techniques, on-site versus off-site biological waste water treatment and the pretreatment of waste water streams.

Level of consensus

The information exchange process was successful and a high degree of consensus was reached during and following the final meeting of the Technical Working Group. No split views were recorded. However, it has to be noted that increasing confidentiality concerns represented a considerable obstacle throughout the work.
Chapter 7

7.2 Recommendations for future work

Quantified overview to emission and consumption levels in the OFC sector

The environmental key issues in the OFC sector are described in general in Section 1.2. However, Chapter 3 “Current emission and consumption levels” of this document only contains emission levels from individual reference plants and, with the exception of Figure 3.1 and Table 3.6, no concrete data or information were available to provide a more detailed overview to the releases from the OFC sector as a whole. Future work could provide this overview.

Extending the understanding of the VOC emission situation from OFC plants

OFC production is carried out as batch manufacture in multipurpose plants but also includes dedicated production lines for “larger” volume products with batch, semi-batch or continuous operation. This establishes a highly variable situation and the information exchange showed that a conventional approach to understand the VOC emissions situation can be misleading. Table 7.2 lists relevant subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and interpretation of emission levels from ducted sources</td>
<td>The high variability of emission volume flows represents a challenge for the interpretation of emission levels. Future work could be based on data arising from BAT in Sections 5.2.1.1.4 and 5.2.1.1.5 about monitoring of emission profiles and continuous monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse and fugitive emissions</td>
<td>The information exchange did not provide sufficient information to enable an assessment of the role and share of diffuse/fugitive emissions of the total VOC emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified classification system for VOC compounds</td>
<td>The fact that across Europe different classification systems for emissions to air are in use represented an obstacle for the work on OFC when it came to the differentiation of less and more harmful compounds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2: Recommendations for future work related to VOC emissions to air

Joint pretreatment platforms for OFC waste waters

The information exchange on OFC underlines the importance of the combination of pretreatment of waste water streams and the biological waste water treatment of the total effluent. The treatment with high pressure wet oxidation with O₂ under alkaline conditions on a joint pretreatment platform, as described in Section 4.3.7.4, offers a highly efficient and flexible response to the challenges arising from the waste water streams created on OFC sites (see the BAT in Section 5.2.4), especially where numerous OFC sites are located in the same region. At the same time it offers a solution for the sludge from biological waste water treatment (see also Table 7.3). Future work could focus on a more in depth assessment of the economic aspects of this approach, and its comparison with individual waste water treatment strategies.
Assessment of formulation processes

Many products from the chemical synthesis like, e.g. dyes/pigments, biocides/plant health products or explosives are given in formulations, mixtures, or standardised suspensions. Such manufacturing facilities can be technically linked to the synthesis unit and are possibly linked to the same rhythm of production campaigns/batch operations. Formulation activities may cause emissions, such as VOCs from residual solvent, particulates from handling, wash-water from rinsing/cleaning or waste water streams from additional separation operations. Future work could build on the information available in Section 2.7.1 and assess formulation processes in more depth.

Other subjects

In some cases, the information exchange did not provide a sufficient basis for an assessment according to the BAT concept or the related conclusions had to be kept general. Table 7.3 lists these subjects as identified at the final TWG meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of sludges arising from waste water treatment</td>
<td>See, e.g. Sections 4.3.7.4, 4.3.7.22 and 5.2.4.5. Future work could assess different options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction from natural products</td>
<td>Important process for obtaining raw materials from natural products, see Sections 2.7.2 and 4.1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of waste explosives</td>
<td>Frequently carried out on explosives production sites, see Section 2.5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3: Subjects with too little information for an assessment within the BAT concept

Suggested topics for future R&D work

Most OFCs are manufactured in batch stirred vessels, which are used for blending, reaction and separation (e.g. crystallisation, liquid-liquid extraction). Such technology has the benefit of being well understood and highly flexible. However, performance is often suboptimal (see Section 6.2). A range of alternative process technologies is described in the chapter “Emerging techniques”. Other examples, with their first application on an industrial scale, are given in Chapter 4 amongst the techniques to consider in the determination of BAT (e.g. Section 4.1.4.6). Although these techniques are considered to offer environmental benefits, such statements are usually vague. Future R&D could provide more detailed information especially about environmental benefits, cross-media effects and the applicability of such techniques.

Whole effluent assessment (WEA) has been proposed as a tool to evaluate the effectiveness of waste water treatment. The WEA concept uses bioassays to assess toxicity, persistency and bioaccumulation. Several tests within the WEA toolbox are available and robust, mostly with regard to acute toxicity and bioaccumulation. However, some further work is required on persistency and chronic toxicity and case studies should be carried out to prove the “availability” in the OFC context (see Section 4.3.8.19).

The EC is launching and supporting, through its RTD programmes, a series of projects dealing with clean technologies, emerging effluent treatment and recycling technologies and management strategies. Potentially these projects could provide a useful contribution to future BREF reviews. Readers are therefore invited to inform the EIPPCB of any research results which are relevant to the scope of this document (see also the Preface of this document).
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8 GLOSSARY

8.1 Abbreviations and explanations

A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADR</td>
<td>European agreement concerning the international carriage of dangerous goods by road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>Adsorbable organic halogen. A measure of the adsorbable organically bound halogens in water. The analytical test consists in adsorbing the organic substances contained in the water sample on activated charcoal (halogen-free). The charcoal is then eluted with sodium nitrate solution to completely remove chloride ions (non-organically bound halogen). Afterwards, the charcoal is burned in a stream of oxygen and the resultant hydrogen chloride is quantitatively determined. Only chlorine, bromine and iodine (not the ecologically important fluorine compounds) are determined with this analytical method. Bromine and iodine are calculated as Cl. The analytical values are expressed as AOX in mg Cl/l of water or mg Cl/g of substance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Active pharmaceutical ingredient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Aquatic toxicity | A measure of the effects of a given pollutant on aquatic life. The most common parameters are:  
  \[ IC_{10} \] = inhibition concentration of bacterial growth (10 % inhibition). Concentrations above the \[ IC_{10} \] value may strongly affect the efficiency of a biological treatment plant or even completely poison the activated sludge.  
  \[ LC_{50} \] = lethal concentration (50 % mortality). It is used for fish and represents the water concentration at which a given substance causes the mortality of 50 % of the population.  
  \[ EC_{50} \] = effect concentration (50 % effect). It is used for particularly sensitive organisms such as daphnia and algae.  
The level of aquatic toxicity of a given pollutant is defined as follows:  
  * highly toxic: \(<0.1 \text{ mg/l} \)  
  * very toxic: \([0.1 \text{ to } 1 \text{ mg/l}] \)  
  * toxic: \([1.0 \text{ to } 10 \text{ mg/l}] \)  
  * moderately toxic: \([10 \text{ to } 100 \text{ mg/l}] \)  
  * non toxic: \([>100 \text{ mg/l}] \). |
| Biodegradability | A measure of the ability of an organic substance to be biologically oxidised by bacteria. It is measured by BOD tests (OECD tests 301 A to F) and relates to the biodegradation mechanisms taking place in biological waste water treatment works. It is usually expressed in % (of the substance). |
| Bioeliminability | A measure of the ability of an organic substance to be removed from the effluent as a consequence of all elimination mechanisms that can take place in a biological plant (including biodegradation). It is measured by the bio-elimination test OECD 302 B, which determines the total effect of all elimination mechanisms in a biological treatment plant:  
  * biodegradation (measured over a long period – up to 28 days – in order to account for the biodegradation of substances that necessitate the development of specially acclimatised bacteria capable of digesting them)  
  * adsorption on activated sludge  
  * stripping of volatile substances  
  * hydrolysis and precipitation processes  
It is usually expressed in % (of the substance). |
| **Glossary** | **BOD₅** Biological oxygen demand within 5 days  
A measure of the oxygen consumed by bacteria to biochemically oxidise organic substances present in water to carbon dioxide and water.  
The higher the organic load, the larger the amount of oxygen consumed. As a result, with high organic concentrations in the effluent, the amount of oxygen in water may be reduced below acceptable levels for aquatic life.  
BOD tests are carried out at 20 °C in dilute solution and the amount of oxygen consumed is determined after 5, 7 or, less commonly, 30 days. The corresponding parameters are called BOD₅, BOD₇ and BOD₃₀.  
The analytical values are usually expressed in:
- mg O₂/l (effluent) or
- mg O₂/g (substance). |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BREF</strong></td>
<td><strong>BAT reference documents</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **C** | **CA** Carbon adsorption and disposal  
**CAS** Chemical Abstracts Service  
**CEEC** Central Eastern European Countries  
**CEFIC** European Chemical Industry Council  
**CFCs** Chlorofluorocarbons  
**CFR** Code of Federal Regulations. The codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the executive departments and agencies of the US Federal Government  
**CHF** Swiss Franc  
**cGMP** Current good manufacturing practice in manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding of drugs  
**CHCs** Chlorinated hydrocarbons  
**CIP** Cleaning-in-place  
**cmr** carcinogenic, mutagenic, reprotoxic  
**COD** Chemical oxygen demand  
A measure of the amount of oxygen required to chemically oxidise organic and inorganic substances in water.  
COD tests are carried out at ca. 150 °C in the presence of a strong oxidant (usually potassium dichromate). To evaluate the oxygen consumption, the amount of chromium VI reduced to chromium III is determined and the obtained value is converted into oxygen equivalent.  
The analytical values are usually expressed in:
- mg O₂/l (effluent) or
- mg O₂/g (substance).  
**Containment boom** A floating or stationary device composed of plastic, natural or synthetic materials which can be used to contain an array of spills, e.g. petroleum, within or outside a particular area. Booms come in many different shapes, sizes, and styles and can be used on land or on water.  
**CP** Mass flowrate multiplied with the specific heat capacity  
**DeNOₓ** Elimination of nitrogenoxides from waste gases  
**DMF** Dimethylformamide  
**DEM** German Mark (Deutsche Mark) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Dissolved organic carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>European Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Acute toxicity level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECPA</td>
<td>European Crop Protection Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFTA</td>
<td>European Free Trade Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHS</td>
<td>Environment, Health and Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIUPCB</td>
<td>European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELV</td>
<td>Emission limit value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMAS</td>
<td>Eco-management and audit scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPA</td>
<td>Eidgenössische Materialprüfungs- und Forschungsanstalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOX</td>
<td>Extractable organic halogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESIG</td>
<td>European Solvents Industry Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESIS</td>
<td>European Existing Substances Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtO</td>
<td>Ethylene oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>Euro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>US Federal Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID</td>
<td>Flame ionisation detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMO</td>
<td>Genetically modified organism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMP</td>
<td>Good manufacturing practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Halogenated hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMX</td>
<td>High Melting eXplosive, also known as Octogen and Cyclotetramethylene tetranitramine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNS</td>
<td>Hexanitrostilbene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Intermediate Bulk Container</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEP</td>
<td>Irish Pound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMS</td>
<td>Industrial methylated spirits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>Installation qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Organisation for Standardisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-TEQ</td>
<td>International toxicity equivalent of dioxins/furans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### L

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L(CT)</td>
<td>Lethal concentration for a given exposure period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEL</td>
<td>Lower explosion limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDF,D,A,L,EU</td>
<td>Lowest ineffective dilution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dilution of the total effluent is carried out until no effects on the test organisms are observed. Test organisms are usually fish (F), daphnia (D), algae (A), luminescent bacteria (L), genotoxicity (EU). The LID testing does not require a concentration–response relationship and thus the test procedure is simpler than in the determination of EC50, but no statistical evaluation and confidence limits are available. For carrying out the LIDF test fish are increasingly replaced by fish eggs, however, the term “LIDF” does not change and the levels are well consistent.

#### M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAOS</td>
<td>Microwave Assisted Organic Synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIBK</td>
<td>Methyl isobutyl ketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITI</td>
<td>Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td>Methyl tertiary butyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Megawatt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### N

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Nitrocellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCE</td>
<td>New chemical entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Nitroglycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>Nanometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### O

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFC</td>
<td>Organic fine chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ</td>
<td>Operational qualification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### P

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAH</td>
<td>Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>Peroxacil nitratates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD</td>
<td>Polychlorodibenzo dioxins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDF</td>
<td>Polychlorodibenzo furans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETN</td>
<td>Pentaerythritol tetranitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Process intensification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>Programmable Logic Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP</td>
<td>Persistent organic pollutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>Parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>Polytetrafluoro ethylene, better known by the trade name Teflon®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purgeable CHCs</td>
<td>Purgeable chlorinated hydrocarbons typically include those chlorinated compounds which can be removed from water by stripping. Other techniques for removal of purgeable CHCs from water are rectification, extraction and combinations of these techniques, including stripping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Process validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory organic load</td>
<td>Part of the organic load in a waste water stream that is not bioeliminable according to inherent bioeliminability testing, e.g. Zahn-Wellens test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-phaneses</td>
<td>R-phaneses are standard phrases indicating the special risk arising from the dangers involved in using the dangerous substance. The wording of the R-phaneses is laid down in Annex III of the Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R40</td>
<td>Limited evidence of a carcinogenic effect (see also “R-phaneses” above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R45</td>
<td>May cause cancer (see also “R-phaneses” above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R46</td>
<td>May cause heritable genetic damage (see also “R-phaneses” above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R49</td>
<td>May cause cancer by inhalation (see also “R-phaneses” above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R60</td>
<td>May impair fertility (see also “R-phaneses” above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R61</td>
<td>May cause harm to the unborn child (see also “R-phaneses” above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTD</td>
<td>Research, technology and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAS</td>
<td>Semi-continuous activated sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Selective catalytic reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNCR</td>
<td>Selective non-catalytic reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small or medium sized enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Standard Operating Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T+</td>
<td>Very toxic (compound) by inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>Technical Committee for Plant Safety at the German Federal Ministry of Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATB</td>
<td>1,3,5-Triamino-2,4,6-trinitrobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>Total dissolved solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT</td>
<td>Trimercaptotriazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT</td>
<td>2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>Thermal oxidiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>Total organic carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP</td>
<td>Triphenylphosphine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPPO</td>
<td>Triphenylphosphine oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWG</td>
<td>Technical Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Unweltbundesamt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>US Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volatile Organic Compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSS</td>
<td>Volatile Suspended Solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/v</td>
<td>Volume by volume ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole Effluent Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEA</td>
<td>Assessment of ecotoxicological effects (persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity) in the whole effluent sample. Two procedures are commonly used to express to toxicity levels: EC50 or LID.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESP</td>
<td>Wet Electrostatic Precipitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt-%</td>
<td>Weight percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/w</td>
<td>Weight by weight ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP</td>
<td>Waste Water Treatment Plant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 8.2 Dictionary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Deutsch</th>
<th>Français</th>
<th>Español</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abatement</td>
<td>Minderung</td>
<td>réduction, suppression</td>
<td>limpieza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activated carbon</td>
<td>Aktivkohle</td>
<td>charbon actif</td>
<td>carbón activo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>active ingredient</td>
<td>Wirksubstanz</td>
<td>ingredient actif</td>
<td>componente activo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>AOX</td>
<td>AOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>batch</td>
<td>Chargenweise Herstellung</td>
<td>production en discontinue</td>
<td>producción en batch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>batch production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>BSB</td>
<td>DBO</td>
<td>DBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broth</td>
<td>Brühe</td>
<td>bouillon</td>
<td>caldo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by-products</td>
<td>Nebenprodukte</td>
<td>sous-produits</td>
<td>subproducto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>CSB</td>
<td>DCO</td>
<td>DQO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>condenser</td>
<td>Rückflusskühler</td>
<td>condenseur</td>
<td>condensador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degradability</td>
<td>Abbaubarkeit</td>
<td>dégradabilité</td>
<td>degradabilidad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distillation residue</td>
<td>Distillationsrückstand</td>
<td>résidu de distillation</td>
<td>residuo de destilación</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effluent</td>
<td>Abwasser</td>
<td>effluent</td>
<td>aguas residuales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emission inventory</td>
<td>Emissionskataster</td>
<td>inventaire des emissions</td>
<td>catastro de emisiones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhaust gas</td>
<td>Abgas</td>
<td>rejct gazeux</td>
<td>emisión gaseosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fire fighting water</td>
<td>Löschwasser</td>
<td>eau d’incendie</td>
<td>agua contraincendio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heavy metal</td>
<td>Schwermetall</td>
<td>métaux lourds</td>
<td>metal pesado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate</td>
<td>Zwischenprodukt</td>
<td>produit intermédiaire</td>
<td>producto intermedio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isomer</td>
<td>Isomer</td>
<td>isomère</td>
<td>isómero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mass balance</td>
<td>Massenbilanz</td>
<td>bilan matière</td>
<td>balance de materias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother liquor</td>
<td>Mutterlauge</td>
<td>eaux mères</td>
<td>aguas madres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organic load</td>
<td>organische Fracht</td>
<td>charge organique</td>
<td>carga orgánica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phase</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>phase</td>
<td>fase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pigging technology</td>
<td>Molchtechnik</td>
<td>technique de raclage des</td>
<td>transporte y limpieza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>canalisations</td>
<td>simultanea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point source (emission)</td>
<td>Emissionsquelle</td>
<td>point source</td>
<td>punto de emisión</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>precipitation</td>
<td>Fällung</td>
<td>precipitation</td>
<td>precipitación</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pretreatment</td>
<td>Vorbehandlung</td>
<td>pré traitement</td>
<td>pre-tratamiento</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recovery</td>
<td>Rückgewinnung</td>
<td>récupération</td>
<td>recuperación</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rinsing/cleaning water</td>
<td>Spülwasser</td>
<td>rinçage/eau de rinçage</td>
<td>aguas de limpieza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salting out</td>
<td>Aussalzen</td>
<td>précipitation par ajout</td>
<td>precipitar con sal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>de sel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scrubber</td>
<td>Wäscher</td>
<td>épurateur (laveur de gaz)</td>
<td>lavador de gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stirred-tank reactor</td>
<td>Rührkesselreaktor</td>
<td>reacteur sous agitation</td>
<td>reactor de tanque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>agitado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stripping</td>
<td>Strippen</td>
<td>stripage, separation</td>
<td>agotamiento</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal oxidation</td>
<td>thermische Nachverbrennung</td>
<td>oxidation thermique</td>
<td>oxidación termica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>DBO</td>
<td>COT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total effluent</td>
<td>Gesamtabwasser</td>
<td>effluents totaux</td>
<td>efluente total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>COV</td>
<td>COV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wash-water</td>
<td>Waschwasser</td>
<td>eau de lavage</td>
<td>aguas de lavado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>waste water stream</td>
<td>Abwasser teilstrom</td>
<td>écoulement des eaux usées</td>
<td>corriente segregada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work-up</td>
<td>Aufarbeitung</td>
<td>finition, fabrication</td>
<td>procesar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yield</td>
<td>Ausbeute</td>
<td>rendement</td>
<td>rendimiento</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9 ANNEXES

9.1 Description of reference plants

Table 9.1 lists the reference plants from which information or data were used in this document, as far as the information source named a particular plant. Other information or data used arise from contributions where no particular plant was named. The sequence of the numbering has no particular significance, but the number can be used to identify a certain reference plant throughout this document. The letters after the number indicate the production spectrum as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of appearances</th>
<th>A API</th>
<th>B Biocides and/or plant health products</th>
<th>D Dyes and/or pigments</th>
<th>E Explosives</th>
<th>F Flavours and/or fragrances</th>
<th>I Intermediates</th>
<th>L Large integrated multiproduct site</th>
<th>O Optical brighteners</th>
<th>V Vitamins</th>
<th>X Other OFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002A</td>
<td>API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003F</td>
<td>Fragrances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004D,O</td>
<td>Optical brighteners, dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005A</td>
<td>API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007I</td>
<td>Intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009A,B,D</td>
<td>API, active plant protection agents, dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010A,B,D,I,X</td>
<td>API, active plant protection agents, dyes, intermediates, further organic fine chemicals, sulphuric acid, acetaldehyde, C1-CHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011X</td>
<td>Light stabilisers, antioxidants, corrosion inhibitors, additives, stabilisers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012X</td>
<td>Organic and inorganic specialities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013A,V,X</td>
<td>API, vitamins, organic fine chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014V,I</td>
<td>Vitamins, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015D,I,O,B</td>
<td>Dyes, intermediates, optical brighteners, antimicrobica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021B,I</td>
<td>Intermediates, biocides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>022F</td>
<td>Flavours/fragrances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>027A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>028A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>029A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>031A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>032A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033L</td>
<td>Large integrated multiproduct site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>034A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>035D</td>
<td>Dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036L</td>
<td>Large integrated multiproduct site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>038F</td>
<td>Fragrances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>039A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040A,B,I</td>
<td>API, biocides, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>046L,X</td>
<td>Intermediates, custom synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>047B</td>
<td>Biocides, plant health products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050D</td>
<td>Dyes and pigments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>051L,X</td>
<td>Intermediates, multiproduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052L,X</td>
<td>Intermediates, multiproduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053D,X</td>
<td>Pigments, printing auxiliaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>056X</td>
<td>Textile auxiliaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057F</td>
<td>Fragrances/flavours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058B</td>
<td>Herbicides, mulluscicides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059B,I</td>
<td>Biocides, intermediates, multiproduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060D,I</td>
<td>Dyes, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>061X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>062E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>063E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>064E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>065A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>066I</td>
<td>Intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>067D,I</td>
<td>Dyes and pigments, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>068B,D,I</td>
<td>Dyes, plant health products, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>069B</td>
<td>Plant health products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>070X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>071L,X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>072L,X</td>
<td>Additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>073F</td>
<td>Fragrances, flavours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>074F</td>
<td>Fragrances, flavours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>075L,X</td>
<td>Intermediates, other organic fine chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076X</td>
<td>Speciality surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>077X,I</td>
<td>Additives, speciality surfactants, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>078X,I</td>
<td>Intermediates, other organic fine chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>079D</td>
<td>Dyes and pigments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080I</td>
<td>Intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>081A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>082A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>083A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>084A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>085B</td>
<td>Biocides and plant health products, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>086A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087I</td>
<td>Intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088LX</td>
<td>Textile chemicals, detergents, additives, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>089A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090A,I,X</td>
<td>API, intermediates, food additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091D,I</td>
<td>Dyes, intermediates for dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092B,I</td>
<td>Insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>094I</td>
<td>Intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>096A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>097I</td>
<td>Intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>098E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>099E</td>
<td>Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101D,I,X</td>
<td>Dyes, intermediates, other organic fine chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102X</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103A,I,X</td>
<td>API, intermediates, analytical reagents, other organic fine chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104X</td>
<td>Speciality rubbers and additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105X</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107X</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108B,I</td>
<td>Agrochemicals, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109A,V</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110B</td>
<td>Agrochemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112X</td>
<td>Additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113I,X</td>
<td>Surfactants for cosmetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115A,I</td>
<td>API, intermediates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.1: Description of reference plants